

INDEX

- Accounting, 79–80
- Adjutant, 67–68
- Agriculture, 79–80
- Analytical hierarchy process (AHP), 13, 16–17, 19
- Antecedents, decisions, and outcomes (ADO), 2–3, 134
- Appraisal, 149–150
- Arbitrariness, 58
- Assessment of multiple systematic reviews (AMSTAR), 112, 134
- Australian Business Dean’s Councils (ABDC), 7
- Author-centric approach, 48
- Author’s skills, 114–116
- Axial coding, 31–32

- Barlett’s test (BT), 9
- Biases of traditional reviews, 58
- Bibexcel, 61–62, 64–65, 89
- Bibliometrics, 31–32, 79–82, 85, 91
 - analysis, 85
 - growth of bibliometrics in business management and social sciences, 80
 - indicators, 89–91
 - methodology, 85
 - reviews, 43, 59, 62, 81–82, 84–85, 91, 94
 - software, 87–88
 - tools and indicators, 87–89
- Bibliometrix, 67–68
- Biblioshiny, 89
- Business management, 79–80

- Chartered Association of Business Schools (CABS), 7
- Citation Space (CiteSpace), 66
- CiteNet Explorer, 89

- CiteSpace, 89
- CitNetExplorer, 67
- Classic review article, structure of, 49
- Cleanup functionalities, 66–67
- Co-occurrence analysis, 63–64
- Complex problems, 142–143
- Complexity, 141
- Conducting data synthesis, 48
- Consistency ratio (CR), 14
- Convergent validity, 10–12
- Correlation analysis, 2
- COVID-19 pandemic, 107–108
- Criterion for conducting review, 46–47
- Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP), 150
- Critical reasoning, 114–115
- Critical reviews, 113
- Cronbach’s alpha, 10

- Data analysis and interpretations, 62
- Data extraction, 61, 150–151
 - bias, 47–48
 - preparing for, 47–48
- Data screening, 61–62
- Data synthesis, 151–153
 - empirical synthesis, 151–152
 - theoretical synthesis, 153
- Databases, 85–86
 - coverage, 85–86
- Delphi technique, 12–13
- Descriptive reviews, 107–108
- Domain-based review (*see* Frame-work-based review)
- Drawing conclusions, 155–156

- EBSCO, 46
- Economics, 79–80
- Empirical synthesis, 151–152
- Excel, 65

- Exclusion criteria, 130
- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA), 9–12
 assessment values, 11–12
 convergent validity, 10–12
 homogeneity and sampling adequacy, 9
 reliability analysis, 10
- Factor reduction techniques, 12–13
- Framework-based review, 41–42
- Future research areas (FRA), 7
- Gephi, 65, 88
- Global weights for constituent items of power framework
 decision elements, 18–19
- Google Scholar, 30, 46
- Grading of recommendations
 assessment, development, and evaluation (GRADE), 112
- Graphic User Interface (GUI), 127
- Gray literature, 46
- High-quality literature reviews, 103–104
- HistCite, 61–62, 64, 88
- Homogeneity, 9
- Hybrid-narrative framework, 42
- Identification and assessment of studies, 47
- Impact factor (IF), 90–91
- Inclusion criteria, 130
- Inexhaustiveness, 58
- Information technology, 79–80
- Integrated reviews, 43–44
- Integrative literature reviews, 29
- Interpretivism, 138
- Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin values (KMO values), 9
- Knowledge, 29, 139, 141
 saturation, 148
- Leximancer, 31–32
- Literature review (LR), 2, 27–28, 32–33, 58–60, 104, 126–127, 138
 author's skills, 114–116
 frameworks, 2–3
 implications, 119
 methods, 2
 quality, 117–118
 significance, 104–106
 types, 106, 114, 127–128
- Literature search and identification, 60–61
- Litsearchr, 67–68
- Local weights power framework
 elements and constituent items, 15–18
- Logical positivism, 138
- Manual coding, 31–32
- Mapping reviews, 113–114
- Medical literature analysis and retrieval system online (MEDLINE), 111–112
- Medicine, 79–80
- Meta synthesis, 127–128
- Meta-analysis, 29, 58, 109–110
 based review, 42–43
 literature review, 127–128
- Meta-synthesis, 29
- Metagear, 67–68
- Microsoft Academic, 46
- Microsoft Excel, 65
- Miller's magic number, 12–13
- Mixed-method, 2
 mixed methods/hybrid review, 114
- Multicriteria decision-making (MCDM), 3, 13–14
- Multinational enterprises (MNEs), 30–31
- Narrative evidence synthesis, 58
- Narrative literature reviews, 28–29, 127–128

- Narrative review, 106–107, 139, 141, 143–144
- Narrative synthesis process, 151
- Need identification, 46
- NVivo, 31–32
- Pajek, 65
- Participants, interventions,
 - comparators, and outcomes approach (PICO approach), 3
- Performance analysis, 90–91
- Planning, operationalizing, writing,
 - embedding/evaluating, reflection (POWER), 3
 - background and arguments, 4–8
 - decision makers and publishers, 21
 - future research agenda, 21
 - implications, 20–21
 - research methodology, 9
 - respondent's characteristics, 10
 - respondents' selection criteria, 9
 - results, 9–19
 - review on suggestions from editorials/notes, 5–6
 - survey items, 9
- Planning for SLR, 46–47
- Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA), 2–3, 128
 - extensions, 133
 - guidelines, 130–132
 - implications and future directions, 130
 - PRISMA 2020 additions, 132–133
 - PRISMA-A, 133
 - PRISMA-E, 133
 - PRISMA-P, 3, 133
 - PRISMA-S, 133
 - PRISMA-ScR, 133
 - reproducibility in research, 128–129
 - research methodology, 130
 - results, 130–133
- Prior studies, 59
- ProQuest, 46
- Qualitative method, 2
- Qualitative systematic reviews, 110–111
- Quality assessment, 61–62
- Quality of literature review, 117–118
- Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses (QUOROM), 131–132
- Quantitative method, 2
- R Core Team, 67–68
- R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 67–68
- R Software, 67–68
- Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), 134
- Realism, 141
- Realist reviews, 43
- Realist synthesis, 137–138, 141, 144
 - compared with review methods, 138–141
 - conducting, 144–145, 156
 - implications for policy and practice, 156
 - limitations, 156–157
 - with systematic and narrative review methods, 140
- Regression analysis, 2
- Reliability, 117
 - analysis, 10
- Reporting findings, 155–156
- Reproducibility in research, 128–129
- Research questions (RQs), 81
- Review, 105
 - design, 19
 - findings, 48–49
 - methodologies, 104
 - methods, 21, 139
- Review of literature (ROL), 126–127
- Revtools, 67–68
- Rigor, 117
- Sampling adequacy, 9
- Science mapping, 58

- Science of Science tool (Sci² tool), 67
- Scientific mapping, 91
- SciMAT software tool, 65–66, 72
- Scoping, 144, 146–147
 - literature reviews, 29
 - reviews, 43, 108–109
- Scopus, 7, 30, 46, 60
- Searching, 148
 - articles in databases using key-words, 86–87
- Selection, 149–150
- Sitkis, 65
- Snowball searching, 148
- Social sciences, 79–80
- Software tools, 58–59
 - bibliometric reviews, 59–62
 - challenges/limitations, 68–72
 - conduct of bibliometric analysis, 62–68
 - implications, 73–75
 - lack of required technological skills of researchers, 72
 - limitations and future research implications, 74–75
 - limitations on access to publication databases, 68
 - limited analytical and functional capabilities, 68–72
 - practical implications, 73–74
 - researcher insights, 72
 - systematic review activities, 63
- Source-normalized impact per paper (SNIP), 90–91
- SPSS, 65
- Structured literature reviews, 59–60
- Structured review, 41
- Subjectivity, 58
- Systematic literature review (SLR), 2, 28–29, 37–38, 58, 81–82, 109, 127–128
 - effective motivations for conducting, 44–45
 - extracting and synthesizing data, 47–48
 - future research agenda, 45
 - general suggestions for writing, 49–50
 - identification and assessment of studies, 47
 - journals, 39
 - papers, 38
 - plan for writing, 45–49
 - planning for, 46–47
 - research questions, 44–45
 - review findings, 48–49
 - steps in conducting, 30–32
 - traditional literature review, 39–41
 - types, 28–29, 41, 44
- Systematic reviews (SR), 109, 134, 139
- Systematically digitally encoding (SDE), 127
- Team composition for writing SLR, 49
- Technological software tools, 61
- Technology, 60–61
- Technology-based review
 - bibliometric indicators, 89–91
 - bibliometric review, 81–82, 84–85, 91, 94
 - bibliometric tools and indicators, 87–89
 - databases and database coverage, 85–86
 - identifying studies for review, 87
 - implications, 96–97
 - research area and questions, 83–84
 - sample size, 84
 - searching articles in databases using keywords, 86–87
- Text analysis, 2
- Theoretical reviews, 112–113
- Theoretical synthesis, 153
- Theory, context, characteristics, and methodology (TCCM), 2–3, 41–42
- Theory-based review, 42
- Thesaurus Editor, 66–67
- Traditional literature review, 38–41

- Types of reviews, 41–44
- UCINET network analysis software, 65
- Umbrella reviews, 111–112
- Validity, 117
- VantagePoint, 62, 66–67
- Visualization of Similarities (VoS), 43
- Volume/quantity of articles, 50
- VOSviewer, 62, 64–65, 88
- Vote counting, 111, 151
- Web of Science (WoS), 7, 30, 60, 62–63, 79–80
- Who, when, where, what, why, and how (6W), 2–3
- Why, What, Who, When, Where, and How (5W1H), 39–40
- Wicked problems, 142–143
- Writing for SLR, 37–38