

SUBJECT INDEX

- Academic culture, 26
- Academies, 16–19
 - learning in, 23–25
- Acceptability, 33
- Active citizenship, 23
- Adult education, 23–24
- Adult Learning and Education (ALE), 160, 169–172
- Adult learning theories, 16
- Africa Book Development Trust (ABDT), 165–166
- Agenda 2030, 108–110
- AIGA's Living Principles, 53
- Anthropocene, 74–76
 - outcomes, 78–80
 - research approach, 77–78
 - sustainability in higher education, 76–77
- “Anthropocene-friendly” understanding of sustainability, 79
- Anthropogenic Turn, 79
- Applied learning, 217–218
- Assessment, 10, 59, 67
 - current practice assessments, 68
 - design on student approaches to learning, 63
 - research in, 63–64
- Assistant Vice-Chancellor (Sustainability) (AVCS), 199
- Association for Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education (AASHE), 147–148
- Big Tent Consortium, 108
- Biggs' 3P model, 60
- Bioenergy Research and Demonstration Facility (BRDF), 221–222
- Biomimicry, 45–46
- Biophilia, 45–46
- Bloom's revised taxonomy, 60
- Brain function, 22
- Brock Commons Tallwood House case study, 222
- Brookhaven College (BHC), 144
- “Buy and throw” mentality, 144
- Campus as a Living Laboratory (CLL), 11, 214
 - case studies of research and learning, 218
 - CIRS, 217–218
 - education and engagement, 219–220
 - enablers and impact of CLL on campus, 223–224
 - large-scale research projects, 221–223
 - small scope research studies, 220–221
 - sustainability at UBC, 214–216
 - types of opportunities, 218–219
 - UBC CLL, 216–217
- Campus Sustainability Office (CSO), 215
- Capacity building programs, 5
- Centre for Interactive Research on Sustainability (CIRS), 217–218
- Chief executive officer (CEO), 163
- CL-program, 179–180
- CLAQWA rubrics, 63–64
- “Closed-loop” PjBL, 67–68
- Coalition, 24
- Code of Ethics, 178
- Cognitive functioning, 24
- Coherent framework, 61
- Collective awareness and attention, 22–23

- Community
 - college, 145–148, 151
 - community-oriented approaches, 61–62, 68
 - engagement, 118
 - learning, 170
- Concept map (Cmap), 64–65, 68
- Confidence gap, 9, 190, 192
- Consensus, 129–130
- Contextualization for sustainability, 69
- Corporate social responsibility (CSR), 147
- Corporate sustainability (CS), 147
- Covert method, 151
- Critical openness, 200–202
 - video dialogues as mechanism for, 206–208
- Critical thinking, 152
- Cultural commons, 17, 20
 - embracing, 20–21
 - revitalization of, 21
- Cultural ecologies, 21
- Curriculum, 59, 75, 78
 - strategies, 4, 6
 - structure, focus, and history, 132–133
 - sustainability in, 79
- Dallas County Community College
 - District (DCCCD), 147–148
- Deep learning principles, 84
- Deliberation, 84–85, 95
- Deliberative democracy principles and practices, 85–86
- Deliberative Dialogue (DD), 12, 84–86
 - author's context, 86–87
 - general flow of activity, 87
 - issue guide, 87–88
 - questions and ponderings, 93–95
 - rationale, 88
 - reflections and findings, 88–91
 - scaffolded activities, 87
 - session implementation, 88
 - at work, 87–91
- Democracy, 170
- Democratic classroom practices, 86
- Descriptive research design, 150
- Design thinking, 208
 - as signature pedagogy, 200–202
- Design Thinking for Sustainability (DSDN183), 10, 198–201, 208
 - semi-structured focus group
 - interview questions for DSDN183 students, 211
- Diagnosis-solution problems, 32–33
- Digital Interaction, 45
- Disagreement, 129–130
- Discipline considerations and views, 133
- Early College High School (ECHS), 149
- Earth system science, 75
- Eco-centric and Anthropocentric
 - Attitudes toward
 - Environment scale (EAAT SD), 126
- Eco-intelligence, 21, 23
- Eco-Schools, 110
- Ecojustice curriculum framework, 19
 - embracing cultural commons, 20–21
 - redressing conceptions of intelligence, 21–23
- Ecojustice Leadership Academy*, 17–18
- Ecological/ecology, 21, 44
 - of democracy, 86
 - dimension of SD, 188
 - Footprint analysis, 215
 - intelligence, 22
- Economic
 - inclusion, 163
 - market system, 144
 - sustainability, 188
- Education, 5, 16, 154, 160–161, 163, 172
 - adult, 170
 - civic, 165–166, 172
 - in FHS, 169
 - forms of, 167–168
 - free adult provision, 172
 - gender disparities in, 171
 - rural residents in accessing, 165
- Education 2030 Framework for
 - Action, 160, 171

- Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), 5, 84, 109, 124
 transformative nature of, 36–37
 Educational outcomes, 203–206
 Educational reforms in ecojustice education, 19
 Effectiveness, 33
 Employability, 23
 Empowerment, 163
 Engineers, 178
 role/responsibilities in SD, 187, 190
 English for speakers of other languages (ESOL), 149
 Environment, economy and social equality (Three “Es”), 77
 Environmental protection, 42
 Environment–economy integration, 188
 ePortfolios, 63–64
 Ethics, 153
 Examinations, 59, 64, 67
 Exercises, 59
- Facilitators, 35, 92
 Faculty awareness, 146
 Faculty interviews, 132
 curriculum structure, focus, and history, 132–133
 discipline considerations and views, 133
 lecturer experiences and transformation, 134–135
 organizational contrasts and tensions, 135
 strong and weak sustainability, 133–134
 students characteristics and consequences, 136
 sustainability principles, definitions, and practices, 135–136
- Faculty Learning Communities (FLC), 145–146
 Folk High Schools (FHS), 164, 168–169
 “Folkbildning”, 167–168
 Formal education system, 168
 Forward Thinking Conference in Oslo, 45
- Frameworks for sustainability teaching, 61
 Future scenarios, 46
 building, 46–48
 ethical journey, 47–48
- Gender Equality, 205
 Geology, 74–75
 Global Action Programme (GAP), 12
 Global Action Programme on Education for Sustainable Development (GAP ESD), 108
 Global Goals (*see* Sustainable development goals (SDGs))
 Graphic Design, 45
 Green Building Action Plan, 218–219
 Green-washing, 81
 Greenhouse gas (GHG), 216
- Halifax Declaration, 214
 Hannover Principles, 53
 Higher education (HE), 86, 108, 144–145
 sustainability in, 76–77
 Higher education institution (HEI), 6, 109
 Holism, 6
 Human Capital theory, 162
- Incheon Declaration, 161
 Indigenous knowledge, 47
 Indigenous wisdom, 47
 Informal feedback from students, 38
 Institutional culture, 223
 Institutions, 127–128
 Instructional design on student achievement, 60
 Intelligence, redressing conceptions of, 21–23
 Interdisciplinarity, 198–199, 204, 207
 Interdisciplinary approach, 146–147
 Interdisciplinary learning for sustainability, 199–200
 Interdisciplinary problem-based approach, 30

- beginnings, 30–31
- development of approach, 33–36
- pilot, 31–33
- preparing ground, 31
- transformative nature of education
 - for SD, 36–37
- Interdisciplinary thinking, 204
- Interior and Environmental Design, 45
- International Association of Universities (IAU), 108
- Involve* (European-based organization), 85
- Jewellery & Silversmithing, 45
- Kaitiakitanga, 201–202
- Knowledge of engaged curriculum, 16
- Leadership, 223
 - in sustainable development, 214
- Learning (*see also* Sustainability learning)
 - in academies, 23–25
 - activities, 59
 - lifelong, 160–162
 - models, 60–61
 - objectives, 61
 - service, 62
 - shared, 164
 - society, 160, 162–163
 - strategies, 5
- Learning and Teaching as Communicative Action theory (LTCA), 151
- Lecturer experiences and transformation, 134–135
- Life cycle assessments (LCA), 218
- Life cycle costing (LCC), 222–223
- Life's Principles, 53
- Lifelong learning, 160–162
- Linkage density, 52
- Local democracies, 21
- Manoa scenario building method, 46
- Market-led growth, 131
- Master program, 179, 224
- Mātauranga Māori, 201
- Mediation, 26
- Meta-analyses of student achievement, 63
- Meta-cognitive awareness of assessment practices, 63
- Metro Vancouver, 214
- Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), 42–43, 160–161
- Modified essay questions, 32, 38
- Montréal Design Declaration, 45
- Moral imperatives, 77
- Municipal Adult Education (MAE), 168–169
- Narrative assignment, 152
- National funding, 35
- National Issues Forum/Kettering Institute (NIFI), 86–88
- Natural commons, 20
- Nature-based intelligences, 45
- Negotiated syllabus (*see* Syllabus Deliberation method)
- “Nested circles” model, 189
- Online assessment communities, 63–64
- Online working, 35
- Open-book “power” exams, 68
- Organizational contrasts and tensions, 135
- Oslo Manifesto, 45
- Overt method, 151
- Paired sample *t*-test, 184–185
- Paradigm shifts in higher education, 37
- Participants, 149
- Personal stakes, 93
- Phenomenology, 7, 150
- Philosophy course, 153
- Pluralism, 6
- Polling techniques, 93
- Postgraduate curriculum in UK, 124
 - ladder framework, 126–127
 - material and methods, 127–136
 - postgraduate taught courses, 124–126
- Potential learning, 24

- “Power” examinations, 64
- Presentations, 64
- PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), 44
- Problem-based learning, 30, 37, 152
- Process syllabus (*see* Syllabus
Deliberation method)
- Product Design, 45
- Professor as mediator, 25–26
- Programs, 127–128
- Project-based learning (PjBL), 61–62
- Proposals, 93
- Public investment, 4

- Qualitative method, 127
- Quantitative method, 127

- “Radical” positions, qualitative
 comments on, 130–132
- Real-world learning, 146, 149
- Reason Design Process, 53
- Recruitment, 149
- Recycle Mania events, 148
- Reductionist approach, 59
- Reliability, 150
- Research
 in assessment, 63–64
 reporting, 146–147
- Resilience, 45–46
- “Returning to subjects” process, 150
- Revitalization of cultural commons, 21

- Scaffolding, 38
- Scepticism, 132
- Self-confidence in SD, 190–191
- Self-realisation, 47–48, 52, 54
- Semi-structured focus group interview
 questions for DSDN183
 students, 211
- Semi-structured interview, 126
- Service learning, 62
- Shared learning, 164
- Shared pool of attention, 22
- Siam University, 116–118
- Signature pedagogy, design thinking
 as, 200–202
- Skills revolution, 162

- Social
 awareness, 22
 boundaries, 188
 brain, 22, 26
 dimension of SD, 188
 empowerment, 170
 exclusion, 163
 facility, 22
 intelligence, 22, 26
 issue, 88
 science, 74, 76, 78
 social-justice oriented actions, 204,
 206
 sustainability, 190
- SOLO taxonomy, 61
- Statistical analysis, 182
- Statistical Package for Social Sciences,
 182
- Students, Staff, and Surrounding
 Communities (3S), 117
- Students
 characteristics and consequences, 136
 student-based studies, 76–77
- Study circles, 160, 163–164
 in Sweden, 166–169
 in Zimbabwe, 164–166
- “Summer School” approach, 35
- Summit on Climate Change and
 Indigenous People*, 17
- Sustainability, 4–5, 11, 33, 74, 144,
 149, 151, 208
 curriculum, 79, 145–150, 152, 155
 education, 5
 in higher education, 76–77
 interdisciplinary learning for,
 199–200
 principles, definitions, and
 practices, 135–136
 strong and weak, 133–134
 teaching, 60–61
 at UBC, 214–216
- Sustainability development (SD), 144
- Sustainability learning (*see also*
 Learning), 58, 60
 assessment design on student
 approaches to learning, 63

- current practice in assessment for sustainability, 64–65
- frameworks for sustainability teaching, 61
- instructional design on student achievement, 60
- learning models, 60–61
- publications on sustainability instructional design, assessment, and research outcomes, 66
- through reflective paper submissions toward changed mindset, 155
- research in assessment, 63–66
- teaching approaches in engineering sustainability classes, 61–62
- visible by starting with text, 155
- visible through story and participatory action, 155
- Sustainability Scholars program, 221
- Sustainability Summit Conference, 148
- Sustainable Building Science Program (SBSP), 217
- Sustainable development (SD), 4, 9, 35, 37, 58, 108, 178, 182, 188–189
 - CLL to, 224
 - data analysis, 182
 - data collection, 180–181
 - degree of confidence in SD issues, 187–188, 190–191
 - degree of interest in sustainability issues, 184–185, 189–190
 - descriptive statistics for question, 183
 - differences in interest between male and female students, 185–187
 - in engineering, 179
 - leadership in, 214
 - literature review, 5–6
 - pictures, 182, 184
 - policy, 214–215
 - research methods, 180
 - role/responsibilities of engineer vs. teacher, 187, 190
 - sample, 180
 - teachers responsibilities in, 180
 - whole institution approach for, 109–112
 - words, 182
- Sustainable development education (SDE), 181
- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 42–43, 50, 52, 108, 160–169, 198
 - ALE and SDG 4 targets, 169–172
 - awareness, 48
 - and design, 43–45
 - design, 43–45, 48–50
 - example, 51–52
 - framework, 208
 - framing research, 45–46
 - future scenario building, 46–48
 - insights, 53–54
 - learning society, 162–163
 - lifelong learning, 161–162
 - methods, 48
 - results, 48–52
 - SDG Fund*, 111
 - SDG Wheel, 118
 - SDG3, 205
 - SDG4, 8, 161–162, 169–172, 178
 - SDG5, 205
 - SDG11, 205
 - study circles, 163–164
 - study circles in Sweden, 166–169
 - study circles in Zimbabwe, 164–166
 - UN 2030 plan, 160–161
 - values, 49–50
- Sustainable Development Policy #5*, 215
- Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN), 110
- Sustainable Education, 84
- Sustainable engineering practice, 58, 69
- Sustainable Environmental Economic Development Studies Program (SEEDS Program), 220
- Sweden
 - study circles in, 166–169
 - sustainable development in, 178
- Syllabus Deliberation method, 12

- Syllabus deliberations (SD), 86, 91
 questions and ponderings, 93–95
 reflections and findings related to,
 91–93
- Symbiosis, 45–46
- Syngas, 222
- Systems theory, 43, 50
- Systems-level thinking, 84
- Talloires Declaration*, 146
- Taxonomic frameworks, 60
- Teachers, 178
 role/responsibilities in SD, 187, 190
- Teaching
 approaches in engineering
 sustainability classes, 61–62
 strategies, 5
 sustainability, 6
 of sustainability, 74, 76
- Teaching Research and Development
 Network (TRDN), 30–31
- Teaching sustainability mini-pilot model
 (TSMP model), 144, 146
 conceptual framework, 147–148
 data collection, 149–150
 educating sustainability, 146–147
 essence of experience, 154
 FLC, 145–146
 higher education, 144–145
 limitations, implications, and
 outcomes, 155–156
 methodology, 148–150
 objective, 149
 participants, recruitment, and
 subjects taught, 149
 presentation of data, 150–154
- Texas Core Curriculum, 145
- Texas Education Code, 145
- Textile Design, 45
- Thailand, 116–117
- Three-pillar model, 11, 75, 77
- Time-tested indigenous methods, 53
- Traditional engineering assessment
 methods, 58
- Transferable skills, 37
- Transformative learning, 36
- Transformative nature of education for
 sustainable development,
 36–37
- “Transforming our World” (see UN
 2030 Agenda for Sustainable
 Development)
- U.S. National Society of Professional
 Engineers (NSPE), 178
- UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable
 Development, 160–161, 171
- UNESCO Institute for Lifelong
 Learning (UIL), 160
- United Nations Educational, Scientific,
 and Cultural Organization
 (UNESCO), 84, 160
- University faculty, 26
- University Leaders for Sustainable
 Future (ULSF), 146
- University of Bologna, 113–114
- University of British Columbia
 (UBC), 11, 214
 CLL, 216–217
 Sustainability Academic Strategy,
 215
 sustainability at, 214–216
 20-year Sustainability Strategy, 215
- University of Costa Rica (UCR),
 118–119
- University of Ghana, 114–115
- University of Manchester Institute
 of Science and Technology
 (UMIST), 30
- University of Regina (UofR), 115–116
- Unsustainable lifestyle, 144
- Value-based design, 46, 54
- Venn diagram, 189
- VicTeach*, 198
- Victoria University of Wellington
 (VUW), 10, 197–198
- Video dialogues, 198
 as mechanism for critical openness,
 206–208
- Video resource, 206
- Vygotsky’s theory, 24

- WELL Building Assessment Project,
220
- Whakawhitinga kōrero: Dialogues on
Sustainability*, 202–203, 207
- Whanaungatanga, 202
- Whole Institution Approach (WIA),
12, 108
case studies, 112–119
for sustainable development,
109–112
- Whole School Approach, 110
- Wicked problems, 32
- World Education Forum (WEF), 160
- Zimbabwe, study circles in, 164–166
- Zone of Proximal Development, 24