

SUBJECT INDEX

- Academic learning, 46, 47
Africans, in America, 236–237
Ambiguity, 5, 13
American Ideals, 211, 212
American Institutions, 211, 212
Amnesty International, 159
Articulating learning, 57, 64
Asymmetrical reciprocity, 217
- Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine &
Surgery (BVM&S),
174–175
- The Bluebell Nursery, 96
Bounded freedom, 97–98
Burro Genius (Villasenor), 221
- California Education Code, 211
Center for Collaborative Online
Learning (COIL),
109–121
Certificate in Advanced Veterinary
Practice (CertAVP), 179
Citizenship, 46
Civic engagement, 46
 constructivism in, 7
 constructivist learning design,
 7–13
 engagement process, 13–18
 synthesis, 18–20
Class, higher education in, 162–166
Clifton’s StrengthsFinder assess-
ment, 77
Cognitive dissonance, 26–27
Collaborative learning, 180–181
Communication skills, 176–177,
181
Community, 101
Community cultural wealth,
219–220
Community engaged learning, 34
Community engagement, in Kenya,
94–95
Community involvement, 7
 See also Civic engagement
Condor Airlines, 100
Conscientization, 64
Constructivism
 benefits, 21–22
 challenges with, 20–21
 in community and global
 engagement, 7
 as educational practice, 5–7
 recommendations, 22
Constructivist-oriented practices
 assessment procedures, 19
 cross-cultural understanding, 11
 engagement process, 14–18
 needs of engagement site, 11
 personal exploration, 8–9
 prior learning of students,
 9–10
 reflection, establishing
 expectation of, 12–13
Contemplative pedagogy role,
274–277
Continuing professional
development (CPD),
178–179

- Contradiction-focused techniques, 16
- Contribute Kenya Foundation, 95–98
- Critical inventory, 214–216
- Critical reading, 30
- Critical role-play activities, 33
- Critical thinking, 7
- Cross-cultural learning
 - on local and global scales, 235–240
 - technology, 240–242
- Cross-cultural online conversations, 241–242
- Cultivating Awareness and Resiliency in Education (CARE) program, 274–275
- Cultural autobiography, 235–236
- Cultural competence, 11, 21
- Culturally responsive teaching, 272–274
- Cultural mentors, 76–77
- Cultural shock, 54
- Cultural stress, 54
- Cultural surprise, 54
- Culture, 11

- DEAL model, 57–58
- Decision task, 116
- Describe, Interpret, Evaluate (DIE) journal entry, 80–81
- Descriptive level, 57
- Diversity Council, 268
- Domination and resistance
 - community cultural wealth, 219–220
 - critical inventories, 214–216
 - history, 220–224
 - positionality, 218
 - praxis, 212–214
 - public transcript, 218–219
- Education class, 279
- Education for sustainable development (ESD), 186
- Educators, preparation for
 - constructivist approaches
- Emotional entropy, 49–50, 58
- Empathy, 233
- Empire State College (ESC), 111
- Engage dissonance, 26–27, 286–287
- Entropy, 49
- Erasmus intensive programs, 193
- European pedagogical identity, 201–202
- European professional identity, 199, 202
- Examining level, 57, 64
- Executing task, 116
- Experiential activities, 180–181
- Experiential liberal arts, 6
- Extra-mural studies (EMS), 181–182

- Facilitation and setting
 - engagement, 101–102
- Faculty conceptual dimensions, 46–47
- Flipped classroom/Flipped Learning, 162

- Generation task, 116
- Global agency, 47–48
 - definition, 45–46
- Global citizens, 158
- Global citizenship, 262
- Global citizenship education
 - goals, 232

- impact programs, 230
- interaction, 31–34
- learning activity, 230
- learning context, 234–235
- noticing, 34–37
- pedagogical applications, 37–40
- perspective consciousness, 231–234
- scaffolding, 28–31
- teacher education curriculum, 231
- Global competency, 46
- Global engagement
 - constructivism in, 7
 - constructivist learning design, 7–13
 - engagement process, 13–18
 - synthesis, 18–20
- Global Leadership through Service Learning, 72
- Globally networked learning experiences (GNLE), 130–131
 - development, 137–138
 - faculty readiness, 134–135
 - language, 138
 - learning management system, 136–137
 - mindfulness and global citizenship, 132–133, 152–154
 - pedagogy and assessment, 138
 - planning and implementation, 134–137
 - practice, 139–142
 - schedules, 139
 - technologies, 136, 139
 - time zone, 138
- Group covenant, 150
- Habermas’s concept, 217
- Hanze University of Applied Sciences (HUAS), 89
 - engagement activities in Kenya, 92–93
- Hermeneutic circle, 16, 19
- Hierarchy of needs, learning and, 55
- Higher education
 - in class, 162–166
 - implications and challenges, 160–162
 - learner’s perceptions, 167–169
 - outside of class, 162
- Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), 193
- Holistic support approach, 184
- Honors Talent Program, 93–95
- Human rights, 158, 159
 - learn, 163
 - promotion, 167–168
- Iceberg metaphor, 11–12
- Identity, 56
- In a Different Mirror* (Takaki), 60
- Inclusive learning and teaching, 26
- Inclusive pedagogical approaches
 - framework, 37–40
 - interaction, 31–34
 - noticing, 34–37
 - scaffolding, 28–31
- India, U.S. Americans in, 50–51
- Indigenous knowledge, 21
- Institute for Academic Development (IAD), 185
- Institution of higher education (IHE), 266
- Intensive programs (IP)
 - aim, 192

- elements, 193, 194
- European professional identity, 199–202
- innovative goals, 195
- partner network, 193
- poverty and social exclusion, 194–195
- pre-meetings, 196
- risk factors, 195
- teaching and learning methods, 196–199
- Interaction, 31–34
- Interactive learning dimensions, 47–48
- Intercultural competence
 - development
 - cultural mentors, 76–77
 - D.I.E. journaling, 80–81
 - key partnerships and service learning, 74–76
 - personal identity, 81–82
 - personal inventories, 77–79
 - reflective journaling, 79–80
 - soft skills, 82–83
 - theoretical models, 72–74
- Interculturalism, 50–51
 - dissonance of death, 61–63
 - emotional entropy, 58–61
 - praxis, 57–58
 - preparation/pre-departure planning, 51–57
 - processing, 63–65
- Intercultural learning, 46, 47
- International Business School (IBS) of HUAS, 89, 92–93
- International collaboration, 109–110
 - challenges and implications, 122–125
 - data sources, 113–114
 - deployment of activities, 117–118
 - disbanding, 119
 - geology questionnaire, 119–121
 - instructional design approach, 119–122
 - learning activities, 114–115, 120
 - participants, 111–113
 - performance management and team development, 118
 - preparations, 115–117
 - research question, 111
- International Fund for Agriculture Development (IFAD), 90
- International service-learning, 44–47
 - faculty conceptual dimensions, 46–47
 - interactive learning dimensions, 47–48
 - See also* Interculturalism
- Interpersonal learning, 46, 47
- Intrapersonal learning, 46, 47
- Iona College, 51–52
- Kenya
 - economy, 90–91
 - food insecurity, 90
 - Mombasa, 91–92
 - see also* Mombasa engagement project
 - population, 90
 - tourism, 91
- Learners
 - expectations, 161
 - perceptions, 167–169
- Learning activities, 234–235
- Learning Cafe, 197
- Learning community, 165–166

- Learning community formation, 53–54
- Learning goals, 53–54
- Learning management system (LMS), 136–137
- Learning stations, 162–163
- Learning Styles model, 56
- Learning theory, 49
- Liberal education, 6
- Lifelong Learning Program (LLP), 193
- Logistics, for student travel, 52
- Metacognitive processes, 28
- Metalinguistic awareness, 35
- Mindful Global citizenship, 168–169
- Mindful Intercultural Communication Model, 133
- Mobile Applications Development, 113
- Mombasa engagement project, 89–90
 - community, 101
 - Contribute Kenya Foundation, 95–98
 - development challenges, 90–92
 - facilitation and setting engagement, 101–102
 - Honors Talent Program, 93–95
 - HUAS, engagement at, 92–93
 - networking and multiple-stakeholder involvement, 100–101
 - preparations and execution, 98–99
 - resources, 102
 - university management involvement, 102–103
- Mosque meeting, 237–240
- Multiprofessional-groups and tutoring, 198–199
- Noticing, 34–37
- Official postgraduate teacher training program, 161
- Online course-delivery system, 222–223
- Open-mindedness, 232–233
- Parks & people experience
 - dissonances, 252–259
 - ecological narrative, 250
 - group environment, 254–255
 - methodology, 251
 - navigating dissonances, 259–261
 - reserve, 250–251
 - strategic guidelines, University themes, 249–250
 - tensions, 248
- Pedagogical applications, for global education
 - framework, 37–40
 - interaction, 31–34
 - noticing, 34–37
 - scaffolding, 28–31
- Pedagogical practices, 210
- Pedagogical Resources for Teaching EFL, 161–162
- Pedagogical value, 210
- Pennsylvania State University, 249
- Personal identity, 81–82
- Personal inventories, 77–79
- Perspective consciousness, 231–234
- Physiological and psychological priorities, 55
- Pluralistic community, 212
- Portland State University, 52

- Positionality, 218
- Poverty and social exclusion,
194–195
- Power-flip models, 17
- Praxis cycle, 212–213
- Preparatory module, 196–197
- Principal's Teaching Award Scheme
(PTAS), 185–186
- Privilege Walk activity, 38
- Professional learning, 46, 47
- Professional skills
communication, 176–177
reflective practice, 178–179
self-awareness, 177–178
- Professional skills portfolio,
179–180
- Prosocial education, 280
- Prosocial Education for a
Democratic Society,
269–271
- Question Formulation Technique
(QFT), 270
- Reflective journaling, 79–80
- Reflective learning, 12–13
- Reflective practice, 178–179
- Reframing Pierre Bourdieu's
concept, 219
- Relationships, 257
- Resilience, 183–184
- Royal (Dick) School of
Veterinary Studies (R(D)
SVS), 174
- St. Joseph House of Hope, 96–97
- Sanford's Model of Challenge and
Support, 73
- Scaffolding, 28–31
- Secondary education, 282–286
- Secondary school literature,
161–162
- Self-actualization, 55
- Self-awareness, 177–178
- Service learning, 7, 15, 18, 44–45,
54–57
key partnerships and, 74–76
- Simulation
definition, 159
opportunity, 160
postgraduate students, design
and presentation, 166
structure and design, 162–163
- Simulation scenarios, 167
- Smart cities, 116
- Social Change Model for
Leadership Development,
72–73
- Social-emotional competence
adaptive challenges, 267–269
cultural competence, 272–274
human dimensions, 268
IHE, 266
meta-perspectives, 286–287
mindful global citizenship, 266
mission, 267–268
Prosocial Education for
Democratic Society,
269–271
Tufts context, 266, 269
undergraduate student
perspectives, 277–286
- Social-emotional learning (SEL),
273
- Social responsibility, 46
- Socioeconomic development, 89
- Socratic Circles, 30
- Soft skills, 82–83
- Souhad's approach, for language
learning, 282

- Spanish Educational System,
158–159
- State University of New York
(SUNY), 109–121
- Stereotypes, 233
- Stress management, 183–184
- Student-Led Research Projects,
182–183
- Student Research Component
Foundation (SRCF)
project, 183, 186
- Study abroad, 18
barriers and challenges, 83–84
- Subtractive practices, 14
- Task development, 116
- Teacher education curriculum, 231
- Teacher trainees, 163
in postgraduate course per year,
161–162
- Teaching and learning, 232–233
- Teaching methods, 199
- Tecnológico de Monterrey,
Chihuahua Campus,
109–121
- Telecollaboration
competencies, 146–149
environmental factors, 151
sample room arrangement, 145
- Townships, 260–261
- Transformational learning, 6, 20,
48, 268
- Triad Listening, 33
- University classroom, 278–279
- University leadership, 249–250
- US academic culture, 36
- U.S. Americans, in India, 50–51
See also Interculturalism
- Veterinary education
core interpersonal skills,
176–179
space, 186–187
teaching methods, 179–185
time, 185–186
undergraduate BVM&S degree,
174–175
- Virtual International Encounters,
34
- White Fragility, 276
- Yunnan Province of China, 72
- Zone of Proximal Development
(ZPD), 28