

INDEX

- Absolute forecast error (AFE), 111, 114
- Accounting fraud, 1–2
- “Ad hoc” theoretical bases, 211
- Age of forecast, 111
- Agent multiemployer DB plan, 150
- Akaike information criterion (AIC), 172
- Amazon’s Mechanical Turk (AMT), 14, 16, 33, 38–39
- Analysts’ expertise, 108–109
- Analysts’ forecast dispersion, 120
- ANCOVA, 194, 196
- Annuity puzzle concept, 155–157
- ANOVA tests, 41–42, 88–89
- Archival studies, 32–33
- Area of interest (AOI), 261
- Association for Investment Management and Research (AIMR), 109
- Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA), 35
- Audit engagement teams, 60
 - additional analyses, 69
 - results, 66–69
 - sample, 65
 - variable measurement, 65–66
- Audit-detected accounting errors, 214
- Auditors, 60
 - commitments to other targets, 62
 - firm commitment, 61
 - multiple commitments, 61
- Balanced scorecards (BSCs), 251, 259–260
- Bayesian information criterion (BIC), 172
- Behavioral accounting research (BAR), 210
 - changes in population of accountants, 211–218
 - country of origin, 213–215
 - gender differences, 212–213
 - generational differences, 215–218
 - samples, 211
 - studies, 211
- Behavioral ethics
 - analyses, 17
 - literature, 4
 - participants, 14
 - research in, 2
 - research setting and materials, 10–14
 - supplemental analyses, 17–20
- Biased decision, 251
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (BGFRS), 154
- Bootstrapping approach, 198
- Bounded ethicality, 5
- British Petroleum (BP), 36
- Brokerage house size, 112
- Changes in accounting population, 218
- Citizenship, 60
 - behavior, 60
- Clever Choice (CC), 258
- Coefficient of variation for sales, 120–122
- Cognitive processing, 251
- Commitment, 60, 63
- Comparative fit index (CFI), 66
- Confirmation bias, 250
- Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), 65, 67
- Corporate communication, 47
- Corporate reputation, 35–36

- Corporate social responsibility (CSR),
 31–32, 183–184
 additional analysis, 46
 analysis of investment judgments,
 41–42
 analysis of investor skepticism,
 43–44
 background and hypotheses devel-
 opment, 186–190
 crisis, 33
 crisis response strategies, 36–38
 dependent variables, 193–194
 design, 39
 design, task, and procedure, 192
 disclosures, 183–184, 207
 hypotheses tests, 194–198
 implications, limitations, and
 future research, 201–202
 independent variables, 192–193
 literature review, 34–35
 manipulation checks, 41
 mediation analysis, 44–46
 participants, 38–39
 performance, 52
 procedure, 39–41
 reputations, 31–36, 52
 research method and design,
 190–194
 response strategy, 34
 supplementary analysis, 198–201
- Correlation analyses, 17
- Counterproductive work behaviors
 (CWB), 7, 9
- Country of origin differences, 213–215
- Crisis, 32, 35
- Crisis response strategy, 31–33
- Cues, 78–79, 82
- Dark Triad
 analyses, 17
 background and hypotheses, 7–10
 components, 3
 influence, 1–2
 measurement variables, 16–17
 participants, 14
 research setting and materials,
 10–14
 supplemental analyses, 17–20
 traits, 2
- Decisions, 253–255
- Deep structure, 107
- Defined benefit plans (DB plans), 150
 hypotheses development, 157–162
 literature review, 154–157
 methodology, 163–166
 pension participant vesting, 152
 research design, 162–167
 results, 167–173
 sample and data, 162–163
 summary statistics, 166–167
- Defining Issues Test (DIT), 214
- Devil's advocate (DA), 252, 254–255
- Disclosure venues, 187, 201–202
- Dissenting opinion, 251, 254–255
 hypothesis development, 255–257
 literature review, 253–257
 research design, 257–264
 results, 264–270
- Distribution choices, 164
- Dual-process theory, 251, 253, 255
- Earnings forecasts, 104
 error, 104–105
- Earnings per share (EPS), 111
- Effort
 duration, 88–89
 intensity, 87, 89, 91
 provision, 227–228
- Endogeneity, 167–172
- Engagement team, 60
- Errors in perceptual causation, 7
- Escalation of commitment, 250
- Ethical behavior, 1–2
- Ethical blindness, 2
- Ethical decision-making, 3–4
 literature review of studies on, 4
- Ethical fading, 1–2
 analyses, 17
 background and hypotheses, 5–10
 individual components of Dark
 Triad to, 3

- participants, 14
- research setting and materials, 10–14
- supplemental analyses, 17–20
- Ethical sensitivity, 5–7
- Ethics-related judgments, 214–215
- Euphemistic language, 7
- Eurosif, 35
- Expectancy violation theory (EV theory), 32, 37
- Experimental design, 143–144
- Experimental method, 83–86
 - experimental design and procedure, 84–86
 - participants, 83–84
- Expertise, 103–105
- Eye-tracking, 251–252, 261

- Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 40
- Filmless digital camera, 249–250
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), 82
- Financial analysts, 103–104, 107
- Financial disclosures, 184
- Financial literacy, 162
- Financial performance, 184–185, 188, 190
- Firms, 35–37
 - commitment, 61
 - firm-specific experience, 122
 - following, 111
- Forecasts, 103–104
 - accuracy, 103–104, 111
 - control variables, 111–112
 - dependent variables, 111
 - frequency, 112
 - hypotheses testing, 114–120
 - independent variables, 110–111
 - research method, 108–112
 - sample selection and descriptive statistics, 112–114
 - sensitivity analyses, 120–122
 - specification of variables, 110
 - theory and hypotheses, 105–108

- Gender differences, 212–213

- Gender socialization, 213
- General Experience (Rgenexp), 110
- Generation X (millennials), 211
- Generation Y (millennials), 211
- Generational differences, 215–218
 - in intrinsic and extrinsic rewards, 215–216
 - in teamwork and collaboration, 216
 - in technology use and comfort, 216–218
- Gift-exchange contracts, 227–228
- Gift-exchange model, 228–229
 - conditions, 232–233
 - descriptive statistics, 235–237
 - hypothesis testing, 237–239
 - parameters, 233–235
 - procedures, 233
 - robustness, 229–230
 - subjects, 235
 - supplemental analysis, 239–244
 - theory and hypotheses, 230–232
 - variables, 235
- Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), 150
- Great Ocean, Inc., 39–41, 52, 54, 56

- High-quality social exchange relationships, 60–61
- Histograms, 139
- Human information processing, 252

- Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF), 151
 - institutional background of LSW choices of nonvested employees at, 152–153
- Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund Authorized Agent (IMRFAA), 153–154
- Incomplete contracts
 - and experiment, 232–233
 - setting, 233–234
- Incremental fit index (IFI), 66
- Individual Retirement Account (IRA), 152

- Industry Specialization (Rspec), 111
- Information asymmetry, 231–232
- Information search, 253–255
- Information source, 184, 186, 188
 of CSR disclosures, 186
 effect of information source on
 investment decisions,
 196–197
 manipulations, 206
- Institutional Brokers Estimate System
 (I/B/E/S), 112
- Instrumental variable (IV), 163–165
 approach, 167–172
 probit regression estimation, 167
- International Beverages (IB), 193
- International diversification, 104–105
- Investment decisions
 effect of information source on,
 196–197
 mediating effect of management
 credibility on, 198
- Investment judgments, analysis of,
 41–42
- Investment preference task, 100
- Investor judgments, 31–32, 34, 95
- Investor skepticism, analysis of, 43–44
- Irreproducibility, 210
- Judgment/decision-making research
 asserts (J/DM research
 asserts), 105–106
- Likert rating scales, 134–135, 143
- Lump sum withdrawals (LSW),
 150–151
 behavior, 151–152
 choices of nonvested employees,
 153–154
 institutional background of LSW
 choices of nonvested
 employees at IMRF,
 152–153
 literature, 154–156
 policies, 152
- Machiavellian attitudes, 3
- Machiavellianism, 1–4, 7, 9
 effect, 17
 ethical fading mediates effect of, 19
- Management accounting, 229–230
- Management credibility, 193
 effect of information source on
 management credibility
 judgments, 194–196
 mediating effect of management
 credibility on investment
 decisions, 198
- Management incentive, 188–190
- Managerial reporting, 227–229
- Manipulation checks, 86–87, 264, 267
- MANOVA test, 264
- Marketplace Simulation (MarSi)*,
 10–14
- Master of Science in Accounting
 (MSA), 83–84, 93
- Mechanical Turk (MTurk), 217
- Mediation analysis, 44–46
- MODO, Inc., 41
- Moods, 78, 80, 88–89, 91, 93
 additional analyses, 93–94
 as information, 81
 manipulation checks, 86–87
 measures of effort to process
 financial information,
 87–88
 mood, subjectivity cues, and effort
 to process financial infor-
 mation, 81–83
 results, 86–94
 valence, 78
- Moral disengagement, 6
- Moral hypocrisy, 21–22
- Motivated reasoning, 250, 253–254
- Multitask environment, 229–231
- Multivariate analysis, 172–173
- Multivariate tests, 139
- Narcissism, 1–2, 9
 ethical fading mediates effect of, 20
 prevalence, 4–5
- Narcissists, 9
- “National mood” in United States, 78

- Net present value (NPV), 79–80, 85
- Nonprofessional investors, 185, 189
- Normality of distribution, 139

- Occupational socialization, 213
- One-way ANOVA, 264
- Optimal scale length, 135–136
- Overall effort, 91–93

- Participative budgeting, 228–229
 - primary antecedent, 231–232
- Pension funding, 151, 157, 160
 - consequences, 151–152
- Pension plan age (*PLANAGE*), 167
- Pension portability, 160
- Perceived team fairness, 75
- Perceived team support, 69, 75
- Percentage-based scales, 132
 - anchoring on labels, 142–143
 - background and research question, 134–137
 - common labeling designs, 132
 - difference in means and variance, 138–139
 - frequency of response, 139–143
 - labeling, 133
 - normality of distribution, 139
 - participants and task, 137
 - selection of scale points, 142
 - variables, 137–138
- 100-point scales, 135
- 101-point scales, 135
- Post hoc techniques, 70
- Preference-inconsistent information, 253
- Prior period forecast accuracy, 111
- Professional commitment, 62
- Professional investors, 183–185
- Psychopaths, 9–10
- Psychopathy, 1–4, 9–10
 - effect, 17
 - ethical fading mediates effect of, 19
- Public sector DB plans, 150–152

- Randomization check, 264
- Rating scales, 131–132, 134–135

- Reciprocal preferences, 230
- Reciprocal service, 160–162
 - employee determinants, 160–162
 - plan and employment determinants, 162
- Reciprocity, 228–231
- Regression
 - analysis, 17
 - variables, 110
- Relative accuracy, 114
- Replicability in accounting research, 210
- Replication, 210
- Reputations, 31–32
- Responsible investors, 185
- Retirement savings, 150
 - leakage, 156–157
- Return on investment (ROI), 87–88
- Risk-averse subordinate, 212
- Root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), 66

- Scale design theory, 135–136
- Scale labels, 133
- Scale points, 133, 135, 140, 142
- Self-aggrandizement, 9
- Self-deception, 7
- Self-justification theory, 250
- Sellers, 11–13
- Semantic differential scales, 134–135, 143
- Sensitivity
 - analyses, 120–122
 - tests, 173
- Sentiment, 79
- Shapiro–Wilk test of normality, 139
- Shared emotion, 78
- Short Dark Triad measure (SD3 measure), 16
- Simulated markets, 3
- “Slippery slope” of decision-making, 7
- Social exchange theory, 63–64
- Social mood, 78
- Structural equation modeling (SEM), 44
- Subjectivity, 79, 82

- cues, 81, 83, 87–89, 91, 93
- disclosures, 80
- “Subjectivity cue absent” condition, 85
- “Subjectivity cue present” condition, 85
- Substantial research, 253
- Supplemental analyses, 3
- Supplemental expertise measure, 122
- Supplemental firm complexity measures, 120–122
- Surface structures, 107

- Target similarity model, 63–64, 66
- Task complexity, 105–106, 109–110
- Teachers Retirement System (TRS), 156
- Team citizenship behavior, 60, 66, 69, 75–76

- Team commitment, 60, 75
 - prior organizational research on employees’ team commitment, 62–63
- Team-member exchange, 62
- Third-party reports of CSR, 187–188
- Trust-contract setting, 233–234
- Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), 66

- Unethical acts, 2
- Unethical behavior, 1–3
- “Up or out” organizational culture, 64
- US Health and Retirement Survey (HRS), 155, 157

- Variable of interest (VIT), 110
- Vesting, 152