

Mutual fund research: a perspective on how we have arrived at the current state of academic research on mutual funds

Mutual funds have existed in one form or another since the eighteenth century, although the first modern mutual fund was created in 1924. By 2016, over \$40 trillion was invested in mutual funds worldwide (Investment Company Institute, ICI, 2017). No doubt, much of the recent growth in mutual funds corresponds to the demise of the defined benefit pension plan in the USA in favor of the 401(k) and other defined contribution plans, as well as similar trends worldwide.

The academic literature in this area is, of course, substantial. Early work demonstrated that the benefits of active portfolio management are far from obvious (Jensen, 1968). Jensen's work laid out the methodology of measuring a mutual fund's performance via the intercept from the regression of a fund's return on a benchmark. Jensen's finding of negative estimated "alphas" raised questions about the skill of mutual fund managers and the rationality of investors. The following decades witnessed extensive work to explain when, how, and why investors should, or should not, pursue professionally and actively managed portfolios. The financial services industry responded with the launch of low-cost index funds (Hortaçsu and Syverson, 2004; Bogle, 2002, 2016) and new marketing efforts to justify active management (Jain and Wu, 2000; Chen *et al.*, 2000). Investors responded in two divergent ways: by directing increasing wealth to new passive investment strategies (ICI, 2017; Gruber, 1996), and by investing in alternative actively managed choices, such as hedge funds (Fung *et al.*, 2008).

The continuing flow into seemingly underperforming actively managed mutual funds was a conundrum for academic researchers in the 1980s and 1990s. Financial economists attempted to explain the inconsistency by asserting the existence of potential problems with the data and methods used to measure performance (Grinblatt and Titman, 1989; Malkiel, 1995; Daniel *et al.*, 1997; Elton *et al.*, 2001; Kothari and Warner, 2001). Refinements to the data and methods failed to resolve the issues, with some research consistent with skilled trading by fund managers (Chevalier and Ellison, 1999a; Zheng, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2000; Bollen and Busse, 2001) and other work suggesting that investors behave irrationally by investing in actively managed funds (Goetzmann and Peles, 1997; Malkiel, 1999; Cooper *et al.*, 2005).

Evidence in the 1990s suggested that fees (Chordia, 1996; Carhart, 1997) and trading costs (Grinblatt and Titman, 1989; Daniel *et al.*, 1997; Carhart, 1997; Wermers, 2000) drive the performance of fund managers' investment choices away from the realized returns of investors in those funds. Evidence was mounting to support the notion that mutual fund flows were systematically and asymmetrically tied to fund performance (Warther, 1995; Sirri and Tufano, 1998; Barber *et al.*, 2005; Huang *et al.*, 2007; Rakowski and Wang, 2009; Cashman *et al.*, 2012).

Berk and Green (2004) developed a seminal model that explained how the conflicting evidence on fund manager skill may be consistent with rational investor behavior. Their model suggested that performance-chasing by fund investors was both rational and sufficient to explain the mixed results in studies of fund manager skill following Jensen's (1968) work. Berk and Green's model suggested that the return-only performance measures that had dominated the literature for decades (Grinblatt and Titman, 1994; Fama and French, 1993; Carhart, 1997) failed to account for the dynamic response of investors when allocating new money to managers displaying exceptional skill.



The Berk and Green (2004) model provided an intuitive explanation for evidence of (under)performance by fund managers, but academic research provided only indirect evidence to support their framework. Direct evidence on diseconomies of scale in mutual fund management implied by the model has proven elusive (Latzko, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2004; Friesen and Sapp, 2007; Pollet and Wilson, 2008; Ciccotello *et al.*, 2011; Agapova *et al.*, 2011). In addition, the interaction between fund flows and fund managers' trading discretion appears to negatively impact active fund management in a wide range of contexts that are not fully included in the model (Edelen, 1999; Johnson, 2004; Yan, 2006). Fund flows are detrimental to fund performance because of increased transaction costs (Kacperczyk *et al.*, 2006; Greene *et al.*, 2007; Dubofsky, 2010; Rakowski, 2010) and poor timing from the manager's perspective (Chalmers *et al.*, 2001; Greene and Hodges, 2002; Alexander *et al.*, 2006; Coval and Stafford, 2007; Frazzini and Lamont, 2008).

Mutual funds are a fertile research area because they involve so many aspects of financial economics. Updated performance measures are important to academics and practitioners. New methodologies and improved statistical methods (Blake *et al.*, 2017) facilitate improved inferences about luck, scale, and active management (Barras *et al.*, 2010; Fama and French, 2010; Del Guercio and Reuter, 2014; Blake *et al.*, 2017). Fund manager behavior has been associated with various personal, geographic, and demographic characteristics (Nohel *et al.*, 2010; Deuskar *et al.*, 2011; Pool *et al.*, 2012, 2015; Deng and Rakowski, 2016). Studies of individual fund managers have been expanded to examine entire fund families, focusing on important areas, such as fund launches (Khorana and Servaes, 1999; Evans, 2010; Shirley and Stark, 2016), cross-subsidization (Massa, 2003; Nanda *et al.*, 2004; Gaspar *et al.*, 2006; Kempf and Ruenzi, 2007), information flows (Brown and Wu, 2016), governance (McCahery *et al.*, 2016; Adams *et al.*, 2016), and agency conflicts (Hao and Yan, 2012; Battacharya *et al.*, 2013; Adams *et al.*, 2014; Del Guercio *et al.*, 2017).

The interaction between mutual fund investors and fund managers has widespread implications for security pricing in response to the actions of fund investors (Brown *et al.*, 2013; Anton and Polk, 2014; Koch *et al.*, 2016). The behavior of mutual fund investors, therefore, has a material impact on financial markets (Bailey *et al.*, 2011; Ben-Rephael *et al.*, 2012) and these impacts reach far beyond the fund industry. The impact of fund flows and management decisions can be inferred from overall market movements, as well as from mutual fund holdings disclosures (Agarwal *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Parida and Teo, 2018; Schwarz and Potter, 2016). The use of mutual fund holdings data in academic research has led to findings demonstrating the biases and performance impacts that arise in strategic decisions by fund managers to hold derivatives (Koski and Pontiff, 1999; Deli and Varma, 2002; Natter *et al.*, 2016) in socially responsible investments (Goldreyer *et al.*, 1999; El Ghoual and Karoui, 2017), and in exchange-traded products (Sherrill *et al.*, 2017; Rakowski *et al.*, 2017).

As academic research has driven the industry toward new models of low-cost investing and new avenues for active management, the industry has evolved with new organizational forms. Closed-end funds experienced a massive decline (ICI, 2017) after academic research exposed the inefficiencies of a structure that allows price discounts but does little to address concerns about skilled management (Day *et al.*, 2011; Cherkes, 2012; Wu *et al.*, 2016). Meanwhile, research has followed the shift of assets into funds with new structures (Agapova, 2011; Elton *et al.*, 2011; Gastineau, 2001; Clifford *et al.*, 2014), leverage abilities (Charapat and Miu, 2011; Tang and Xu, 2013), focus, or style.

This special issue on mutual funds deals with such a diverse set of institutions and behaviors that we are only able to cover a few topics in this small volume. Nevertheless, we hope that our readers find the five papers assembled in this volume to be interesting and thought-provoking. Two of the papers address issues surrounding exchange-traded vs conventional mutual funds. Two papers provide updated perspectives on how to measure

fund performance and what to infer from these measures. The final paper examines the relation between a set of sector funds and the corresponding underlying assets.

The first paper, “Portfolio turnover activity and mutual fund performance” (Champagne *et al.*, 2018), extends the literature on the relation between portfolio turnover and fund performance by examining a measure of portfolio turnover that assess trading activity in terms of portfolio weight changes (termed “modified turnover” (MT)) rather than the more conventional method whereby the analyst aggregates the dollar amounts of trades over a given period, i.e., the portfolio turnover ratio (PTR). The authors observe differences between the two measures. MT uses portfolio holding data, whereas PTR incorporates data on all trades in a given time interval. MT includes the effects of fund flows, whereas PTR does not. MT excludes offsetting trades. Utilizing their refined measure of portfolio activity, the authors conclude that portfolio churning is value destroying, and it presents a credible signal of a manager’s shortcomings. These findings provide an important complement to related recent work on similar topics by Pástor *et al.* (2017) and Cremers and Pareek (2016).

The second paper, “Life after death: acquired fund performance” (Lapatto and Puttonen, 2018), examines target fund performance in the period surrounding a merger. The authors quote an assertion from the popular press suggesting that merging funds is the best option for poor performers during turbulent periods in the financial markets. The authors pose the interesting question, “Would target fund underperformance continue if not merged?”. The authors employ a creative approach to determine whether target funds would have performed better, had they simply continued to operate independently as passively managed portfolios. Employing a procedure that controls for fund fees, the authors study Sharpe ratios and Fama-French-Carhart alphas. They find that target shareholders would have enjoyed a higher return, had the target fund simply pursued a buy and hold strategy rather than merge. This work facilitates better understanding of the conflicting evidence that exists in studies that compare the motivations of fund managers to merge (Namvar and Phillips, 2013; Andreu and Sarto, 2016), shut down, or follow a closet indexing strategy (Cremers and Petajisto, 2009; Cremers *et al.*, 2016).

The third paper, “Actively managed ETFs vs actively managed mutual funds” (Sherrill and Upton, 2018), examines performance characteristics of actively managed exchange-traded funds (ETFs) vs actively managed conventional mutual funds. The authors expand the work of Agapova (2011) to analyze individual and aggregate fund flows for both ETFs and conventional funds, including consideration of a 2013 change in the tax code that the authors hypothesize should favor ETFs. The paper builds upon previous research to determine substitutability and clientele effects with respect to the two types of funds. This research is relevant for investors choosing between ETF vs conventional funds that track the same index, as well as the question of how ETFs perform relative to their benchmarks. Their results show that actively managed ETFs and conventional funds are viewed as substitutes, an effect that is stronger for equity and mixed funds than for fixed income funds. Moreover, the authors find a tax clientele effect (Sialm and Starks, 2012) favoring exchanged traded funds that is stronger for fixed income and mixed funds than for equity funds. The authors conclude that extant capital market conditions may have a tendency to favor ETFs that should contribute to their continued development in this investment space.

The fourth paper, “Trading on ETF mispricings” (Kreis and Licht, 2018), examines the relation between ETF prices and the market value of the underlying portfolio (net asset value, or NAV) for a sample of European sector ETFs by analyzing a long-short trading strategy based upon price/NAV information accounting for trading costs. Their analysis demonstrates a positive gross excess return that exceeds transactions costs only during the financial turbulence that occurred between 2008 and 2010. The authors conclude that the

long-short strategy is potentially profitable only during times of high market volatility. The paper, therefore, provides an excellent analysis of the practical implications of well-known patterns in ETF mispricing (Rompotis, 2011; Petajisto, 2017).

The fifth paper, "Energy mutual funds and oil prices" (Gormus *et al.*, 2018), examines the effect of oil prices on energy mutual funds in terms of price level and volatility. Oil prices have been studied as shock factors in the capital markets generally, but this paper is unique in that it examines the impact of oil prices specifically on energy mutual funds. The price-fund relation is examined using a VAR(p) framework, and volatility transmission effect is analyzed using a GARCH(1,1) framework suggested by Hafner and Herwartz (2006). The authors find that both oil prices and fund characteristics play an important role in fund performance.

Overall, the papers in our special issue provide extensions on some of the most influential academic research on mutual funds, as well as practical applications of the findings from some of the most influential academic findings.

J. David Diltz and David Rakowski

*Department of Finance and Real Estate,
University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas, USA*

Acknowledgements

We thank Don Johnson, Editor of *Managerial Finance*, for the opportunity to produce this special issue. He has provided cheerful guidance, and a great deal of patience. We also thank Valerie Robillard and the team at Emerald for their support. We are grateful for the response from authors across the academy for their interest in our project and for their valuable submissions, whether selected for this volume or not. Lastly, we thank the anonymous reviewers who contributed so much of their valuable time and expertise, without compensation, to review the original submissions and to usher the manuscripts through the revision process.

References

- Adams, J.C., Mansi, S.A. and Nishikawa, T. (2014), "Affiliated agents, boards of directors, and mutual fund securities lending returns", *Journal of Financial Research*, Vol. 37 No. 4, pp. 461-494.
- Adams, J.C., Nishikawa, T. and Rao, R.P. (2016), "Mutual fund performance, management teams, and boards", *Journal of Banking & Finance* (in press).
- Agapova, A. (2011), "Conventional mutual index funds versus exchange-traded funds", *Journal of Financial Markets*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 323-343.
- Agapova, A., Ferguson, R. and Greene, J. (2011), "Market diversity and the performance of actively managed portfolios", *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 48-59.
- Agarwal, V., Gay, G.D. and Ling, L. (2014), "Window dressing in mutual funds", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 27 No. 11, pp. 3133-3170.
- Agarwal, V., Mullally, K.A., Tang, Y. and Yang, B. (2015), "Mandatory portfolio disclosure, stock liquidity, and mutual fund performance", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 70 No. 6, pp. 2733-2776.
- Alexander, G.J., Cici, G. and Gibson, S. (2006), "Does motivation matter when assessing trade performance? An analysis of mutual funds", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 125-150.
- Andreu, L. and Sarto, J.L. (2016), "Financial consequences of mutual fund mergers", *The European Journal of Finance*, Vol. 22 No. 7, pp. 529-550.
- Anton, M. and Polk, C. (2014), "Connected stocks", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 69 No. 3, pp. 1099-1127.
- Bailey, W., Kumar, A. and Ng, D. (2011), "Behavioral biases of mutual fund investors", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 102 No. 1, pp. 1-27.

- Barber, B.M., Odean, T. and Zheng, L. (2005), "Out of sight, out of mind: the effects of expenses on mutual fund flows", *The Journal of Business*, Vol. 78 No. 6, pp. 2095-2120.
- Barras, L., Scaillet, O. and Wermers, R. (2010), "False discoveries in mutual fund performance: measuring luck in estimated alphas", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 65 No. 1, pp. 179-216.
- Ben-Rephael, A., Kandel, S. and Wohl, A. (2012), "Measuring investor sentiment with mutual fund flows", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 104 No. 2, pp. 363-382.
- Berk, J.B. and Green, R.C. (2004), "Mutual fund flows and performance in rational markets", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 112 No. 6, pp. 1269-1295.
- Bhattacharya, U., Lee, J.H. and Pool, V.K. (2013), "Conflicting family values in mutual fund families", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 68 No. 1, pp. 173-200.
- Blake, D., Caulfield, T., Ioannidis, C. and Tonks, I. (2017), "New evidence on mutual fund performance: a comparison of alternative bootstrap methods", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 1-21.
- Bogle, J.C. (2002), "An index fund fundamentalist", *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 31-38.
- Bogle, J.C. (2016), "The index mutual fund: 40 years of growth, change, and challenge", *Financial Analysts Journal*, Vol. 72 No. 1, pp. 9-13.
- Bollen, N.P. and Busse, J.A. (2001), "On the timing ability of mutual fund managers", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 1075-1094.
- Brown, D.P. and Wu, Y. (2016), "Mutual fund flows and cross-fund learning within families", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 71 No. 1, pp. 383-424.
- Brown, N.C., Wei, K.D. and Wermers, R. (2013), "Analyst recommendations, mutual fund herding, and overreaction in stock prices", *Management Science*, Vol. 60 No. 1, pp. 1-20.
- Carhart, M.M. (1997), "On persistence in mutual fund performance", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 57-82.
- Cashman, G.D., Deli, D.N., Nardari, F. and Villupuram, S. (2012), "Investors do respond to poor mutual fund performance: evidence from inflows and outflows", *Financial Review*, Vol. 47 No. 4, pp. 719-739.
- Chalmers, J.M., Edelen, R.M. and Kadlec, G.B. (2001), "On the perils of financial intermediaries setting security prices: the mutual fund wild card option", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 56 No. 6, pp. 2209-2236.
- Champagne, C., Karoui, A. and Patel, S. (2018), "Portfolio turnover activity and mutual fund performance", *Managerial Finance*, Special issue.
- Charupat, N. and Miu, P. (2011), "The pricing and performance of leveraged exchange-traded funds", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp. 966-977.
- Chen, H.L., Jegadeesh, N. and Wermers, R. (2000), "The value of active mutual fund management: an examination of the stockholdings and trades of fund managers", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 343-368.
- Chen, J., Hong, H., Huang, M. and Kubik, J.D. (2004), "Does fund size erode mutual fund performance? The role of liquidity and organization", *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 94 No. 5, pp. 1276-1302.
- Cherkes, M. (2012), "Closed-end funds: a survey", *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 431-445.
- Chevalier, J. and Ellison, G. (1999a), "Are some mutual fund managers better than others? Cross-sectional patterns in behavior and performance", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 54 No. 3, pp. 875-899.
- Chordia, T. (1996), "The structure of mutual fund charges", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 3-39.
- Ciccotello, C., Greene, J., Ling, L. and Rakowski, D. (2011), "Capacity and factor timing effects in active portfolio management", *Journal of Financial Markets*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 277-300.

- Clifford, C.P., Fulkerson, J.A. and Jordan, B.D. (2014), "What drives ETF flows?", *Financial Review*, Vol. 49 No. 3, pp. 619-642.
- Cooper, M.J., Gulen, H. and Rau, P.R. (2005), "Changing names with style: mutual fund name changes and their effects on fund flows", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 60 No. 6, pp. 2825-2858.
- Coval, J. and Stafford, E. (2007), "Asset fire sales (and purchases) in equity markets", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 86 No. 2, pp. 479-512.
- Cremers, K.M. and Petajisto, A. (2009), "How active is your fund manager? A new measure that predicts performance", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 22 No. 9, pp. 3329-3365.
- Cremers, M. and Pareek, A. (2016), "Patient capital outperformance: the investment skill of high active share managers who trade infrequently", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 122 No. 2, pp. 288-306.
- Cremers, M., Ferreira, M.A., Matos, P. and Starks, L. (2016), "Indexing and active fund management: international evidence", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 120 No. 3, pp. 539-560.
- Daniel, K., Grinblatt, M., Titman, S. and Wermers, R. (1997), "Measuring mutual fund performance with characteristic-based benchmarks", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 52 No. 3, pp. 1035-1058.
- Day, T.E., Li, G.Z. and Xu, Y. (2011), "Dividend distributions and closed-end fund discounts", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 100 No. 3, pp. 579-593.
- Del Guercio, D., Genc, E. and Tran, H. (2017), "Playing favorites: conflicts of interest in mutual fund management", *Journal of Financial Economics* (in press).
- Del Guercio, D.D. and Reuter, J. (2014), "Mutual fund performance and the incentive to generate alpha", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 69 No. 4, pp. 1673-1704.
- Deli, D. and Varma, R. (2002), "Closed end versus open end: the choice of organization form", *Journal of Corporate Finance*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 1-27.
- Deng, S. and Rakowski, D. (2016), "Geography and local (dis) advantage: evidence from muni bond funds", *Quarterly Journal of Finance*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 1650008-1-1650008-24.
- Deuskar, P., Pollet, J.M., Wang, Z.J. and Zheng, L. (2011), "The good or the bad? Which mutual fund managers join hedge funds?", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 24 No. 9, pp. 3008-3024.
- Dubofsky, D.A. (2010), "Mutual fund portfolio trading and investor flow", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 802-812.
- Edelen, R.M. (1999), "Investor flows and the assessed performance of open-end mutual funds", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 53 No. 3, pp. 439-466.
- El Ghouli, S. and Karoui, A. (2017), "Does corporate social responsibility affect mutual fund performance and flows?", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 77 No. 1, pp. 53-63.
- Elton, E.J., Gruber, M.J. and Blake, C.R. (2001), "A first look at the accuracy of the CRSP mutual fund database and a comparison of the CRSP and morningstar mutual fund databases", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 56 No. 6, pp. 2415-2430.
- Elton, E.J., Gruber, M.J., Comer, G. and Li, K. (2011), "Spiders: where are the bugs?", in Elton, E. (Ed.), *Investments and Portfolio Performance*, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, pp. 235-254.
- Evans, R.B. (2010), "Mutual fund incubation", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 65 No. 4, pp. 1581-1611.
- Fama, E.F. and French, K.R. (1993), "Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 3-56.
- Fama, E.F. and French, K.R. (2010), "Luck versus skill in the cross-section of mutual fund returns", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 65 No. 5, pp. 1915-1947.
- Frazzini, A. and Lamont, O.A. (2008), "Dumb money: mutual fund flows and the cross-section of stock returns", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 88 No. 2, pp. 299-322.
- Friesen, G.C. and Sapp, T.R. (2007), "Mutual fund flows and investor returns: an empirical examination of fund investor timing ability", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 31 No. 9, pp. 2796-2816.
- Fung, W., Hsieh, D.A., Naik, N.Y. and Ramadorai, T. (2008), "Hedge funds: performance, risk, and capital formation", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 63 No. 4, pp. 1777-1803.

- Gaspar, J.M., Massa, M. and Matos, P. (2006), "Favoritism in mutual fund families? Evidence on strategic cross-fund subsidization", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 61 No. 1, pp. 73-104.
- Gastineau, G.L. (2001), "Exchange-traded funds: an introduction", *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 88-96.
- Goetzmann, W.N. and Peles, N. (1997), "Cognitive dissonance and mutual fund investors", *Journal of Financial Research*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 145-158.
- Goldreyer, E., Ahmed, P. and Diltz, J.D. (1999), "The performance of socially responsible mutual funds: incorporating sociopolitical information in portfolio selection", *Managerial Finance*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 23-36.
- Gormus, A., Soytaş, U. and Diltz, J. (2018), "Energy mutual funds and oil prices", *Managerial Finance*, Special issue.
- Greene, J.T. and Hodges, C.W. (2002), "The dilution impact of daily fund flows on open-end mutual funds", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 65 No. 1, pp. 131-158.
- Greene, J.T., Hodges, C.W. and Rakowski, D.A. (2007), "Daily mutual fund flows and redemption policies", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 31 No. 12, pp. 3822-3842.
- Grinblatt, M. and Titman, S. (1989), "Mutual fund performance: an analysis of quarterly portfolio holdings", *Journal of Business*, Vol. 62 No. 3, pp. 393-416.
- Grinblatt, M. and Titman, S. (1994), "A study of monthly mutual fund returns and performance evaluation techniques", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 419-444.
- Gruber, M.J. (1996), "Another puzzle: the growth in actively managed mutual funds", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 51 No. 3, pp. 783-810.
- Hafner, C.M. and Herwartz, H. (2006), "A Lagrange multiplier test for causality in variance", *Economics Letters*, Vol. 93 No. 1, pp. 137-141.
- Hao, G.Q. and Yan, X.S. (2012), "The performance of investment bank-affiliated mutual funds: conflicts of interest or informational advantage?", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 47 No. 3, pp. 537-565.
- Hortaçsu, A. and Syverson, C. (2004), "Product differentiation, search costs, and competition in the mutual fund industry: a case study of S&P 500 index funds", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 119 No. 2, pp. 403-456.
- Huang, J., Wei, K.D. and Yan, H. (2007), "Participation costs and the sensitivity of fund flows to past performance", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 62 No. 3, pp. 1273-1311.
- Investment Company Institute (ICI) (2017), "2017 investment company factbook", available at: www.icifactbook.org/
- Jain, P.C. and Wu, J.S. (2000), "Truth in mutual fund advertising: evidence on future performance and fund flows", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 55 No. 2, pp. 937-958.
- Jensen, M.C. (1968), "The performance of mutual funds in the period 1945-1964", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 389-416.
- Johnson, W.T. (2004), "Predictable investment horizons and wealth transfers among mutual fund shareholders", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 59 No. 5, pp. 1979-2012.
- Kacperczyk, M., Sialm, C. and Zheng, L. (2006), "Unobserved actions of mutual funds", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 21 No. 6, pp. 2379-2416.
- Kempf, A. and Ruenzi, S. (2007), "Tournaments in mutual-fund families", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 1013-1036.
- Khorana, A. and Servaes, H. (1999), "The determinants of mutual fund starts", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 1043-1074.
- Koch, A., Ruenzi, S. and Starks, L. (2016), "Commonality in liquidity: a demand-side explanation", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 29 No. 8, pp. 1943-1974.
- Koski, J.L. and Pontiff, J. (1999), "How are derivatives used? Evidence from the mutual fund industry", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 54 No. 2, pp. 791-816.

-
- Kothari, S.P. and Warner, J.B. (2001), "Evaluating mutual fund performance", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 56 No. 5, pp. 1985-2010.
- Kreis, Y. and Licht, J. (2018), "Actively managed ETFs versus actively managed mutual funds", *Managerial Finance*, Special issue.
- Lapatto, A. and Puttonen, V. (2018), "Life after death: acquired fund performance", *Managerial Finance*, Special issue.
- Latzko, D. (1999), "Economics of scale in mutual fund administration", *Journal of Financial Research*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 331-339.
- McCahery, J.A., Sautner, Z. and Starks, L.T. (2016), "Behind the scenes: the corporate governance preferences of institutional investors", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 71 No. 6, pp. 2905-2932.
- Malkiel, B.G. (1995), "Returns from investing in equity mutual funds 1971 to 1991", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 50 No. 2, pp. 549-572.
- Malkiel, B.G. (1999), *A Random Walk Down Wall Street: Including a Life-Cycle Guide to Personal Investing*, WW Norton & Company, New York, NY.
- Massa, M. (2003), "How do family strategies affect fund performance? When performance-maximization is not the only game in town", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 67 No. 2, pp. 249-304.
- Namvar, E. and Phillips, B. (2013), "Commonalities in investment strategy and the determinants of performance in mutual fund mergers", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 625-635.
- Nanda, V., Wang, Z.J. and Zheng, L. (2004), "Family values and the star phenomenon: strategies of mutual fund families", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 667-698.
- Natter, M., Rohleder, M., Schulte, D. and Wilkens, M. (2016), "The benefits of option use by mutual funds", *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 142-168.
- Nohel, T., Wang, Z.J. and Zheng, L. (2010), "Side-by-side management of hedge funds and mutual funds", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 23 No. 6, pp. 2342-2373.
- Parida, S. and Teo, T. (2018), "The impact of more frequent portfolio disclosure on mutual fund performance", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 87 No. 1, pp. 427-445.
- Pástor, L., Stambaugh, R.F. and Taylor, L.A. (2017), "Do funds make more when they trade more?", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 72 No. 4, pp. 1483-1528.
- Petajisto, A. (2017), "Inefficiencies in the pricing of exchange-traded funds", *Financial Analysts Journal*, Vol. 73 No. 1, pp. 24-54.
- Pollet, J.M. and Wilson, M. (2008), "How does size affect mutual fund behavior?", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 63 No. 6, pp. 2941-2969.
- Pool, V.K., Stoffman, N. and Yonker, S.E. (2012), "No place like home: familiarity in mutual fund manager portfolio choice", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 25 No. 8, pp. 2563-2599.
- Pool, V.K., Stoffman, N. and Yonker, S.E. (2015), "The people in your neighborhood: social interactions and mutual fund portfolios", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 70 No. 6, pp. 2679-2732.
- Rakowski, D. (2010), "Fund flow volatility and performance", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp. 223-237.
- Rakowski, D. and Wang, X. (2009), "The dynamics of short-term mutual fund flows and returns: a time-series and cross-sectional investigation", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 33 No. 11, pp. 2102-2109.
- Rakowski, D., Shirley, S.E. and Stark, J.R. (2017), "Tail-risk hedging, dividend chasing, and investment constraints: the use of exchange-traded notes by mutual funds", *Journal of Empirical Finance*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 91-107.
- Rompotis, G.G. (2011), "Predictable patterns in ETFs' return and tracking error", *Studies in Economics and Finance*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 14-35.
- Schwarz, C.G. and Potter, M.E. (2016), "Revisiting mutual fund portfolio disclosure", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 29 No. 12, pp. 3519-3544.

-
- Sherrill, D. and Upton, K. (2018), "Actively managed ETFs versus actively managed mutual funds", *Managerial Finance*, Special issue.
- Sherrill, D.E., Shirley, S.E. and Stark, J.R. (2017), "Actively managed mutual funds holding passive investments: what do ETF positions tell us about mutual fund ability?", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 76 No. 1, pp. 48-64.
- Shirley, S.E. and Stark, J.R. (2016), "Why do fund families release underperforming incubated mutual funds?", *Financial Management*, Vol. 45 No. 3, pp. 507-528.
- Sialm, C. and Starks, L. (2012), "Mutual fund tax clienteles", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 67 No. 4, pp. 1397-1422.
- Sirri, E.R. and Tufano, P. (1998), "Costly search and mutual fund flows", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 53 No. 5, pp. 1589-1622.
- Tang, H. and Xu, X.E. (2013), "Tracking performance of leveraged and regular fixed-income ETFs", *The Journal of Fixed Income*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 64-90.
- Warther, V.A. (1995), "Aggregate mutual fund flows and security returns", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 209-235.
- Wermers, R. (2000), "Mutual fund performance: an empirical decomposition into stock-picking talent, style, transactions costs, and expenses", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 55 No. 4, pp. 1655-1695.
- Wu, Y., Wermers, R. and Zechner, J. (2016), "Managerial rents vs. shareholder value in delegated portfolio management: the case of closed-end funds", *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 29 No. 12, pp. 3428-3470.
- Yan, X.S. (2006), "The determinants and implications of mutual fund cash holdings: theory and evidence", *Financial Management*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 67-91.
- Zheng, L. (1999), "Is money smart? A study of mutual fund investors' fund selection ability", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 54 No. 3, pp. 901-933.

Further reading

- Chevalier, J. and Ellison, G. (1997), "Risk taking by mutual funds as a response to incentives", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 105 No. 6, pp. 1167-1200.
- Chevalier, J. and Ellison, G. (1999b), "Career concerns of mutual fund managers", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 114 No. 2, pp. 389-432.
- Gormus, A. (2016), "Do different time-horizons in volatility have any significance for the emerging markets?", *Economics Letters*, Vol. 145, pp. 29-32.
- Ippolito, R.A. (1989), "Efficiency with costly information: a study of mutual fund performance, 1965-1984", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 104 No. 1, pp. 1-23.
- Kacperczyk, M., Sialm, C. and Zheng, L. (2005), "On the industry concentration of actively managed equity mutual funds", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 60 No. 4, pp. 1983-2011.