

# Index

*Note:* Page numbers followed by “*n*” indicate footnotes.

- Accessibility, 3, 5, 39, 48, 50, 95, 97,  
128, 162, 164, 187, 190,  
192, 195, 205
- Accidents, 173
- Accountability, 21
  - barriers, 31–32
  - scenarios used in interviews, 26–27
- Accountability regimes, 22
  - current, 28–30
  - future, 30–31
- Administrative instruments, 6
- Affordances, 9–10
- Australia, 11, 92, 96, 106, 114–115,  
195, 210
- Australian transport agencies,  
113–114
- Authority/authorities, 2–3, 8, 10,  
23, 32–33, 142, 149, 155,  
156–158, 163
- Automated vehicles, 38
  - CLDs, 40–41, 45–50
  - costs, 39
  - driverless vehicles, 38–39
  - effects, 41–42
  - literature search, 39–40
  - sustainability goals, 39
- Automation, 11, 37–40, 49, 58, 158
- Autonomous vehicles (AVs), 76,  
92–93, 97, 149, 188, 190
  - pilot schemes to test, 198
  - and public transport in rural areas,  
193–196
- ‘Backcasting’ techniques, 95
- Behaviour, 6
- Bemelmans-Vidéc, 8
- Betamax/VHS, 112
- Bird, 170–171, 173
- BMW, 204
- Breng flex, 193
- Capping of fleet sizes, 178
- Car-free zones, 3
- Carpooling, 191–192
- Car-sharing, 2, 91, 192, 210
- Carbon-free transport, 187
- Causal loop diagrams (CLDs), 38,  
40–41, 45–46
  - analysis, 47–48
  - as collaboration tool, 50
  - components, 40
  - reflections from sustainability  
perspective, 49–50
  - reflections on needs for policies,  
48–49
- Change, 5, 20, 60, 63, 115, 140,  
143–144, 146, 180, 188,  
217
- Charges and fees, 179
- Citizen, 131
- Citizen assembly, 219
- Citizen participation, 203–213
- Climate crisis, 3–4, 214
- Co-design of regulation, 116
- Co-production of regulation, 116
- Collaboration, 130–131
  - necessity for, 126–128
- Combined Authorities, 23–24, 30
- Committee on Climate Change  
(CCC), 140
- Competition, 30, 32, 49, 58, 61, 105,  
113, 116, 129, 159, 173–  
174, 177, 190, 210
- Complex networks, 38

- Complexity, 22, 31–34, 63, 76, 91, 95–96, 111, 122, 126, 197
- Compulsory data sharing, 179
- Congestion, 205
- Connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs), 59
- Contracts, 30, 107, 110, 114, 126, 157, 178
- Cost[s], 39
  - coverage, 190
- Customers, 31, 91, 128, 209, 217, 220
  
- Daimler, 204
- Danish model, 196
- Data, 90–91
- Data management
  - regulations, 157, 163
  - rules for, 160
- Decision making, 23, 60, 94, 128, 147, 207, 214
- Degree of urbanisation
  - classification (DEGURBA), 188
- Delegation of MLG, 63–64
- Deliberation, 205, 215
- Demand Responsive Transport (DRT), 189, 190, 195
- Democracy, 131, 207, 218–219
- Democratic processes, 11, 113
- Demography, 91–92
- Digital data, 162
- Digital technology, 203–204
- Digitalisation, 219
- Digitalised data, 156
- Dockless, 20, 25, 28–29, 31, 64, 169–170, 176–178, 181
- Drive Sweden, 123, 125, 127
- Driverless
  - taxi, 41–42
  - technology, 49
  - vehicles, 38–39, 42
- DRS, 90, 92–93
  
- Economic policy measure, 188
- Economic theory, 48
  
- Electric vehicle technologies (EV technologies), 90
- ElectriCity, 125
- Electric scooter (E-scooters), 176–177, 210
  - policy instruments and regulatory tools, 177–180
  - regulation, 172–177
  - shared dockless, 172
- Elite, 217–218
- Employees and competencies, 157–158, 161
- Employees and skills, 164
- England, local transport governance in, 22–25
- Equity, 67
- Existing infrastructure, 157–158, 161, 164
- Experiments, 120, 122, 124, 127–128, 130–131, 208
- Experimental governance, 120
  - collaboration, 130–131
  - learning by ‘doing’, 124–126, 129–130
  - necessity for collaboration, 126–128
  - need for exceptional solutions, 128–129
  - need for extraordinary solutions, 122–124
  - as policy instrument, 122
  - policy instrumentation perspective on, 121–122
- Expert knowledge, 11, 218
- External costs of transport, 173
- Externalities, 173
  - argument, 115
- Extraordinary problem, 128
- Extraordinary solutions, 131–132
  - need for, 122–124
  
- Feedback loop, 190
- Financial instruments, 6
- First- and last-mile problem, 192

- 5G technology, 49
- FlexDanmark, 196
- Freight transport, 42
- Fungibility, 142
- Future accountability
  - positions, 30
  - regimes, 30–31
- Gaming and tactics of policy making, 63–64
- Generalised travel costs, 39
- Geofencing, 177–178
- Geographic variation, 92
- Goals, 2–3, 5, 7, 20–21, 34, 39, 43, 48, 51, 63, 66, 124, 128–129, 141, 149, 175, 205–206
- Gothenburg, 109, 125, 195
- Governable, 217, 220
- Governance (*see also* Multi-level governance (MLG)), 153–154, 217–220
  - demand for, 154
  - local transport governance in England, 22–25
  - need for, 2–3
  - and policies, 49
- Governance powers, 23–24, 69
- Governance structures, 11, 62, 147
- Governing capacity, 154–155, 209
  - analytical approach, 155–156
  - categorisations of current policy instruments, 156–158
  - operationalisation of four NATO resources, 158
  - of regulations for traffic, drivers and vehicles, 160
  - in smart mobility futures, 158–164
- Government activity, 142
- Greater London Authority, 23n1
- Greater Manchester Combined Authority, 24
- Group Model Building, 40–41
- Hands-off approach, 176–177
- Hierarchy, 63
- Hood and Margetts, 142–143, 155–156
- Howlett, 4, 8, 141–145, 149, 204
- Inclusion, 67
- Individualism, 155, 158–159
- Induced demand, 93–94
- Information and communication technology (ICT), 188, 190, 195
  - and public transport in rural areas, 192–193
- Information asymmetries, 67
- Informative instruments, 6
- Infrastructure investments, 157, 160, 163
- Innovation, 219
- Innovation journey, 218
- Innovation policy, 149, 208, 218
- Inputs, 90–91
- Institutions, 23, 58–63, 65–67, 154, 218–219
- Integration, 31, 34, 62, 147–148, 178, 192–193, 197, 208
- Intelligent transport systems (ITS), 156
- International academic collaborations, 116
- International Transport Forum (ITF), 2, 177–178, 190
- Interoperability, 197
- Interviews, 24–25
- Knowledge technology, 97
- KOMPIS, 127
- Laissez-faire approach, 180
- Land use and planning, 160
- Land-use policy, 7
- Lascoumes, P, 8–10, 120–121, 131
- Le Galés, P, 8–10, 120–121, 131
- Learning, 29, 120, 124, 126, 130, 149, 180, 210
- Learning by ‘doing’, 124–126, 129–130
- Legislation in Norway, 171
- Legitimacy, 8, 11, 60, 62–63, 70, 76, 121, 179, 206–207, 219
- Littering, 175

- Living labs, 124
- Local accountability arrangements, 21–22
- Local transport governance in England, 22–25
- Location, 93–94
- Low carbon mobility, 140
- ‘Map mash-up’ workshops, 95
- Marginal cost, 39
  - of cars and road freight vehicles, 47
  - of road transport, 50–51
- Market, 159
- Market failure, 173–175
- Meta-instrument, 9
- Metropolitan Strategic Authorities, 147–148
- Micromobility, 169–170
  - E-scooter regulation, 172–177
  - policy instruments and regulatory tools, 177–180
- Milton Keynes Council (MKC), 29
- Minimising regulatory barriers, 177
- Mobike, 28
- Mobility as a Service (MaaS), 2, 20, 59, 64, 106, 126, 129, 140, 146, 148, 217
  - MaaS Global, 28
  - MaaS-solution, 130
- Mobility Data Specification (MDS), 179
- Mobility management, 6
- Mobility service, 91, 120, 162, 209–210
- Modal shares, 93
- Model design, 90–91
- Modelling, 2, 40, 76–78, 90–91, 94–96, 193, 195, 207
- Monopolies, 19, 106–107, 109, 114–116
- Multi-level governance (MLG)
  - (*see also* Governance), 58–62
  - (dis)advantages, 62
  - case study policy instruments, 67–70
  - delegation, gaming and tactics of policy making, 63–64
  - new policy instruments ‘test’ MLG arrangements, 64–67
- Multi-purpose institutions, 61
- Multi-stakeholder context, 11
- Municipality, 130
- Mutual dialogue in social networks, 156, 162
- ‘National’ policy responsibility, 61
- Network
  - capacity assumptions, 92–93
  - management, 64
- New public policy instruments, 120
- Nimble government, 113
- Nimble private sector, 106
- Nodality, 142, 155–156, 158, 162
  - competition for, 159
- Nodality, Authority, Treasure and Organisation (NATO), 142, 156–158
- Objectives, 2–4, 76, 95, 107, 113, 124, 149, 173, 177, 181, 205
- Open Mobility Foundation, 182
- ‘Open-minded’ governance structures, 147
- Organization/organisation, 142, 155, 157–158
- Organisational change, 196–197
- Oslo, 114, 170, 172–173, 181
- Parlance policy instruments, 142
- Participant selection, 24–25
- Participatory workshops, 95
- Partnership(s), 30, 32, 110, 112–114, 127, 181
- Passenger transport, 41–42
- Passenger Transport Authority (PTA), 172
- Pedestrianisation, 3
- Penalties, 179
- Person-kilometres travelled (PKT), 41
- ‘Physical’ policy instrument, 5
- Pilot schemes, 180
  - to test AVs, 198
- Planning, 75–102
- Planning support tools (PSTs), 76

- approach, 77–78
- data, inputs, and model design, 90–91
- geographic variation, 92
- limitations of PSTs to policy-makers, 90
- mobility type definitions, 72
- modal shares and impact on public transport, 93
- network capacity assumptions, 92–93
- publications reviewed by geography and mode, 73–89
- roles in shaping ‘smart’ urban futures, 94–96
- smart mobility ‘knowledge’, 90
- smart mobility knowledge and limitations of modelling analyses, 72, 90
- transport demand, location, and induced demand, 93–94
- trip purpose and demography, 91–92
- typical organisation of transport planning project, 77
- Planning system, 112
- Policy, 141–143, 207
  - alignment, 58, 207
  - capacity, 154
  - instrumentation perspective on experimental governance, 121–122
  - policy making, gaming and tactics of, 63–64
  - taxonomy, 141
- Policy acceptance, 206–207
- Policy action, 61
- Policy change, 140
  - catalysts for, 143–144
- Policy instruments, 3–5, 141–143, 208–211
  - background literature on impacts and needs, 41–43, 45
  - case study, 67–70
  - cost-effectiveness, 8
  - development, 206–208
  - experimental governance as, 122–131
  - knowledge production, 8–9
  - limits of, 9–10
  - need for, 205–206
  - new policy instruments ‘test’ MLG arrangements, 64–67
  - research on, 7–8
  - smart mobility as, 146–149
  - transport sector goals, 43–44
  - used to govern transport, 5–7
- Policy-makers, limitations of PSTs to, 90
- Policymaking, 60, 207
- Policy moment, 63, 66–67, 207
- Policy package, 10
- Pragmatic approach, 8–9
- Pragmatism, 111
- Political institution, 219
- Pricing, 67–69
- Private actors, 116
- Private Hire Vehicles (PHVs), 28
- Private sector perspectives, 109–114
- Proactive governance, 146
- Process values, 121
- Proportionality principle, 177
- Public
  - actors, 116, 120
  - good, 106, 112
  - policy principles, 20
  - sector perspectives, 108–109
  - space, 174
- Public transport, PSTs impact on, 93
- Public Transport Authority (PTA), 193
- Public transport in rural areas (*see also* Urban transport systems)
  - AVs and, 193–196
  - challenges and solutions, 190–192
  - ICT and, 192–193
  - need for, 189–190
  - organisational changes and removal of regulatory barriers, 196–197
  - pilot schemes to test AVs, 198

- policy suggestions for new solutions, 196
  - user-centred design, 197–198
- Public values, 4, 120–121, 128–130, 207, 209
- R&D efforts, 6–7, 160, 163
- Reactive organisation with decreased capacity, 161
- Real life environment, 132
- Realitylab Göteborg, 125
- Reflexive governance, 149
- Reflexivity, 149–150, 209
- Regulation, 109, 170, 172–177, 181
- Regulations for land use and planning, 157
- Regulations for traffic, 157
- Regulatory impact assessment (RIA), 177
- Regulatory barrier, 177, 181, 196–198
- Regulatory sandboxes, 180–181
- Research, 6, 8, 91, 108, 126
- Ride-hailing, 114–115, 210–211
- Ridesharing, 64, 66, 69–70, 91, 93, 210–211
- Rist, Ray C., 8
- Ruled, 210–214
- Rulers, 210–214
- Rural areas, 187–198
- Road investments, need for, 160
- Roadspace allocation, 69–70
- Scope and structure of state, 157–158, 161, 164
- Shared mobility
  - breakthrough of, 161–164
  - solutions, 1–2
- Sharing economy, 155, 161–164
- Smart mobility, 2, 57–58, 119–120, 140, 153, 208–211
  - accountability barriers, 31–32
  - benefits and risks, 20–21
  - current accountability regimes, 28–30
  - developments in England, 25, 28
  - as exogenous shock, 144–146
  - future accountability regimes, 30–31
  - implementation, 64
  - knowledge, 90
  - knowledge and limitations of modelling analyses, 72, 89
  - and local accountability arrangements, 21–22
  - local transport governance in England, 22–25
  - participant selection and interviews, 24–25
  - policies need to be aligned, 69–70
  - as policy instrument(s) for endogenous change, 146–149
  - prevalence, 59
  - for taxation, 68
  - transition, 21, 59, 65, 68
  - uncertainty, 28–30
- Smart mobility providers, 21–22, 24, 29–34, 210–211
- Smart movement, 131
- Snowballing, 39–40
- Societal goals, 175–176
- Subsidies, 157, 161, 163, 174
- Substantive values, 121
- Supra-national regulation, 59
- Stockholm, 125, 195
- Sustainability, 129
- Sustainability goals, 39
- Sustainability transition, 12, 218
- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 3, 38
- Sustainable mobility, 4–5, 10, 20, 126, 128
- Sustainable society, 12, 218, 220
- Sweden, 40, 48, 120–131, 155, 187–191, 206
- Swedish Transport Administration, 43, 43n2, 48
- Symbolic, 131, 156, 210
- System Dynamics and Causal Loop Diagram, 11, 38
- System dynamics, 38, 40

- Target group, 5, 8–10, 206–207, 209, 217–218
- Taxes and fees, 157
- Testbed(s), 123–125, 210–211
- Testing in real life situations, 130
- Tomelilla innovation week, 127
- Traffic congestion, 128
- Transparency, 11, 121, 128–130, 132
- Transport (*see also* Urban transport systems), 58
  - demand, 93–94
  - demand models, 94
  - freight, 42
  - functions, 23
  - passenger, 41–42
  - policy objectives, 157
  - system, 158–159
  - taxation, 67–69
  - transport planning project, typical organisation of, 77
- Transport governance, England, 22–24
- Transport for Greater Manchester (TfGM), 24, 28, 30, 32
- Transport for London (TfL), 149
- Transport for West Midlands (TfWM), 24, 28, 30
- Treasure, 142, 155, 157–158
- Trip purpose, 91–92
- Trust, 42, 48, 181, 206–207
  
- Uncertainty, 28–30
- Ungovernability, 154
- Unilateral state communication, 162, 162
- Urban Living Labs (ULLs), 124
  
- Urban transport systems (*see also* Public transport in rural areas), 106–107
  - market forces, 115–116
  - platform technologies, 114–115
  - private sector perspectives, 109–114
  - public sector perspectives, 108–109
  - research approach, 108
- User groups, 41, 48, 70
- User-centred design, 197–198
  
- Value of Travel Time (VTT), 41, 47, 49–50, 91
- Vehicle-kilometre travelled (VKT), 39, 41, 50, 205
- Vehicles and drivers, 157
- Viable Cities, 127
- Volunteer-based transport, 192
- Vinnova, 123
  
- West Midlands Combined Authority, 24
- West Midlands, 32
- West Yorkshire Combined Authority (WYCA), 24
- ‘Wicked’ problems in policy
  - documents, 122–123, 128
- Window of opportunity, 2, 33, 182, 205
  
- Yellow vests, 188, 192, 206
- Zuboff, 203, 208, 215