

Index

- Accountability, 55–56
- Aid recipients of projects, 65–66
- American Center for International Labor Solidarity (ACILS), 41–42
- American Deaf Culture, 2
- Annual Work Plan (AWP), 95
- “Beltway Bandits”, 60
- Bureau for PPC, 37, 43–45
- “Bureaucratic paralysis”, 60–62
- Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), 37–38
- Center for Development Information and Evaluation (CDIE), 37–38
- Center for International Private Enterprise (CIPE), 41–42
- Chief of party (COP), 13, 73, 111
 - expatriate leaders’ advice to aspiring, 117
 - experience managing USAID projects, 74–77
- Civil Operations and Revolutionary Development Support (CORDS), 42–43
- Coherent long-term foreign aid program, 32–33
- Cold War, 18, 39
- Colonialism, 51
- Communist-inspired Cuban revolution, 32–33
- Competency-based leadership, 4–7
- Competing values, 49–50
- Competing Values Framework (CVF), 49–50
- Complementary assets, 49–50
- Compliance superintendence, USAID and, 89–90
- Compliance system, 65–67, 138–140
- Congressional earmarks, 20–21
- Constituency, 19
- Contracting officer (CO), 95
- Contracting officer representative (COR), 64, 78, 134–135
 - managing COR expectations, 90–92
 - USAID’s system for assigning CORs to project and lack of COR training, 92–93
- Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), 81, 94, 126–127
- Corruption, coping with, 99–100
- Cost, 55
- Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (Comecon), 29–30
- Country Development Cooperation Strategy (CDCS), 58, 60, 62
- Decentralized decision-making, 36–37
- Degree of geopolitical importance, 28–29
- Deputy chief of party (DCOP), 86–87
- Destined-to-fail projects, 22
- “Development business”, 58
- Development Experience Clearinghouse (DEC), 118–119
- Development Loan Fund (DLF), 32–34
- Development Objectives (DOs), 58
- Development theory, 62–63
- Division of Public Education (DPE), 9
- Domino theory, 29–30

- Dualism, 25–26, 45
 - of foreign aid, 71
- Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA), 14–15
- Early Grade Reading Program (EGRP), 14–15
- Earmarks, 20–21
- Economic Cooperation Administration (ECA), 28
- Economic institutions, 51–54
- Education technology, 6
- Egyptian Ministry of Education, 11–12
- Eisenhower Doctrine, 31
- Emergency Food Security Program (EFSP), 22–23
- European Recovery Plan (ERP), 29–30
- Evaluation, 54
- Expatriate leaders, 1, 17, 22, 73, 125
 - achievement, 125–131
 - advice to aspiring COPs, 117
 - Americans to know about work managing USAID projects, 122
 - applying lessons learned to subsequent projects, 105–106
 - being expatriate leader of international development projects, 109–110, 112
 - being perceptive of gender and age, 108–109
 - believe host country national team members define success, 131
 - capturing benefit of expatriate status, 107–108
 - challenges created by USAID CORs, 94–97
 - COP experience managing USAID projects, 74–77
 - coping with situational challenges, 97–103
 - define success as international development project leader, 125–131
 - defining project success, 79–81
 - defining success, or lack and achievement, 137–140
 - to effectively lead time-limited organizational entity, 131–133
 - efforts to lead international development projects, 104–109
 - explain easy or difficult about being, 136–137
 - explaining challenges, 82–103
 - feeling successful, 78–79
 - formative life and/or work experiences of, 77
 - grown as leader, 115–117
 - HCN perspectives of project success, 81–82
 - helped or harmed expatriate leaders' efforts, 135–136
 - of international development projects, 73
 - leading time-limited temporary organizations, 82–85
 - lessons expatriate leaders learned leading projects, 113–114
 - managing COR expectations, 90–92
 - navigate relationships with organizational leaders of multiple organizations, 133–137
 - navigating relational challenges, 85–90
 - office of, 49–50
 - participants, 74–77
 - prior project management experience, 104
 - revealing thoughts, 123–124
 - sharing perspectives on project success, 77–82

- speaking about minds, 112–123
- speaking efforts to lead projects, 103–112
- successful managing USAID projects, 118–120
- systematic approach to project management, 106–107
- theory of what factors experienced by, 137
- think Members of Congress need to know about projects, 122–123
- of USAID projects, 47
- USAID's system for assigning CORs to project and lack of COR training, 92–93
- views changed about international development projects, 114–115
- work with recipient organization leadership, 135
- working with recipient organization leaders, 96–97
- Expatriate leadership, 1, 10
 - working with USAID projects, 10–16
- Export-Import Bank, 32–33
- Extractive political, 51–54
- Federal Acquisition Institute Training Application System (FAITAS), 64–65
- Federalism, 19
- Foreign aid programs, 28–29
- Foreign aid's value, 49
- Foreign Assistance Act (1961), 32–33
- Foreign Assistance Act (1973), 34–35
- Foreign assistance projects, 37–38, 45
- Foreign policy labors, 19–20, 66–67
- Foreign Service Officer (FSO), 10–11
- Foreign Service Officer Test (FSOT), 10–11
- Formative life of expatriate leaders, 77
- Frankfurt International School (FIS), 6–7
- Gender-neutral pseudonym, 73–74
- General Accounting Office (GAO), 37–38
- Girls Improved Learning Outcomes project (GILO project), 14
- Global Food Security Act (2016), 22–23
- Global War on Terror (GWOT), 18, 42–43
- Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), 39–40, 62–63
- “Hands-off approach”, 97
- Host country national (HCN), 77–78, 131
 - perspectives of project success, 81–82
- Humanitarians, 25–26
- Idara* level (school district level), 12
- Impact evaluations, 55
- Information technology (IT), 6–7
- Institutionalizing gender equality, 56–57
- Intellectual property, 23–24
- Inter-American Development Bank, 24, 32
- “Inter-organizational partnership”, 68–69
- International Cooperation Administration (ICA), 31
- International Desert Development Commission, 9
- International development, 30
- International Development Contractors (IDCs), 60
- International development projects, 47–48, 73

- expatriate leader of, 17, 109–110, 112
- multi-dimensional organizational context, 17, 47, 71
- USAID, 17–46
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 23–24, 32
- International Republican Institute (IRI), 41–42
- K-12 computer/technology, 6
- “Leading from behind” approach, 105
- Leading temporary organizations, 47–49
- Leading time-limited temporary organizations, 82–85
- “Life-of-Project Plan”, 131–133
- Logical Framework method, 58–59
- Lumsdaine’s empirical analysis, 28–29
- Male-dominated technology firm, 4–5
- Marshall Plan, 28–30, 43, 45
- Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), 60–62
- Middle East Partnership Initiative (MEPI), 44
- Ministry of Education (MOE), 11–13, 97
- Molotov Plan, 29–30
- Moroccan project, 56–57
- Mudireya* level (governorate level), 12
- Multi-dimensional organizational context, 47–71
 - complementary assets, competing values, 49–50
 - extractive political and economic institutions, 51–54
 - home office of technical implementing organization, 86–89
 - leading temporary organizations, 47–49
 - navigating relational challenges with leaders within, 85–90
 - USAID and compliance superintendence, 89–90
 - USAID evaluation program and operational policies, practices, systems, 54–71
- National Authority for Quality Assurance and Accreditation (NAQAA), 12
- National Democratic Institute (NDI), 41–42
- National Education Standards (NES), 12–13
- National Strategic Plan for Pre-University Education Reform, 14
- Neoliberalism, 51–52
- New Directions legislation (1973), 35
- New Embassy Compounds (NEC), 67
- Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), 35–36
- Nonpermissive environments, 69–70
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), 30–31
- Office of Transition Initiatives (OTI), 42
- “Officers’ Republic”, 52–53
- Organizational value, 49
- Performance evaluations, 55
- Performance Management Plan (PMP), 59
- Personal services contractors (PSCs), 36–37
- Point Four Program, 30–31
- Policy, Planning, and Learning (PPL), 44–45
- Policy and Program Coordination (PPC), 37, 43–45
- Post-Cold War, 18

- Post-Conflict Reconstruction Project, 70–71
- Post-SSA, 12
- “Poverty Barons”, 60
- Pre-SSA, 12
- Prepackaged programming, 65–66
- President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), 44
- Prior project management experience, 104
- Prisoners-of-War (POW), 33–34
- Private voluntary organizations (PVOs), 35–36
- Procurement reforms, 44–45
- Procurement system, 138–139
- “Profits over project success” dynamic, 88–89
- Project authorization, 58–59
- Project management
 - success, 56–57
 - systematic approach to, 106–107
- Project Management Plan (PMP), 52, 77–78, 98
- Project success, 52, 73, 78, 129
 - defining, 79–81
 - expatriate leaders sharing perspectives on, 77–82
 - HCN perspectives of, 81–82
- Property rights, 51
- Public assistance, 2–3

- Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review (QDDR), 44–45
- Quantitative approach, 62–63
- Quantitatively-driven compliance model, 63–64
- Quantitatively-driven model, 62–63

- Reduction in force (RIF), 39–40
- Regional lenders, 24
- Request for Proposals (RFP), 59
- “Resource capturing”, 60–62

- Results-based management approach, 55–56, 138–139
- Rural Expansion of Afghanistan’s Community-Based Healthcare (REACH), 118–119

- School improvement plan (SIP), 12
- School self-assessment (SSA), 12
- School Team Excellence Awards Program (STEAP), 12
 - STEAP I, 12–13
 - STEAP II, 12–13
- Short-Term Technical Advisor (STTA), 99–100
- Situational challenges
 - coping with, 97–103
 - coping with betrayal of trust, 98–99
 - coping with consequences of travel, 98
 - coping with corruption, 99–100
 - coping with internal and external pressures to hire specific individuals, 99
 - coping with managing projects in volatile settings, 102–103
 - coping with unknowns of taking over troubled project, 100–102
- Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR), 68–69
- Strategic planning, 37
- Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs), 23–24
- Supreme Council of the Armed Forces (SCAF), 52–53
- Systematic approach to project management, 106–107

- Teacher professional development (TPD), 14

- Technical assistance and training
 - projects (TAT projects), 55
- Technical officers, 37
- Texas Hill Country, 8
- Top-down project design approach, 65–66
- Truman’s Doctrine, 29–31
- Trust, coping with betrayal of, 98–99

- U. S. Department of Agriculture, 22–23
- U. S. Development Assistance (DA), 21–22, 25–26, 60, 62
- United States (US), 17–18
- United States Agency for International Development (USAID), 1, 10, 15–17, 24–25, 32–33, 46, 67, 125
 - and compliance superintendence, 89–90
 - compliance system, 65–67
 - COP experience managing USAID projects, 74–77
 - crafting United States Foreign Aid Policy, 18–27
 - evaluation program and operational policies, practices, systems, 54–71
 - evolving, 33–46
 - origin, 27–33
 - system for assigning CORs to project and lack of COR training, 92–93
 - working with USAID projects, 10–16
- United States bilateral assistance, 35
- United States Constitution, 18–19
- United States Farmer-to-Farmer program, 28
- United States Foreign Aid Policy, crafting, 18–27
- United States Informational Agency (USIA), 39–40
- US Cold War diplomacy, 27–28
- US Economic Support Funds (ESF), 21–22, 60, 62
 - ESF-funded projects, 46
- US food aid program, 22–23
- US foreign policy, 22–23

- Vietnam War, 33–34, 42–43

- “Whites only” environment, 2–3
- Work experiences of expatriate leaders, 77
- World Bank, 14–15, 24, 31