

The Mainstream Right and Family Policy Agendas in the Post-Fordist Age

The Mainstream Right and Family Policy Agendas in the Post-Fordist Age: Beyond Familialism?

BY

GIOVANNI AMERIGO GIULIANI

University of Bologna, Italy



United Kingdom – North America – Japan – India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Emerald Publishing, Floor 5, Northspring, 21-23 Wellington Street, Leeds LS1 4DL

First edition 2024

Copyright © 2024 Giovanni Amerigo Giuliani.
Published under exclusive licence by Emerald Publishing Limited.

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: www.copyright.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-83797-922-6 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-83797-921-9 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-83797-923-3 (Epub)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

To Michele

Contents

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| List of Figures and Tables | <i>ix</i> |
| About the Author | <i>xiii</i> |
| Acknowledgment | <i>xv</i> |
| Introduction | <i>1</i> |
| Chapter 1 Analyzing the Mainstream Right's Family Policy Agendas: A Theoretical Framework | <i>13</i> |
| Chapter 2 The Family Policy <i>Drivers</i>: An Explanatory Multicausal Framework to Understand the Mainstream Right's Agendas | <i>33</i> |
| Chapter 3 The Design of the Study | <i>47</i> |
| Chapter 4 Analyzing the Mainstream Right's Family Policy Agendas: Empirical Results From the Content Analysis of Party Manifestos | <i>55</i> |
| Chapter 5 The Macro-Drivers of the Mainstream Right's Family Policy Agendas: A Quantitative-Oriented Comparative Analysis | <i>77</i> |
| Chapter 6 Tracing the Mainstream Right's Family Policy Agendas | <i>111</i> |
| Conclusions | <i>139</i> |

| | |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Methodological Appendix | <i>153</i> |
| References | <i>157</i> |
| Index | <i>181</i> |

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Fig. 1. | Analyzing the Mainstream Right's Family Policy Agendas. | 6 |
| Fig. 2. | The Package of Macro-Drivers Affecting the Family Policy Agendas. | 7 |
| Fig. 3. | The Multidimensional Space of Political Conflict on Family Policy. | 24 |
| Fig. 4. | Family Models Supported by Political Parties. | 28 |
| Fig. 5. | Mainstream Right Parties' Positions Along the <i>Familialization</i> Dimension (1990s–2010s). | 56 |
| Fig. 6. | Mainstream Right Parties' Positions Along the <i>De-Familialization</i> Dimension (1990s–2010s). | 61 |
| Fig. 7. | Mainstream Right Parties' Combined Positions in the Multidimensional Space of Political Conflict (1990s–2010s). | 66 |
| Fig. 8. | Attitudes Concerning Gender Roles (% of Authoritarian and Libertarian Citizens), 1980s–2010s. | 79 |
| Fig. 9. | Mainstream Right Electors' Attitudes Concerning Gender Roles and Familialization/De-familialization Indexes, Post-Fordist Era. (a) Mainstream Right Electors' Authoritarian Attitudes and Familialization Index. (b) Mainstream Right Electors' Libertarian Attitudes and De-familialization Index. | 80 |
| Fig. 10. | Structure of the Mainstream Right's Female Constituencies (Occupational and Religious Status). | 84 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Fig. 11. | Female Employment by Skills: % of General High- and General Low-Skilled Female Workers. | 93 |
| Fig. 12. | Evolution of Economic and Demographic Context in the Post-Fordist Era. | 99 |
| Fig. 13. | Share of Mainstream Right's Female Members of Parliament (MPs), 1990s–2010s. | 102 |
| Fig. 14. | Correlation Between the Share of Mainstream Right's Female MPs and Parties' De-Familialization Index, Post-Fordist Era. | 103 |

Tables

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 1. | Percentages of Votes for Mainstream Right and Mainstream Left Parties in National Elections (1990s–2010s) and Years in Office in the Last Three Decades, Selected Countries. | 5 |
| Table 2. | Policy Goals and Family Models Supported by the Mainstream Right Parties. | 69 |
| Table 3. | Odds Ratio for Women Being Libertarian as Compared to Men [Results of Robust Binary Logistic Regression]. | 81 |
| Table 4. | Female Odds Ratio of Being Authoritarian in the Post-Fordist Era by Occupational and Religiosity Status (Results of Robust Binary Logistic Regressions). | 82 |
| Table 5. | Correlations Between Support for Familialization/De-Familialization and the Occupational and Religiosity Status of the Mainstream Right's Female Constituencies. | 85 |
| Table 6. | Estimates for the Female Odds Ratio of Supporting De-Familialization in the Post-Fordist Era (Results of Robust Binary Logistic Regressions). | 87 |
| Table 7. | Structure of the Mainstream Right Parties' Female Constituencies (2000s and 2010s). | 89 |
| Table 8. | National Business Structure: Workers Employed in SMEs and Large Enterprises (% of the Total Business Economy), 2000s and 2010s (Average Values). | 91 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 9. | Correlations Between De-Familialization Support and the National Business Structure and the Configuration of Female Worker Skills. | 94 |
| Table 10. | Family Policy Evolution (Early 1980s–2010s). | 95 |
| Table 11. | Correlations Between Mainstream Right’s Familialization/De-Familialization Support and Family Policy Legacy. | 98 |
| Table 12. | Parties’ Electoral Consensus (% of Votes in National Elections) in the Post-Fordist Era: Left, Center and Radical Right Parties, 1990s–2010s. | 105 |
| Table 13. | Parties’ Libertarian/Authoritarian Scores: Left, Center, and Radical Right Parties, 1990s–2010s. | 106 |

About the Author

Giovanni Amerigo Giuliani, PhD, is a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the Department of Political and Social Sciences, University of Bologna. His research focuses on comparative welfare and labor market policy analysis, party politics, and social stratification.

Acknowledgment

The book is an extension, re-elaboration, and update of an open-access article I published in the *European Journal of Political Research*.

My interest in the Mainstream Right's family policy agendas first emerged when I joined the University of Florence as a postdoctoral researcher. I am extremely grateful to Carlo Trigilia for giving me a wide room for maneuver in those postdoc years to pursue this research further and, most importantly, for showing me how economic sociology can fruitfully dialogue with political science.

My sincere thanks go to Nicola De Luigi and Roberto Rizza, who believed in this project and allowed me to carry it out during my two postdoc years at the Department of Political and Social Sciences at the University of Bologna.

I will always be in debt to Professor Valeria Fargion for generously providing me with many suggestions and comments over these years, well beyond this specific research theme. Her infectious interest in understanding “who gets what and why” and her deep commitment to the academic profession remain my main reference points.

I benefited from exchanges and discussions with many colleagues in different institutions. I am very grateful to them all. I am profoundly indebted to Matteo Boldrini, who has generously given me precious feedback on my countless methodological queries. A special thanks to Torben Fischer, my “welfare politics comrade.” I would also like to thank Julia Antonia Elizabeth Gray for her careful linguistic review of the whole book.

I sincerely thank Katy Mathers, Abinaya Chinnasamy, Shanmathi Priya Sampath and Lauren Kammerdiener at Emerald Publishing for their continuous advice and assistance.

I am very grateful to my parents and my sister for their constant support in these years. Finally, I thank my partner Michele. Not only for his support, patience, and understanding but for anchoring me to real life and reminding me, more so than he probably knows, that social research makes sense only when it tries to address real-life problems. This book is dedicated to him.