

INDEX

- Active learning, 4, 159, 231
 - theories, 18
- Alignment, 228–229
- Archives, 57–58
- Assignment, 228–229
- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), 127, 227
- Asynchronous online, 228, 230, 231
 - classes, 232
- Back pocket cases, 242
- Bad ideas for Compact Cases, 36–40
- Bar charts, 105–106
- Black Lives Matter (BLM), 85
- Blended learning (*see* Hybrid learning)
- Case, 4, 53
 - criteria for Compact Cases, 34–36
 - ideation, 33
 - releases, 61–63
 - teaching modalities, 229–231
- Case series (*see* Multipart series)
- Case writer(s), 53, 114, 128–129
 - research ethics for, 68–73
- Case writing, 62
 - assignment, 159
 - assignment choices, 167
 - benefits of, 160
 - challenges of, 164
 - conventions, 78–79
 - individuals or teams, 166–168
 - instructor benefits, 162–164
 - instructor challenges, 165–166
 - length of case, 168
 - materials and guidance, 174–176
 - peer feedback, 170
 - research methodology in, 53–54
 - skills, 162
 - structuring, 166
 - student benefits, 160–162
 - student challenges, 164–165
 - tips for, 19–23
 - type of analysis, 168–170
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), 86
- Closing Hook, 93–96
- Clustered bar graph (*see* Grouped bar graph)
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), 68
- Compact Case, 1
 - active learning theories, 18
 - bad ideas for, 36–40
 - case, 4
 - case ideation criteria for, 34–36
 - case writing adventure, 8–12
 - closing hook, 93–96
 - company history, 85
 - components, 79
 - differences in learning objectives, 25–26
 - “Don’t Tell Us–Show Us” approach, 91–92
 - elements of compact case, 23–25
 - encouraging student reading of
 - assigned materials, 19
 - great case writers, 6–7
 - history of cases, 2–3
 - IM for Compact cases *vs.* traditional cases, 154–156
 - industry background, 83–85
 - manager(s), 86–87
 - opening hook, 80–83
 - past, present, and future of cases, 248–250
 - possibilities for 2019 Hackathon, 37–38
 - primary differences between short and traditional cases, 28–29
 - problem/case focus, 87–90
 - revised Bloom’s taxonomy, 89
 - short cases from instructor’s perspective, 27
 - short cases from student’s perspective, 27–28

- student's perspective, 17
 - teaching cases, 3–4
 - tips for case writing, 19–23
 - traditional cases, 15
 - vs.* traditional cases, 15
 - write cases, 5–6, 7–8
- Company History, 85
- Conference workshop presentation, 171
- Conflicts of interest, 68
- Construct validity, 60
- Contextual knowledge, 5
- Data sources, 54
 - primary data sources, 54–55
 - quasi-primary data sources, 56–58
 - secondary data resources, 55–56
- Data transparency, 70
- Data visualization (Dataviz), 100
 - in case exhibits, 101
 - diagrams/charts, 111–113
 - financial statements, 108–110
 - graphs, 101–108
 - maps, 110
 - photos, 113–114
 - sample timeline, 112
 - videos, 114–117
- Deans and tenure committees, 130–131
- Decision-focused cases, 46–48
- Defamation, 61
- Descriptive cases, 43
- Design, 228–229
- Diagrams/charts, 111–113
- Discussion questions, 143–144
 - and answers, 148–152
- Disguised cases, 63–67
- “Don’t Tell Us–Show Us” approach, 91–92
- Donut charts, 104–105
- Editors, 130
- Embryo cases, 49
- Evaluative cases, 44–46
- Evaluators, 129
- Exhibits, 97
 - buried alive in data, 100–101
 - Compact Case word budget, 117–118
 - in compact cases, 98
 - data visualization, 100
 - editing case, 119–121
 - increased encounters with
 - visualization, 100
 - technological innovations, 101
 - tips for data visualizations, 101–117
- Fabrication, 65
- Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE), 17
- Falsification, 65
- Fictionalized cases, 63–67
- Field research, 55
- Financial statements, 108–110
- Five Forces Analysis, 141, 169
- Focus in short writing, 34
- Functional cases, 20
- “Good Idea” for Compact Case
 - bad ideas for Compact Cases, 36–40
 - case ideation, 33
 - case ideation criteria for Compact Cases, 34–36
 - case writing journey, 48–49
 - finding good case ideas, 40–43
 - good ideas for short cases, 36
 - types of cases, 43–48
- “Good” answers, characteristics of, 149–150
- Graphs, 101–108
- Great case writers, 6–7
- Grouped bar graph, 106
- Harvard Business School (HBS), 2–3
- Hybrid learning, 230–231
- Illustrative cases (*see* Descriptive cases)
- In-person case discussions, 230
- Industry Background, 83–85
- Informative cases, 87
- Informed consent form, 73
- Institutional Review Board (IRB), 69
- Instructor’s manual (IM) (*see* Teaching note (TN))
- Instructors, 129
 - benefits, 162–164
 - challenges, 165–166
- Intellectual contributions, 64–65
- Intellectual property, 69
- Journalism principles
 - do not add, 72
 - do not deceive, 72

- Lammily case, 47
- Learning management system (LMS), 198, 229–230
- Learning objectives (LOs), 128, 137
 - assignment questions *vs.*, 141
 - examples, 138–140
 - improper sequencing of, 141
 - lack of, 140–141
 - lack of alignment of, 141–142
 - multipurpose tool, 142
 - problems with, 140
- “Library cases” (*see* Secondary data resources)
- Line graphs, 102–103
- LinkedIn* study, 162
- Manager(s), 86–87
- Maps, 110
- Multipart series, 49
- Multiple bar charts, 108
- North American Case Research Association (NACRA), 137, 162, 204
- Online case pedagogy, 237–242
- Opening Hook, 80–83
 - applying Five Ws and an H Framework to, 81
- Passive actors, 159
- Past tense, 78
- Pedagogical content knowledge (PCK), 5, 6
- Pedagogical knowledge, 5
- Pedagogical usage, 135–137
- Peer feedback, 170
- Peer-to-peer learning, 202–203
- PESTEL analysis, 149, 234
- Pie charts, 103
- Plagiarism, 69
- Primary research, 55, 60–61
- Primary sources, 33, 86–87
- “Problem-based learning”, 2–3
- Problem/case focus section of Compact Case, 87–90
- Problems, Pieces, People, and Possibilities (Four Ps), 35
- Protagonist, 16
- PSEG case, 47, 48, 94, 113, 138–139
- Publication process, 171–173, 210–217
- Publishing Compact Case
 - activities post case acceptance, 223
 - for journal submission, 200–210
 - peer-review process, 197
 - publication process, 210–217
 - responding to reviewers, 217–223
- Quasi-primary data sources, 56–58
- Question and answer period (Q&A period), 57
- “Red herrings”, 88
- Relationship-building, 62
- Reliability, 60–61
- Reproducibility, 68
- Research methodology
 - in case writing, 53–54
 - IM, 142–143
- Researching Compact Case
 - case releases, 61–63
 - data sources, 54–58
 - difference between storytelling and research, 53
 - disguised *vs.* fictionalized cases, 63–67
 - informed consent form, 73
 - primary or secondary research, 60–61
 - publish-release form, 71
 - research ethics for case writers, 68–73
 - research methodology in case writing, 53–54
 - triangulation, 58–60
- Return on investment (ROI), 198
- Reviewers, 130
 - writing response to, 221–223
- Reviewing, 212
- Sample Compact Case, 125
- Secondary data resources, 55–56
- Secondary research, 60–61
- Secondary sources, 33, 86
- Short cases
 - good ideas for, 36
 - from instructor’s perspective, 27
 - primary differences between short cases and traditional cases, 28–29
 - from student’s perspective, 27–28

- Shorter cases, 20, 49
 - good ideas for, 36
 - from instructor's perspective, 27
 - primary differences between traditional cases and, 28–29
 - selecting outlets for, 21–22
 - from student's perspective, 27–28
- Social media, 58
- Sound research methodology, 62
- Startup cases (*see* Embryo cases)
- Storytelling and research, 53
- Strategic management cases, 83
- Student
 - benefits, 160–162
 - challenges, 164–165
- Subject matter knowledge, 5
- Supplementary materials, 147–148
- “Swiss army knife” of cases, 135
- Synchronous online, 231
 - classes, 231–232
- Synopsis/case summary, 133–135
- Teaching cases, 3–4, 53, 78
- Teaching note (TN), 11, 26, 33, 53, 78, 127, 198, 252
 - adopting instructors, 129
 - case writer, 128–129
 - for compact cases *vs.* traditional cases, 154–156
 - components of, 131–132
 - deans and tenure committees, 130–131
 - discussion questions, 143–144
 - discussion questions and answers, 148–152
 - editors and reviewers, 130
 - epilogue, 152–154
 - evaluators, 129
 - to incorporate feedback, 201–202
 - LO section, 137–142
 - pedagogical usage, 135–137
 - purpose of, 128
 - research methodology, 142–143
 - supplementary materials, 147–148
 - synopsis/case summary, 133–135
 - teaching strategy, 144–145
 - theory discussion, 145–147
- Teaching strategy, 144–145
- Teaching with cases online, 231
 - asynchronous online classes, 232
 - case preparation, 233–234
 - online case pedagogy, 237–242
 - synchronous and asynchronous methods combination, 233
 - synchronous online classes, 231–232
 - tools for, 234–236
- Teaching with Compact Cases
 - case for teaching with cases, 227
 - case teaching modalities, 229–231
 - decision-making skills, 243
 - design, assign, and align, 228–229
 - development of effective communication skills, 227–228
 - PESTEL analysis, 244
 - students beyond classroom, 228
 - teaching tips for compact cases, 242–243
 - teaching with cases online, 231–242
- Theory discussion, 145–147
- Three-option approach, 93–94
- Traditional cases, 15, 159
 - active learning theories, 18
 - Compact Cases *vs.*, 15
 - differences in learning objectives, 25–26
 - elements of, 16
 - elements of compact case, 23–25
 - encouraging student reading of assigned materials, 19
 - IM for compact cases *vs.*, 154–156
 - primary differences between short cases and, 28–29
 - short cases from instructor's perspective, 27
 - short cases from student's perspective, 27–28
 - student's perspective, 17
 - tips for case writing, 19–23
- Transcripts, 57
- Triangulation, 58–60
- Unacceptable answers, characteristics of, 150–151
- Undergraduate case assignment
 - example, 181–188
- US Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), 69
- US National Science Foundation (NSF), 65
- Videos, 56–57, 86, 114–117

- Who, What, When, Where, Why and How framework (Five Ws and H framework), 80–81
 - to Opening Hooks, 81–82
- Write cases, 5–6, 7–8
- Writing Compact Case, 77
 - benefits of case writing assignment, 160–164
 - case writing collaborations, 159
 - case writing conventions, 78–79
 - challenges of case writing assignment, 164–166
 - Compact Case components, 79–96
 - conference workshop presentation, 171
 - evaluating student cases, 189–191
 - exhibits, 97
 - exhibits in compact cases, 98–117
 - learning from experience of others, 173–181
 - publication process, 171–173
 - structuring case writing project, 166–170
 - student response to case assignment, 192–193
 - with students, 159
 - undergraduate case assignment example, 181–188
 - writing process, 97–98
- Writing process, 97–98