

Bibliography

- Agrawal, D., Kettinger, W., & Zhang, C. (2014). The openness challenge: Why some cities take it on and others don't. AMCIS 2014 Proceedings. 14. Retrieved from <https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2014/Posters/eGovernment/14>
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In *Action control* (pp. 11–39). New York, NY: Springer.
- Amerland, D. (2013). *Google semantic search: Search engine optimization (SEO) techniques that get your company more traffic, increase brand impact, and amplify your online presence*. Indianapolis, IN: Que Publishing.
- André, E., Rist, T., & Müller, J. (1998). WebPersona: A lifelike presentation agent for the World-Wide Web. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 11(1), 25–36.
- Anthopoulos, L. G., Siozos, P., & Tsoukalas, I. A. (2007). Applying participatory design and collaboration in digital public services for discovering and re-designing e-government services. *Government Information Quarterly*, 24(2), 353–376.
- Appelgren, E., & Nygren, G. (2014). Data journalism in Sweden: Introducing new methods and genres of journalism into “old” organizations. *Digital Journalism*, 2(3), 394–405.
- Arbel, A., & Orgler, Y. E. (1990). An application of the AHP to bank strategic planning: The mergers and acquisitions process. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 48(1), 27–37.
- Armstrong, C. L. (2011). Providing a clearer view: An examination of transparency on local government websites. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(1), 11–16.
- Arzberger, P., Schroeder, P., Beaulieu, A., Bowker, G., Casey, K., Laaksonen, L., ... Wouters, P. (2004). Promoting access to public research data for scientific, economic, and social development. *Data Science Journal*, 3, 135–152.
- Atkinson, N. L. (2007). Developing a questionnaire to measure perceived attributes of eHealth innovations. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 31(6), 612–621.
- Attard, J., Orlandi, F., Scerri, S., & Auer, S. (2015). A systematic review of open government data initiatives. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 399–418. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.006
- Babbie, E. R. (2015). *The practice of social research*. Toronto, ON: Nelson Education.
- Babic, Z., & Plazibat, N. (1998). Ranking of enterprises based on multicriterial analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 56, 29–35.
- Badri, M. A. (2001). A combined AHP–GP model for quality control systems. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 72(1), 27–40.
- Bahorsky, R., Graber, J., & Mason, S. (1998). *Official internet dictionary: A comprehensive reference for professionals*. Rockville, MD: Government Institutes.
- Baiocchi, G., & Ganuza, E. (2014). Participatory budgeting as if emancipation mattered. *Politics & Society*, 42(1), 29–50.
- Baker, D. L. (2009). Advancing e-government performance in the United States through enhanced usability benchmarks. *Government Information Quarterly*, 26(1), 82–88.

- Bar-Ilan, J. (2001). Data collection methods on the web for infometric purposes – A review and analysis. *Scientometrics*, 50(1), 7–32.
- Baylor, A. L., & Kim, S. (2009). Designing nonverbal communication for pedagogical agents: When less is more. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(2), 450–457.
- Baylor, A. L., Kim, S., Son, C., & Lee, M. (2005). Designing effective nonverbal communication for pedagogical agents. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2005 conference on Artificial intelligence in education: Supporting learning through intelligent and socially informed technology.
- Baylor, A. L., Shen, E., Warren, D., & Park, S. (2004). Supporting learners with math anxiety: The impact of pedagogical agent emotional and motivational support. Paper presented at the Workshop on “social and emotional intelligence in learning environments,” held at the international conference on intelligent tutoring systems, Maceió, Brazil.
- Behkamal, B., Kahani, M., Bagheri, E., & Jeremic, Z. (2014). A metrics-driven approach for quality assessment of linked open data. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(2), 64–79.
- Belanger, F., Hiller, J. S., & Smith, W. J. (2002). Trustworthiness in electronic commerce: The role of privacy, security, and site attributes. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 11(3–4), 245–270.
- Benjamin, C. O., Ehie, I. C., & Omurtag, Y. (1992). Planning facilities at the university of Missouri-Rolla. *Interfaces*, 22(4), 95–105.
- Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2010). Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anti-corruption tools for societies. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(3), 264–271. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2010.03.001
- Bickmore, T., & Cassell, J. (2005). Social dialogue with embodied conversational agents. In *Advances in natural multimodal dialogue systems* (pp. 23–54). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Björneborn, L., & Ingwersen, P. (2004). Toward a basic framework for webometrics. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 55(14), 1216–1227.
- Bogdanović-Dinić, S., Veljković, N., & Stoimenov, L. (2014). How open are public government data? An assessment of seven open data portals. In *Measuring e-government efficiency* (pp. 25–44). New York, NY: Springer.
- Bollettino, J. O. (2002). The customer-centric digital department: E-service in government. In *E-service: New directions in theory and practice*. New Delhi: Prentice-Hall of India.
- Bonsón, E., Torres, L., Royo, S., & Flores, F. (2012). Local e-government 2.0: Social media and corporate transparency in municipalities. *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(2), 123–132. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2011.10.001
- Braunschweig, K., Eberius, J., Thiele, M., & Lehner, W. (2012). The state of open data. *Limits of Current Open Data Platforms*. Retrieved from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-State-of-Open-Data-Limits-of-Current-Open-Data-Braunschweig-Eberius/f56700355734c88ecb04005b84eb80362e35803f>
- Brennan, R. L., & Prediger, D. J. (1981). Coefficient kappa: Some uses, misuses, and alternatives. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 41(3), 687–699.
- Bryson, S. (1996). Virtual reality in scientific visualization. *Communications of the ACM*, 39(5), 62–71.

- Budd, A. (2007). Heuristics for modern web application development. Retrieved from http://www.andybudd.com/archives/2007/01/heuristics_for_modern_web_application_development/
- Burawoy, M., Burton, A., Ferguson, A. A., & Fox, K. J. (1991). *Ethnography unbound: Power and resistance in the modern metropolis*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Burgoon, J. K., Bonito, J. A., Bengtsson, B., Cederberg, C., Lundeberg, M., & Allspach, L. (2000). Interactivity in human-computer interaction: A study of credibility, understanding, and influence. *Computers in Human Behavior, 16*(6), 553–574.
- Carter, L., & Belanger, F. (2004). Citizen adoption of electronic government initiatives. Paper presented at the 37th annual Hawaii international conference on system sciences, 2004.
- Carter, L., & Bélanger, F. (2005). The utilization of e-government services: Citizen trust, innovation and acceptance factors. *Information Systems Journal, 15*(1), 5–25.
- Casler, K., Bickel, L., & Hackett, E. (2013). Separate but equal? A comparison of participants and data gathered via Amazon's MTurk, social media, and face-to-face behavioral testing. *Computers in Human Behavior, 29*(6), 2156–2160.
- Cassell, J. (2000). *Embodied conversational agents*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cassell, J., Gill, A. J., & Tepper, P. A. (2007). Coordination in conversation and rapport. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the workshop on embodied language processing.
- Chakraborty, A., Wilson, B., Sarraf, S., & Jana, A. (2015). Open data for informal settlements: Toward a user's guide for urban managers and planners. *Journal of Urban Management, 4*(2), 74–91.
- Chatfield, A. T., & Reddick, C. G. (2017). A longitudinal cross-sector analysis of open data portal service capability: The case of Australian local governments. *Government Information Quarterly, 34*(2), 231–243. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2017.02.004
- Chattaraman, V., Kwon, W., & Gilbert, J. (2009). Social presence in online stores: Building social support and trust among older consumers. Paper presented at the Retailing strategic challenges and opportunities in uncertain times: Special conference series.
- Chattaraman, V., Kwon, W.-S., Gilbert, J. E., & Shim, S. (2011). Virtual agents in e-commerce: Representational characteristics for seniors. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 5*(4), 276–297.
- Chou, J.-R., & Hsiao, S.-W. (2007). A usability study on human-computer interface for middle-aged learners. *Computers in Human Behavior, 23*(4), 2040–2063.
- Chu, H., He, S., & Thelwall, M. (2002). Library and information science schools in Canada and USA: A webometric perspective. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science, 43*(2), 110–125.
- Clemmensen, T., & Katre, D. (2012). Adapting e-gov usability: Evaluation to cultural contexts. In *Usability in government systems* (pp. 331–344). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- CNNIC. (2019). *The 44th China statistical report on internet development*. Retrieved from <http://www.cac.gov.cn/pdf/20190829/44.pdf>
- Conradie, P., & Choenni, S. (2014). On the barriers for local government releasing open data. *Government Information Quarterly, 31*, S10–S17. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2014.01.003

- Cornford, J., Wilson, R., Baines, S., & Richardson, R. (2013). Local governance in the new information ecology: The challenge of building interpretative communities. *Public Money & Management*, 33(3), 201–208.
- Corrêa, A. S., Paula, E. C. D., Corrêa, P. L. P., & Silva, F. S. C. D. (2017). Transparency and open government data. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 11(1), 58–78. doi:10.1108/tg-12-2015-0052
- Cranefield, J., Robertson, O., & Oliver, G. (2014). Value in the mash: Exploring the benefits, barriers and enablers of open data apps (Version 1). Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington. doi:10.26686/wgtn.12910091.v1
- Craveiro, G. D. S., Santana, M. T. D., & Pereira, J. P. D. A. (2013). Assessing open government budgetary data in Brazil. Paper presented at the International conference on digital society-ICDS 2013.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297–334.
- David, P. A., & Foray, D. (2003). Economic fundamentals of the knowledge society. *Policy Futures in Education*, 1(1), 20–49.
- Davies, T. (2011). Open data: Infrastructures and ecosystems. *Open Data Research*, 1–6.
- Davies, T. (2014). Open data policies and practice: An international comparison. Available at SSRN 2492520.
- Davis, F. D. (1985). *A technology acceptance model for empirically testing new end-user information systems: Theory and results*. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1992). Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to use computers in the workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 22(14), 1111–1132.
- Dawes, S. S. (2010). Stewardship and usefulness: Policy principles for information-based transparency. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(4), 377–383.
- Dehn, D. M., & Van Mulken, S. (2000). The impact of animated interface agents: A review of empirical research. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 52(1), 1–22.
- Desouza, K. C., & Bhagwatwar, A. (2012). Citizen apps to solve complex urban problems. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 19(3), 107–136.
- DeVellis, R. F. (2016). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (Vol. 26). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Dietrich, D. (2015). The role of civic tech communities in PSI reuse and open data policies. *European PSI Platform*. Retrieved from https://data.europa.eu/sites/default/files/report/2015_the_role_of_civic_tech_communities_in_psi_reuse_and_open_data_policies.pdf
- Dix, A. (2009). Human-computer interaction. In *Encyclopedia of database systems* (pp. 1327–1331). New York, NY: Springer.
- DMGLabFudanUniversity. (2019). China open data index. Retrieved from <http://ifopendata.fudan.edu.cn/method>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., & Williams, M. D. (2008). Demographic influence on UK citizens' e-government adoption. *Electronic Government, An International Journal*, 5(3), 261–274.
- Dziuban, C. D., & Shirkey, E. C. (1974). When is a correlation matrix appropriate for factor analysis? Some decision rules. *Psychological Bulletin*, 81(6), 358.

- Ebrahim, Z., & Irani, Z. (2005). E-government adoption: Architecture and barriers. *Business Process Management Journal*, 11(5), 589–611.
- Edelmann, N., Höchtl, J., & Sachs, M. (2012). Collaboration for open innovation processes in public administrations. In *Empowering open and collaborative governance* (pp. 21–37). Berlin: Springer.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25–32.
- Ellonen, H.-K., Wikström, P., & Jantunen, A. (2009). Linking dynamic-capability portfolios and innovation outcomes. *Technovation*, 29(11), 753–762.
- Eschenbrenner, B., Nah, F. F.-H., & Siau, K. L. (2008). 3-D virtual worlds in education: Applications, benefits, issues, and opportunities. *Journal of Database Management*, 19(4), 91–110. doi:10.4018/jdm.2008100106
- Etzioni, A. (1960). Two approaches to organizational analysis: A critique and a suggestion. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 5(2), 257–278.
- Evans, A. M., & Campos, A. (2013). Open government initiatives: Challenges of citizen participation. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 32(1), 172–185.
- Fajar Marta, R. (2016). Open government data portal design principles: Implementing transparency, privacy, and information quality assurance by design. Retrieved from <http://resolver.tudelft.nl/uuid:85278c37-81b9-4576-b854-988c9954e33c>
- Fernandez, A., Insfran, E., & Abrahão, S. (2011). Usability evaluation methods for the web: A systematic mapping study. *Information and Software Technology*, 53(8), 789–817.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1977). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Fleiss, J. L. (1971). Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. *Psychological Bulletin*, 76(5), 378.
- Fleiss, J. L., Levin, B., & Paik, M. C. (2013). *Statistical methods for rates and proportions*. Somerset: John Wiley & Sons.
- Florini, A. (2008). Making transparency work. *Global Environmental Politics*, 8(2), 14–16.
- Foulonneau, M., Martin, S., & Turki, S. (2014). How open data are turned into services? Paper presented at the International conference on exploring services science.
- Fuentes-Enriquez, R., & Rojas-Romero, Y. (2013). Developing accountability, transparency and government efficiency through mobile apps: The case of Mexico. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 7th international conference on theory and practice of electronic governance.
- Gao, Y., & Vaughan, L. (2005). Web hyperlink profiles of news sites: A comparison of newspapers of USA, Canada, and China. Paper presented at the Aslib proceedings.
- Garcia, A. C. B., Maciel, C., & Pinto, F. B. (2005). A quality inspection method to evaluate e-government sites. Paper presented at the International conference on electronic government.
- Gauld, R., Goldfinch, S., & Horsburgh, S. (2010). Do they want it? Do they use it? The “demand-side” of e-government in Australia and New Zealand. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(2), 177–186.
- Gitelman, L. (2013). *Raw data is an oxymoron*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Gonzalez-Zapata, F., & Heeks, R. (2015). The multiple meanings of open government data: Understanding different stakeholders and their perspectives. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 441–452. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2015.09.001
- Gosling, S. D., Rentfrow, P. J., & Swann, W. B., Jr. (2003). A very brief measure of the big-five personality domains. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37(6), 504–528.
- Gratch, J., Okhmatovskaia, A., Lamothe, F., Marsella, S., Morales, M., van der Werf, R. J., & Morency, L.-P. (2006). Virtual rapport. Paper presented at the International workshop on intelligent virtual agents.
- Graves-Fuenzalida, A. (2013). *Improving the use of open government data using visualizations*. Troy, NY: Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.
- Graves, A., & Hendler, J. (2013). Visualization tools for open government data. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 14th annual international conference on digital government research.
- Grayling, T. (1998). Fear and loathing of the help menu: A usability test of online help. *Technical Communication*, 45(2), 168–179.
- Grayling, T. (2002). If we build it, will they come? A usability test of two browser-based embedded help systems. *Technical Communication*, 49(2), 193–209.
- Greenwald, A. G. (1976). Within-subjects designs: To use or not to use? *Psychological Bulletin*, 83(2), 314.
- Grice, G. R. (1966). Dependence of empirical laws upon the source of experimental variation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 66(6), 488.
- Grudin, J. (1992). Utility and usability: Research issues and development contexts. *Interacting with Computers*, 4(2), 209–217.
- Gurstein, M. B. (2011). Open data: Empowering the empowered or effective data use for everyone? *First Monday*, 16(2), 2–7.
- Halonen, A. (2012). *Being open about data: Analysis of the UK open data policies and applicability of open data*. London: The Finnish Institute in London.
- Harrison, D. A., Mykytyn, P. P., Jr, & Riemenschneider, C. K. (1997). Executive decisions about adoption of information technology in small business: Theory and empirical tests. *Information Systems Research*, 8(2), 171–195.
- Harrison, T. M., Pardo, T. A., & Cook, M. (2012). Creating open government ecosystems: A research and development agenda. *Future Internet*, 4(4), 900–928.
- Harvey, M., McMeekin, A., Randles, S., Southerton, D., Tether, B., & Warde, A. (2001). *Between demand and consumption: A framework for research* (p. 40). Centre for research on innovation and competition discussion paper.
- Hasan, L., Morris, A., & Proberts, S. (2013). E-commerce websites for developing countries – A usability evaluation framework. *Online Information Review*, 37(2), 231–251.
- Hawker, S., & Hawkins, J. M. (2001). *The oxford popular dictionary & thesaurus: 2 in 1*. Bath: Parragon.
- Heimstädt, M., Saunderson, F., & Heath, T. (2014). From toddler to teen: Growth of an open data ecosystem. *JeDEM-eJournal of eDemocracy and Open Government*, 6(2), 123–135.
- Heise, A., & Naumann, F. (2012). Integrating open government data with stratosphere for more transparency. *Web Semantics Science Services and Agents on the World Wide Web*, 14, 45–56.
- Ho, W. (2008). Integrated analytic hierarchy process and its applications – A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 186(1), 211–228.

- Hootsuite. (2019). *Global digital 2019 reports*. Retrieved from <https://wearesocial.com/global-digital-report-2019>
- Horrigan, J. B., Rainie, L., & Page, D. (2015). Americans' views on open government data. (Pew Research Center).
- Horsley, J. P. (2007). China adopts first nationwide open government information regulations. *Freedominfo.org*, 9, 1–13.
- Huang, Z., & Benyoucef, M. (2014). Usability and credibility of e-government websites. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(4), 584–595.
- Huang, R., & Wang, C. (2016). An investigation into the open government data platform in China. *Information Studies: Theory & Application*, 39(7), 50–55.
- Hui, K.-L., & Png, I. P. (2015). Research note – Migration of service to the internet: Evidence from a federal natural experiment. *Information Systems Research*, 26(3), 606–618.
- Iemma, R. (2012). Open government data: A focus on key economic and organizational drivers. Available at SSRN 2262943.
- Ingwersen, P. (1998). The calculation of web impact factors. *Journal of Documentation*, 54(2), 236–243.
- Iwarsson, S., & Ståhl, A. (2003). Accessibility, usability and universal design – Positioning and definition of concepts describing person-environment relationships. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 25(2), 57–66.
- Janssen, K. (2011). The influence of the PSI directive on open government data: An overview of recent developments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(4), 446–456.
- Janssen, M., Charalabidis, Y., & Zuiderwijk, A. (2012). Benefits, adoption barriers and myths of open data and open government. *Information Systems Management*, 29(4), 258–268.
- Jetzek, T., & Avital, M. (2013). The generative mechanisms of open government data. Paper presented at the ECIS 2013. completed research. Retrieved from https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2013_cr/156
- Jetzek, T., Avital, M., & Bjorn-Andersen, N. (2012). The value of open government data: A strategic analysis framework. Paper presented at the SIG eGovernment pre-ICIS workshop, Orlando.
- Jetzek, T., Avital, M., & Bjorn-Andersen, N. (2014). Data-driven innovation through open government data. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(2), 100–120.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1970). A second generation little jiffy. *Psychometrika*, 35(4), 401–415.
- Kaisler, S., Armour, F., Espinosa, J. A., & Money, W. (2013). Big data: Issues and challenges moving forward. Paper presented at the System sciences (HICSS), 2013 46th Hawaii international conference on.
- Kalampokis, E., Tambouris, E., & Tarabanis, K. (2013). Linked open government data analytics. In M. A. Wimmer, M. Janssen, & H. J. Scholl (Eds.), *Electronic government: 12th IFIP WG 8.5 international conference, EGOV 2013, Koblenz, Germany, September 16–19, 2013* (pp. 99–110). Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Kapoor, K., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Williams, M. D. (2013). Role of innovation attributes in explaining the adoption intention for the interbank mobile payment service in an Indian context. Paper presented at the International working conference on transfer and diffusion of IT.

- Karthikeyan, R., Venkatesan, K., & Chandrasekar, A. (2016). A comparison of strengths and weaknesses for analytical hierarchy process. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 9(3), 12–15.
- Kassen, M. (2013). A promising phenomenon of open data: A case study of the Chicago open data project. *Government Information Quarterly*, 30(4), 508–513. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2013.05.012
- Keren, G. B., & Raaijmakers, J. G. (1988). On between-subjects versus within-subjects comparisons in testing utility theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 41(2), 233–247.
- Khajouei, R., Hasman, A., & Jaspers, M. W. (2011). Determination of the effectiveness of two methods for usability evaluation using a CPOE medication ordering system. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 80(5), 341–350.
- Kitchin, R. (2013). Four critiques of open data initiatives. *LSE: The Impact Blog*, 27. Retrieved from <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2013/11/27/four-critiques-of-open-data-initiatives/>
- Kostovski, M., Jovanovik, M., & Trajanov, D. (2012). Open data portal based on semantic web technologies. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 7th South East European doctoral student conference. University of Sheffield, Greece.
- Kuflik, T., & Shoval, P. (2000). Generation of user profiles for information filtering – Research agenda. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 23rd annual international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval.
- Kuhn, K. (2011). Open government data and public transportation. *Journal of Public Transportation*, 14(1), 5.
- Kuo, B. C., Roldan-Bau, A., & Lowinger, R. (2015). Psychological help-seeking among Latin American immigrants in Canada: Testing a culturally-expanded model of the theory of reasoned action using path analysis. *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling*, 37(2), 179–197.
- Lee, Y., & Kozar, K. A. (2012). Understanding of website usability: Specifying and measuring constructs and their relationships. *Decision Support Systems*, 52(2), 450–463.
- Lee, C., & Kwak, N. (1999). Information resource planning for a health-care system using an AHP-based goal programming method. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 50(12), 1191–1198.
- Lee, J., & Rao, H. R. (2009). Task complexity and different decision criteria for online service acceptance: A comparison of two e-government compliance service domains. *Decision Support Systems*, 47(4), 424–435.
- Leontieva, L. S., Khalilova, T. V., Gaynullina, L. F., & Khalilov, A. I. (2015). Social-communicative innovations in anti-corruption activities (regional aspect). *Asian Social Science*, 11(7), 387.
- Lester, J. C., Converse, S. A., Kahler, S. E., Barlow, S. T., Stone, B. A., & Bhogal, R. S. (1997). The persona effect: Affective impact of animated pedagogical agents. Paper presented at the CHI.
- Li, R. (2018). *Research on the construction content of Chinese government data opening platform*. (Master's Degree). Changchun: Jilin University.
- Li, J., Kizilcec, R., Bailenson, J., & Ju, W. (2016). Social robots and virtual agents as lecturers for video instruction. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, 1222–1230.

- Lim, E. T., Tan, C.-W., Cyr, D., Pan, S. L., & Xiao, B. (2012). Advancing public trust relationships in electronic government: The Singapore e-filing journey. *Information Systems Research*, 23(4), 1110–1130.
- Lindman, J., Rossi, M., & Tuunainen, V. K. (2013). Open data services: Research agenda. Paper presented at the 46th Annual Hawaii international conference on system sciences (HICSS), Maui, Hawaii, US.
- Lnenicka, M., & Komarkova, J. (2019). Big and open linked data analytics ecosystem: Theoretical background and essential elements. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(1), 129–144.
- Lonsdale, C., Hodge, K., & Rose, E. A. (2006). Pixels vs. paper: Comparing online and traditional survey methods in sport psychology. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 28(1), 100–108.
- Lourenço, R. P. (2013). Open government portals assessment: A transparency for accountability perspective. Paper presented at the International conference on electronic government.
- Lourenço, R. P. (2015). An analysis of open government portals: A perspective of transparency for accountability. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(3), 323–332. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2015.05.006
- Lundvall, B.-Å., & Johnson, B. (1994). The learning economy. *Journal of Industry Studies*, 1(2), 23–42.
- Magalhaes, G., Roseira, C., & Manley, L. (2014). Business models for open government data. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 8th international conference on theory and practice of electronic governance.
- Martin, C. (2014). Barriers to the open government data agenda: Taking a multi-level perspective. *Policy & Internet*, 6(3), 217–240.
- Martin, S., Foulonneau, M., Turki, S., & Ihadjadene, M. (2013). Risk analysis to overcome barriers to open data. *Electronic Journal of e-Government*, 11(1), 348.
- Mathieson, K. (1991). Predicting user intentions: Comparing the technology acceptance model with the theory of planned behavior. *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 173–191.
- McGoldrick, P. J., Keeling, K. A., & Beatty, S. F. (2008). A typology of roles for avatars in online retailing. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 24(3–4), 433–461. doi:10.1362/026725708x306176
- McKnight, D. H., Choudhury, V., & Kacmar, C. (2002). Developing and validating trust measures for e-commerce: An integrative typology. *Information Systems Research*, 13(3), 334–359.
- Meijer, A. J., Curtin, D., & Hillebrandt, M. (2012). Open government: Connecting vision and voice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 78(1), 10–29.
- Meijer, A., de Hoog, J., van Twist, M., van der Steen, M., & Scherpenisse, J. (2014). Understanding the dynamics of open data: From sweeping statements to complex contextual interactions. In *Open government* (pp. 101–114). Berlin: Springer.
- Molich, R., & Nielsen, J. (1990). Improving a human-computer dialogue. *Communications of the ACM*, 33(3), 338–348.
- Moon, M. J., & Norris, D. F. (2005). Does managerial orientation matter? The adoption of reinventing government and e-government at the municipal level. *Information Systems Journal*, 15(1), 43–60.
- Murillo, M. J. (2015). Evaluating the role of online data availability: The case of economic and institutional transparency in sixteen Latin American Nations. *International Political Science Review*, 36(1), 42–59.

- Neil, T. (2009). 6 tips for a great flex UX: Part 5. Retrieved from <http://designingwebinterfaces.com/6-tips-for-a-great-flex-ux-part-5>
- Nielsen, J. (1992). Finding usability problems through heuristic evaluation. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems.
- Nielsen, J. (1994a). Enhancing the explanatory power of usability heuristics. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems.
- Nielsen, J. (1994b). *Usability engineering*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Nielsen, J. (1994c). Usability inspection methods. Paper presented at the Conference companion on human factors in computing systems.
- Nielsen, J., & Molich, R. (1990). Heuristic evaluation of user interfaces. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems.
- OECD. (2008). Recommendation of the council for enhanced access and more effective use of public sector information. ([C(2008)36]).
- OECD. (2015). Government at a glance 2015. Retrieved from https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/governance/government-at-a-glance-2015_gov_glance-2015-en
- Ohemeng, F. L., & Ofosu-Adarkwa, K. (2015). One way traffic: The open data initiative project and the need for an effective demand side initiative in Ghana. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 419–428.
- OpenDataBarometer. (2016). *ODB methodology – v1.0*. Retrieved from <http://opendatabarometer.org/doc/4thEdition/ODB-4thEdition-Methodology.pdf>
- OpenDataBarometer. (2017). *Open data barometer global report fourth edition*. Retrieved from <http://opendatabarometer.org/doc/4thEdition/ODB-4thEdition-GlobalReport.pdf>
- OpenDataBarometer. (2018). *Leaders edition: From promise to progress*. Retrieved from <https://opendatabarometer.org/doc/leadersEdition/ODB-leadersEdition-Report.pdf>
- OpenDataCensus. (2016). Local census. Retrieved from <http://cn-city.census.okfn.org/>
- OpenDataIndex. (2016). Place overview – Global open data index. Retrieved from <https://index.okfn.org/place/>
- OpenDataIndex. (2017). Methodology – Global open data index. Retrieved from <https://index.okfn.org/methodology/>
- OpenDataMonitor. (2017). OpenDataMonitor | Methodology. Retrieved from <https://www.opendatamonitor.eu/frontend/web/index.php?r=site%2Fmethodology>
- OpenGovernmentWorkingGroup. (2007). *Open government data principles*. Retrieved from https://public.resource.org/8_principles.html
- OpenKnowledgeFoundation. The open definition. Retrieved from <http://opendefinition.org>. Accessed on 2017.
- Ossowska, K., Szewc, L., Weichbroth, P., Garnik, I., & Sikorski, M. (2016). Exploring an ontological approach for user requirements elicitation in the design of online virtual agents. Paper presented at the EuroSymposium on systems analysis and design.
- Parycek, P., Hochtl, J., & Ginner, M. (2014). Open government data implementation evaluation. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(2), 80–99.

- Peled, A. (2011). When transparency and collaboration collide: The USA open data program. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 62(11), 2085–2094.
- Petrie, H., & Bevan, N. (2009). The evaluation of accessibility, usability, and user experience. *The Universal Access Handbook*, 1, 1–16.
- Petychakis, M., Vasileiou, O., Georgis, C., Mouzakitis, S., & Psarras, J. (2014). A state-of-the-art analysis of the current public data landscape from a functional, semantic and technical perspective. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(2), 34–47.
- Piotrowski, S. J., Zhang, Y., Lin, W., & Yu, W. (2009). Key issues for implementation of Chinese open government information regulations. *Public Administration Review*, 69(s1), S129–S135.
- Pipino, L. L., Lee, Y. W., & Wang, R. Y. (2002). Data quality assessment. *Communications of the ACM*, 45(4), 211–218.
- Power, R., Robinson, B., Rudd, L., & Reeson, A. (2015). Scenario planning case studies using open government data. Paper presented at the ISESS.
- Putzer, G. J., & Park, Y. (2010). The effects of innovation factors on smartphone adoption among nurses in community hospitals. *Perspectives in Health Information Management*, 7(Winter), 1b.
- Qiu, J. (2010). *Webometrics*. Beijing: China Science Publishing.
- Rajshree, N., & Srivastava, B. (2012). Open government data for tackling corruption—a perspective. Paper presented at the Workshops at the twenty-sixth AAAI conference on artificial intelligence.
- Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Williams, M. D. (2015). A meta-analysis of existing research on citizen adoption of e-government. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(3), 547–563.
- Ranjbartabar, H., & Richards, D. (2016). A virtual emotional freedom therapy practitioner (demonstration). In J. Thangarajah, K. Tuyls, C. Jonker, & S. Marsella (Eds.), *AAMAS 2016: Proceedings of the 2016 international conference on autonomous agents and multiagent systems* (pp. 1471–1473). International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (IFAAMAS).
- Rappaport, A. S., & Halevi, S. (1991). The computerless computer company. *Harvard Business Review*, 69(4), 69–80.
- Recker, J. (2012). *Scientific research in information systems: A beginner's guide*. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Ribeiro, F. G. D. M. M. (2017). *Value creation and barriers in the commercial use of open government data*. Doctor of Philosophy in Digital Media. Universidade do Porto.
- Rogers, E. M. (2010). *Diffusion of innovations*. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.
- Ruijter, E., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., & Meijer, A. (2017). Open data for democracy: Developing a theoretical framework for open data use. *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(1), 45–52. doi:[10.1016/j.giq.2017.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2017.01.001)
- Ruijter, E., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Hogan, M., Enzerink, S., Ojo, A., & Meijer, A. (2017). Connecting societal issues, users and data. Scenario-based design of open data platforms. *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(3), 470–480. doi:[10.1016/j.giq.2017.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2017.06.003)
- Saaty, T. L. (2008). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Services Sciences*, 1(1), 83–98.

- Safarov, I., Meijer, A., & Grimmelikhuijsen, S. (2017). Utilization of open government data: A systematic literature review of types, conditions, effects and users. *Information Polity*, 22(1), 1–24. doi:10.3233/IP-160012
- Sang, S., Lee, J.-D., & Lee, J. (2009). E-government adoption in ASEAN: The case of Cambodia. *Internet Research*, 19(5), 517–534.
- Schellong, A. (2009). EU e-government benchmarking 2010+ general remarks on the future of benchmarking digital government in the EU. Accessed on July 1, 2011.
- Scott, J. K. (2005). Assessing the quality of municipal government web sites. *State and Local Government Review*, 37(2), 151–165.
- Shadbolt, N., O'Hara, K., Berners-Lee, T., Gibbins, N., Glaser, H., & Hall, W. (2012). Linked open government data: Lessons from data. gov. UK. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 27(3), 16–24.
- Shapira, B., Shoval, P., & Hanani, U. (1997). Stereotypes in information filtering systems. *Information Processing & Management*, 33(3), 273–287.
- Shen, J., Han, L., & Hu, G. (2018). Open government data development velocity index: A research based on assessment of provincial open government data portals in China. *Journal of Intelligence*, 37(11), 156–163. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-1965.2018.11.024
- Smith, A., & Thelwall, M. (2002). Web impact factors for Australasian universities. *Scientometrics*, 54(3), 363–380.
- Soller, A. (2001). Supporting social interaction in an intelligent collaborative learning system. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education (IJAIED)*, 12, 40–62.
- Sonderregger, A., & Sauer, J. (2010). The influence of design aesthetics in usability testing: Effects on user performance and perceived usability. *Applied Ergonomics*, 41(3), 403–410.
- StateCouncil. (2016). Notice of the state council on issuing the interim measures for the administration of sharing of government information resources. Beijing. Retrieved from http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2015-09/05/content_10137.htm
- Steuer, R. E., & Na, P. (2003). Multiple criteria decision making combined with finance: A categorized bibliographic study. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 150(3), 496–515.
- Stokes, K., Barker, R., & Pigott, R. (2014). WHICH doctors take UP promising ideas? New insights from open data. Retrieved from https://www.nesta.org.uk/sites/default/files/which_doctors_take_up_promising.pdf
- Strasser, S., Eveland, J., Cummins, G., Deniston, O. L., & Romani, J. H. (1981). Conceptualizing the goal and system models of organizational effectiveness – Implications for comparative evaluation research. *Journal of Management Studies*, 18(3), 321–340.
- Sugiyama, K., Hatano, K., & Yoshikawa, M. (2004). Adaptive web search based on user profile constructed without any effort from users. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 13th international conference on World Wide Web.
- SunlightFoundation. (2010). Ten principles for opening up government information. Retrieved from <https://sunlightfoundation.com/policy/documents/ten-open-data-principles/>
- Susha, I., Grönlund, Å., & Janssen, M. (2015). Driving factors of service innovation using open government data: An exploratory study of entrepreneurs in two countries. *Information Polity*, 20(1), 19–34.

- Symons, V. J. (1991). A review of information systems evaluation: Content, context and process. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 1(3), 205–212.
- Taherdoost, H. (2018). A review of technology acceptance and adoption models and theories. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 22, 960–967.
- Tan, W.-S., Liu, D., & Bishu, R. (2009). Web evaluation: Heuristic evaluation vs. user testing. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 39(4), 621–627.
- Tang, R., Gregg, W., Hirsh, S., & Hall, E. (2019). US state and state capital open government data (OGD): A content examination and heuristic evaluation of data processing capabilities of OGD sites. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 56(1), 255–264.
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2, 53.
- Teo, T. S., Srivastava, S. C., & Jiang, L. (2008). Trust and electronic government success: An empirical study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 25(3), 99–132.
- Thelwall, M. (2002). An initial exploration of the link relationship between UK university web sites. Paper presented at the ASLIB proceedings.
- Thorsby, J., Stowers, G. N. L., Wolslegel, K., & Tumbuan, E. (2017). Understanding the content and features of open data portals in American cities. *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(1), 53–61. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2016.07.001
- Tickle-Degnen, L., & Rosenthal, R. (1990). The nature of rapport and its nonverbal correlates. *Psychological Inquiry*, 1(4), 285–293.
- Tognazzini, B. (2014). First principles of interaction design (revised & expanded). Retrieved from <https://asktog.com/atc/principles-of-interaction-design/>
- Ubaldi, B. (2013). Open government data: Towards empirical analysis of open government data initiatives. *OECD Working Papers on Public Governance*, 22, 1–60.
- Vaidya, O. S., & Kumar, S. (2006). Analytic hierarchy process: An overview of applications. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 169(1), 1–29.
- Van de Vijver, F., & Leung, K. (1997). *Methods and data analysis of comparative research*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Van Veenstra, A. F., & Van Den Broek, T. A. (2013). Opening moves—Drivers, enablers and barriers of open data in a semi-public organization. Paper presented at the International conference on electronic government.
- Vassilakopoulou, P., Skorve, E., & Aanestad, M. (2018). Enabling openness of valuable information resources: Curbing data subtractability and exclusion. *Information Systems Journal*, 29(4), 768–786.
- Veckman, C., & van der Graaf, S. (2015). The city as living laboratory: Empowering citizens with the citadel toolkit. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 5(3), 6–17.
- Veljković, N., Bogdanović-Dinić, S., & Stoimenov, L. (2014). Benchmarking open government: An open data perspective. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(2), 278–290. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2013.10.011
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478.

- Venkatesh, V., Sykes, T. A., & Venkatraman, S. (2014). Understanding e-government portal use in rural India: Role of demographic and personality characteristics. *Information Systems Journal*, 24(3), 249–269.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y., Chan, F. K., & Hu, P. J. (2016). Managing citizens' uncertainty in e-government services: The mediating and moderating roles of transparency and trust. *Information Systems Research*, 27(1), 87–111.
- Verdegem, P., & Verleye, G. (2009). User-centered e-government in practice: A comprehensive model for measuring user satisfaction. *Government Information Quarterly*, 26(3), 487–497.
- Vreeland, R. C. (2000). Law libraries in hyperspace: A citation analysis of World Wide Web sites. *Law Library Journal*, 92, 9.
- Wang, D., Chen, C., & Richards, D. (2018). A prioritization-based analysis of local open government data portals: A case study of Chinese province-level governments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(4), 644–656.
- Wang, H.-J., & Lo, J. (2016). Adoption of open government data among government agencies. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(1), 80–88.
- Wang, D., Richards, D., & Chen, C. (2019). Connecting users, data and utilization: A demand-side analysis of open government data. Paper presented at the International conference on information.
- Wang, R. Y., & Strong, D. M. (1996). Beyond accuracy: What data quality means to data consumers. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 12(4), 5–33.
- Warde, A. (2005). Consumption and theories of practice. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 5(2), 131–153.
- Weerakkody, V., Irani, Z., Kapoor, K., Sivarajah, U., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2017). Open data and its usability: An empirical view from the citizen's perspective. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(2), 285–300.
- WEI-ERN, J. W. (2012). Establishing rapport with conversational agents: Comparing the effect of envelope and emotional feedback. Retrieved from <https://scholarbank.nus.edu.sg/handle/10635/33337>
- Wen, F. (2019). *Research on policy system construction of open government data in China*. (PhD). Wuhan University.
- Whitmore, A. (2014). Using open government data to predict war: A case study of data and systems challenges. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(4), 622–630.
- Wieczorkowski, J., & Pawełoszek, I. (2018). Open government data, the case of polish public sector. *The Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management*, 6(2), 54–71.
- Wijnhoven, F., Ehrenhard, M., & Kuhn, J. (2015). Open government objectives and participation motivations. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(1), 30–42. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2014.10.002
- Willinsky, J. (2005). The unacknowledged convergence of open source, open access, and open science. *First Monday*, 10(8). Retrieved from <https://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/1265>
- Wormell, I. (2001). Informetrics and webometrics for measuring impact, visibility, and connectivity in science, politics, and business. *Competitive Intelligence Review* 12(1), 12–23.
- Wright, K. B. (2005). Researching internet-based populations: Advantages and disadvantages of online survey research, online questionnaire authoring software packages, and web survey services. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 10(3), JCMC1034.

- Wu, P. F. (2009). User acceptance of emergency alert technology: A case study. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 6th international ISCRAM conference.
- Yavuz, N., & Welch, E. W. (2014). Factors affecting openness of local government websites: Examining the differences across planning, finance and police departments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(4), 574–583. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2014.07.004
- Yi, M. (2019). Exploring the quality of government open data: Comparison study of the UK, the USA and Korea. *The Electronic Library*, 37(1), 35–48.
- Yi, K., & Jin, T. (2008). Hyperlink analysis of the visibility of Canadian library and information science school web sites. *Online Information Review*, 32(3), 325–347.
- Youngblood, N. E., & Mackiewicz, J. (2012). A usability analysis of municipal government website home pages in Alabama. *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(4), 582–588.
- Yu, H. (2010). On the determinants of internet-based disclosure of government financial information. Paper presented at the Management and service science (MASS), 2010 international conference on.
- Yu, H., & Robinson, D. G. (2011). The new ambiguity of open government. *UCLA L. Rev. Discourse*, 59, 178.
- Zainal, Z. (2007). Case study as a research method. *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, 5(1). Retrieved from <https://jurnalkemanusiaan.utm.my/index.php/kemanusiaan/article/view/165>
- Zhou, W., Xia, J., & Dai, L. (2019). Research on construction progress and optimizing strategy of local government open data platforms in China. *Documentation, Information & Knowledge*, 3, 62–71. doi:10.13366/j.dik.2019.03.062
- Zuiderwijk, A., Helbig, N., Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Janssen, M. (2014). Special issue on innovation through open data—a review of the state-of-the-art and an emerging research agenda. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(2), 1–2.
- Zuiderwijk, A., & Janssen, M. (2013). A coordination theory perspective to improve the use of open data in policy-making. Paper presented at the International conference on electronic government.
- Zuiderwijk, A., & Janssen, M. (2014). Open data policies, their implementation and impact: A framework for comparison. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(1), 17–29. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2013.04.003
- Zuiderwijk, A., Janssen, M., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2015). Acceptance and use predictors of open data technologies: Drawing upon the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 429–440. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2015.09.005
- Zuiderwijk, A., Janssen, M., & Parnia, A. (2013). The complementarity of open data infrastructures: An analysis of functionalities. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 14th annual international conference on digital government research.