

Index

- Accommodation, 86
- Accountability, 165–167
 - audiences, 167–168
 - of cocreation networks, 193
 - key accountability audiences, 168
 - strengthening accountability of
 - cocreation arenas, 177
- Accountable cocreation of SDGs, 167–170
 - accountability, 165–167
 - challenges related to holding
 - cocreation partnerships to account, 170–173
 - strategies for promoting
 - accountable cocreation, 173–176
- ‘Action teams’, 52
- Actors, 79–80
 - fostering collaborative relations through motivation and integration of, 83–85
- Adaptive capacity, 143–144
- Adaptive cocreation
 - from blueprints to, 138–139
 - diagnostic, 140–141
 - as strategy for overcoming barriers to sustainability, 141–144
- Adaptive management model, 139
- Affected actors in cocreation of public solutions, 73–77
- African Development Bank (ADB), 135–136
- African Philanthropy Forum, 207–208
- Agenda 2030, 10–11
 - Achilles’ Heel of, 44–47
- Agreement, 87
- Agricultural innovation programs, 62
- Allocation of public funds, 152
- Amplifier effects, 69–70
- Arenas, 100
- Attention, 100
- Attractive for partners, 100
- Attractor effects, 68, 70
- Audiences, accountability, 167–168
- “Backbone organizations”, 58
- Better Rice Initiative Asia (BRIA), 62
- Biased participation patterns, 38–39
- Blended finance of cocreated SDG projects and initiatives, 132–134
- Blue City Lab*, 60
- Blueprint strategies, 193
- Blueprints to adaptive cocreation, 138–139
- Bottom-up goal integration, cocreation as, 53
- Bureaucratic government, 151–152
- Cape Town Sustainable Mobility project, 109
- Catalysts, 155
- Catalyzing innovation, 96
- Change strategy, experimentation as, 111–114
- Changemakers, 69, 93, 95, 176
 - pushing and pulling cocreation toward innovation, 94
- Changing Wealth of Nations* project, 206–207
- Citizen participation, 53
- Civil society, 2
- Clarification, 84
- Classical liberalism, 195
- Cocreated experimentation, 115–118
- Cocreated experiments, 107
- Cocreated prototypes of SDG, 193

- Cocreating
 - actors, 156–157
 - experiments and prototypes, 114–115
 - from blueprints to adaptive, 138–139
 - as bottom-up goal integration, 53
 - build local capacity for change, 50
 - building support networks, 54
 - cheers and hurray for, 35–38
- Cocreation, 5, 79–80, 91–92, 107, 110, 138, 145–146, 192
 - strategy of SDG localization, 47–55
 - collaborative governance, 27–28
 - from collaborative governance to, 28–31
 - collaborative partnership approach for reaching SDGs, 23–25
 - contextualize SDGs, 47–48
 - dark side of, 38–39
 - deliberation platforms, 62–63
 - demand for deepening democracy, 200–206
 - design, 33
 - diffusing successful innovations through cocreation, 100–101
 - encourage societal ownership of SDGs, 48–49
 - essential dynamics for platform success, 68–69
 - evaluation, 34–35, 151, 153
 - to foster social accountability for SDGs, 50–52
 - from global to local and back again, 206–209
 - identifying hidden resources, 55
 - implementation, 34
 - initiation, 31–33
 - innovation platforms, 62
 - intermediating role of platforms, 64–65
 - knowledge cocreation platforms, 61
 - leadership of, 184–185
 - leveraging role of platforms, 66–68
 - living labs, 61–62
 - local cocreation, 191, 194, 200, 206
 - new economic thinking, 194–198
 - organizing logic of, 63–64
 - partnership platforms, 63
 - partnerships, networks and key functions, 25–27
 - platform design, 69–72
 - platforms, 57–60
 - process in four steps, 31–32, 35
 - public value outcomes, 30
 - recommendations for achieving positive platform effects, 70
 - scaffolding role of platforms, 65–66
 - of SDGs, 208
 - securing political stability in times of rapid societal change, 198–200
 - sharing and crowdsourcing platforms, 63
 - skills and competencies important, 186
 - smart city platforms, 62
 - to spot leverage points, 54
 - as strategy of localization, 56
 - as strategy of SDG localization, 47–55
 - support local innovation, 55
 - supports learning and knowledge creation, 52–53
 - types of, 60–63
 - U. N. platforms related to SDGs, 59
 - workshops, 148
- Collaboration, 27–28, 138
 - checklist, 155
- Collaborative adaptation, 144, 146
 - as implementation strategy, 137–138
 - as integrative strategy, 144–146
 - through social learning, 147–148
- Collaborative Crop Research Program (CCRP), 161
- Collaborative governance, 3, 27–28
 - to cocreation, 28–31
- Collaborative partnership approach for reaching SDGs, 23–25
- Collaborative platforms, 82, 154

- Collective impact
 - conditions for learning in, 164
 - fast learning from, 162–164
- Common agenda, 163
- Communication, 155
- Communicative skills, 187
- Community
 - community-based experimentation, 107
 - community-based innovation, 111
 - community-raising efforts, 80–81
 - development, 155
- Complexity, 187–188
- Compromise formation, 86–87
- Concept House Village Lab*, 60
- Conceptual methodologies, 67–68
- Conflict(s), 85
 - behavioral recommendations for
 - conflict mediators, 88
 - mediating and mitigating, 85–89
 - mediation, 86, 187
- Connectedness, 155
- Context setters, 75
- Continuous communication, 163–164
- Control, 152
 - systems, 172
- Conveners, 74, 77–78, 81
 - of cocreation, 75
- Convening relevant and affected actors
 - in cocreation of public solutions, 73–77
 - coping with limits to inclusion, 77–79
 - empowering actors to secure effective participation, 79–83
 - fostering collaborative relations
 - through motivation and integration of actors, 83–85
 - mediating and mitigating conflicts, 85–89
 - power vs. interest grid, 75
 - stakeholder influence analysis, 76
- Coping with limits to inclusion, 77–79
 - conflict challenge, 77
 - coordination challenge, 77
 - troubling actor challenge, 77
- Corona pandemic, 4–5, 97
- Corporate business sector, 126
- Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), 95, 126
- Creation of resource interdependence, 84
- Critical life-supporting systems, 196–197
- Cross-boundary collaboration, 5
- Crowd actors, 75
- Crowdfunding, 63, 126
- Crowdsourcing platforms, 63
- Data collection, 155
- Decentralization, 45
- Decision support tools, 67–68
- Deepening democracy, demand for, 200–206
- Degree of participation, 70–71
- Deliberation platforms, 62–63
- Demand for deepening democracy, 200–206
- Democracy, 201–202
 - demand for deepening democracy, 200–206
- Design thinking, 108–109
 - phases of, 109
- Developmental evaluation, 159–162
- Dialogue platforms, 59
- Diffusing successful innovations
 - through cocreation, 100–101
- Digital design and fabrication tools, 67–68
- “Distributed” experimentation, 106–107
- Distributive leadership, 82
- “Do-It-Together” approach, 110
- Donor organizations, 125
- “Donut economics”, 196
- Doughnut model, 196–197
- Downward accountability, 169
- E-participation tools, 67–68
- Economic crises, 80

- Economic policy paradigm, 195–196
- Economic policy-makers, 196–197
- Economic sustainability, 10
- Effective facilitation of cocreation, 147
- Effective participation, empowering actors to secure, 79–83
- Emergent character of cocreation, 193
- Empowering actors to secure effective participation, 79–83
- Empowerment, 80
- Environmental degradation, 196–197
- Environmental issues, 196–197
- Environmental protection, 10
- Environmental sustainability, 10
- Evaluation, 154
 - cocreation, 151–153
 - developmental, 159–162
 - dynamic relationship between process, impact, and evaluation, 153
 - formative, 154–157
 - process, 153–154
 - summative, 157–159
- Exclusion, 79
- Experimentation, 107–108
 - as change strategy, 111–114
 - cocreating experiments and prototypes, 114–115
 - support, scale and diffuse cocreated experiments, 118
 - supporting successful cocreated, 115–118
 - sustainability, 105–107
- Facilitation of meetings, 82
- Facilitators, 81
- Fair speaking, 187
- Fair Trade Agreements, 20
- Fairness and social equality, 10
- Financing, 123
 - conceptual distinction between funding and financing, 124
 - of local cocreation projects, 121–124
- Fiscal auditing, 134–136
- Followers, 183–184
- Food Waste Warrior, 2
- “Food-energy-water”, 145
- Forest Action, 47
- Formal accountability mechanisms, 166–167, 171
 - potential negative impacts of, 172
 - potential positive impacts of, 171
- Formative evaluation, 154, 157, 160
- Fostering collaborative relations through motivation and integration of actors, 83–85
- Frame reflection, 82
- Fund for International Partnerships*, 63
- Funding, 122–123
 - conceptual distinction between funding and financing, 124
 - good early-stage funding application, 128
 - of initiation and development of local cocreation projects, 124–128
 - of local cocreation projects, 121–124
- Future Council Hamburg*, 62–63
- Future Earth*, 11
- GDP growth, 207
- Generative experiments, 106
- Gentofte Municipality, 205–206
- Give a Minute* (crowdsourcing platforms), 63
- Global action, 12
- Global goals
 - Achilles’ Heel of Agenda 2030, 44–47
 - cocreation as strategy of SDG localization, 47–55
 - from global SDGs to national agendas, 42–44
 - SDG cascade, 41–42
- Global levels of governance, 20
- Global microfunding, 208
- Global South, 9–10
- Global sustainability, 1
- Golden Open Access, 8

- Governance networks, 25
- Government, 125, 129–130
 - action, 12
 - actors, 2
- Grassroots innovation, 109–110
- Green Commodities Program, 60
- Group level empowerment strategies, 80–81

- Hard power resources, 183
- Hedonistic effects, 84–85
- Heterodox economics, 194–195
- Heterodox economists, 194–195
- High speed information sharing, 82
- Hybrid democracy, 206

- IMAGINE (EU-sponsored project), 51
- Impact investments, 207–208
- Impartial speaking, 187
- Inclusion, 79
 - coping with limits to, 77–79
- Indonesia's Special Economic Zone policies, 76–77
- Informal accountability, 174–175
 - actor properties in, 175
 - building, 176–178
- Initiation, 92
- Innovation, 6, 105
 - assets, 94–95
 - avoiding pitfalls, 101–102
 - diffusing successful innovations through cocreation, 100–101
 - generating ideas for innovative solutions, 95–97
 - grassroots, 109–110
 - initiating cocreated innovation, 92–93, 95
 - platforms, 62
 - promoting SDGs through cocreated, 91–92
 - pushing and pulling cocreation toward, 94
 - recommendations for spur cocreated innovation, 103
 - turning new ideas into promising solutions, 97–100
- Innovative policies, 97–98
- Innovative products, 98
- Innovative programs, 98
- Innovative services, 98
- Inquiring action, 12
- Integration of actors, fostering
 - collaborative relations through and, 83–85
- Integrative strategy, collaborative adaptation as, 144–146
- Interactive approach to leadership, 183–185
- Interdependence, 83
- Intermediating role of platforms, 64–65
- Intermediation, 64
- International donor organizations, 125
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 207
- Investors, 131–132
- Inward accountability, 169

- Keynesianism, 195
- Knowledge
 - cocreation platforms, 61
 - cocreation supports knowledge creation, 52–53
 - of local community, 186–187
 - platforms, 59
- “Landscape” approach, 146
- Laws, 155
- LEADER program, 15
- Leader(s), 180–181, 184, 188
 - of cocreation, 193
- Leadership, 92, 155, 180, 183, 188, 193
 - building leadership capacity to promote cocreation of SDGs, 186–188
 - of cocreation, 184–185
 - create group, 181
 - functions, 181
 - generate results, 182–183
 - implement new solution, 182
 - importance, 179–180
 - inspire and guide shared search for solutions, 181–182

- interactional leadership production, 184
 - problem diagnosis, 181
 - recommendations for cocreation, 190
 - role of power in, 183–184
- Learning, 152–153
 - cocreation supports, 52–53
- Legitimacy, 152–153
 - through oversight and fiscal auditing, 134–136
- Lever of change, 27
- Leverage points, cocreation to spot, 54
- Leveraging role of platforms, 66–68
- Lewa wildlife project, 146
- Life Cycle Co-Creation Process (LCCCP), 67–68
- LifeStraw, 98
- Living labs, 61–62
- Local action, 14
 - barriers to, 18–20
 - cocreation as strategy of, 56
 - enhancing scope for, 20–22
 - global goals to, 41–42
- Local Agenda (LA), 45
 - Local Agenda 21, 45–46
- Local citizens, 2
- Local cocreation, 112, 191, 194
 - blended finance of cocreated SDG projects and initiatives, 132–134
 - building leadership capacity to promote cocreation of SDGs, 186–188
 - combination of funding and financing over time, 124
 - comparing deliberative MiniPublics and Citizen Juries with cocreation, 203
 - and demand for deepening democracy, 200–206
 - efforts, 45
 - funding of initiation and development of, 124–128
 - lead, 188–189
 - legitimacy through oversight and fiscal auditing, 134–136
 - need for funding and financing of, 121–124
 - public and private financing of cocreated SDG solutions, 128–132
 - role of power in leadership, 183–184
 - of SDG, 179–180
- Local governance, 15–16
 - barriers to local action, 18–20
 - local actors contribute to achieving SDGs, 15–18
 - local responses to global problems, 13–15
 - UN SDGs, 9–13
- Local innovation, cocreation support, 55
- Local partnerships, 53
- Local stakeholders, 74–75
- Local2030, 59
- Localization, 44–47
- Mainstream economics, 194–195
- Mainstreaming, Acceleration and Policy Coherence (MAPS), 42
- Mature networks and partnerships, 27
- Mentoring, 82
- Metagovernance, 204–205
- Microeconomics, 195
- Millennium Development Goals (MDG), 9–10, 46
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 46–47
- Mitreden-U Platform, 62–63
- Mock ups, 108, 113
- Modern economic policy paradigms, 195
- Modern Governance*, 16
- Modus operandi, 161–163, 174–175
- Monitoring, 144
- Mooi Mooier Middelland*, 60
- Motivation, 69–70
 - fostering collaborative relations through and, 83–85

- Multistakeholder
 - collaboration, 4–5
 - partnerships, 24
 - platform, 58
- Mutually reinforcing activities, 164
- National agendas, from global SDGs to, 42–44
- National levels of governance, 20
- National policymakers, 43–44
- National political systems, 200
- Neoliberalism, 195
- Networks, 4–5, 25, 27
- New economic thinking, 194–198
 - triangular space circumscribing a new sustainable economics, 196
- New Public Management, 151–152
- Newcastle City Futures* (NCF), 60
- Nodality, authority, treasure, organizational capacity resources (NATO resources), 14
- On-line training, 67–68
- Open-minded speaking, 187
- OpenIDEO* (crowdsourcing platforms), 63
- Organizations, 2
- Organizing logic of cocreation platforms, 63–64
- Outward accountability, 170
- Palette for the Future*, 60
- Paper prototyping, 113
- Participant-level empowerment strategies, 81–82
- Participatory mapping, 52–53
- “Participatory rural appraisal” strategies, 58
- Participatory strategies, 53
- Partnership, 4–5, 42, 138, 193
 - approach, 24
 - Goal 17 on, 5
 - model, 44–45
 - networks, key functions and, 25–27
 - platforms, 63
 - rising functional aspiration of networks and, 26
 - for SDGs platform, 59
- “Partnership for the goals”, 23
- Patent laws, 100
- People action, 12
- Perseverance, 187–188
- Philanthropists, 125–126
- Philanthropy, 207–208
- Pictograms, 11
- Pilot projects, 113
- “Place-based” approach, 146
- Planning Support tools, 67–68
- Platforms, 57–60, 192
 - design, 69–72
 - intermediating role of, 64–65
 - leveraging role of, 66–68
 - scaffolding role of, 65–66
 - tools for facilitating cocreation, 67–68
- Players, 75
- Policies, 155
- “Policy-target alignment analysis”, 43
- Political climate, 155
- Political stability, 199–200
 - in times of rapid societal change, 198–200
- Political tensions, 199
- Postmeeting, 82
- Power in leadership, 183–184
- Premeeting, 82
- Private banks, 131–132
- Private businesses, 126
- Private enterprises, 2
- Private financing of cocreated SDG solutions, 128–132
- Private investment corporations, 207–208
- Private organizations, 8
- Problem diagnoses, 92–93, 193
- Problem-driven iterative adaptation (PDIA), 142–143
- Process
 - evaluation, 153–154
 - management methodologies, 67–68

- Prototypes, 33
- Prototyping, 6–7, 99, 106, 112, 114
 - cocreating experiments and prototypes, 114–115
 - modes of, 113
- Proximity, 14
- Public donation, 126
- Public financing of cocreated SDG solutions, 128–132
- Public organizations, 8
- Public sector organizations, 2
- Public Service Innovations Network, 101
- Public value for society, 39

- Qualitative system models, 67–68

- Raising the Citizen's Voice* project, 58
- Randomized controlled trial (RCT), 106
- “Reflect-act-reflect” cycles, 148, 150
- Regional collaborative platforms, 59
- Regulations, 155
- Reporting and standard-setting platforms, 59
- Representative democracy, 202
- Research and data collection, 155
- Resource interdependence
 - creation of, 84
 - strengthening of, 84
- Resources, 155
- Role-playing experiments, 108
- “Rules-in-practice”, 176–177

- Scaffolding role of platforms, 65–66
- Scaling, 69–70
- Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN), 60
- Scenarios, 112
 - planning, 113
- SDG Partnerships Platform, 24
- Sector-specific approach, 4
- Selective activation, 82
- Serious games, 67–68, 113
- Sharing platforms, 63
- Simulation and scenario modeling, 67–68
- Simulation models, 113
- Small Grants Program Partnership Platform*, 63
- Smart city platforms, 62
- Social accountability, 173–174
 - actor properties in, 175
 - building, 176–178
 - for SDGs, 50–52
- Social and economic prosperity, 10
- Social capital, 187
- Social entrepreneurs, 152–153
- Social learning, 69–70
 - collaborative adaptation through, 147–148
 - strategies for promoting, 150
- Social networks, 148
- Social sustainability, 10
- “Societal” experimentation, 106–107
- “Soft power” approach, 183
- Stakeholder analysis, 74–76, 192–193
- Stakeholders, 181
- Storytelling, 32
- Strategic management, 92
- Strengthening of resource interdependencies, 84
- Subjects, 75
- Summative evaluation, 157, 159–160
- Supply channel, 202
- Support networks, cocreation building, 54
- Sustainability
 - adaptive cocreation as strategy for overcoming barriers to, 141–144
 - developments, 58
 - experimentation, 105–107
 - sustainability transitions, 107–108
- Sustainable development
 - knowledge platform, 59
 - solutions network, 59
- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 1, 9, 13, 41–42, 92, 154, 156
 - argument in nutshell, 4–5

- building leadership capacity to promote cocreation of, 186–188
- cascade, 41–42
- cocreation as strategy of SDG localization, 47–55
- cocreation contextualize, 47–48
- cocreation encourage societal ownership of, 48–49
- cocreation to foster social accountability for, 50–52
- collaborative partnership approach for reaching, 23–25
- factors motivate local actors to promoting SDGs, 17
- Goal 11, 10–11
- Goal 13, 10
- Goal 16, 165
- Goal 17, 5, 23, 192
- Goal 3, 10–11
- Goal 8, 10
- insights into, 186
- interrelated patterns of action promoting SDGs, 13
- local actors contribute to achieving, 15–18
- local cocreation, 191–194
- multicolored icons illustrating each of SDGs, 12
- philanthropy platform, 59
- promoting SDGs through cocreated Innovation, 91–92
- SDG 14. 1 and 14. 2, 30–31
- U. N. platforms related to, 59
- work together to achieve, 1–3
- Sustainable futures, 194
- Sustainable participation, 155
- Sustainable Rice Platform, 62
- Sustainable sanitation innovations, 106–107
- Synergies, 68–70
- Team-based leadership of cocreation process, 189
- Top-down implementation, 142
- Track record for collaboration, 155
- Traditional evaluation tools, 153
- Transformation lab, 61–62
- Transformative partnership platforms, 59
- Transitions, sustainability, 107–108
 - sustainability transition experiment, 108
- Trust building, 82
- U.N. Global compact action platforms, 59
- UN Agenda 21, 13–14
- UN Development Group (UNDG), 42
- Uncertainty, 187–188
- Understanding community, 155
- UNDISDR’s Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction, 60
- United Nations, 1
- United Nations SDG Partnership Platform, 2
- UNLEASH network, 110
- Upward accountability, 168–169
- Value
 - co-destruction, 39
 - of cocreated prototypes, 115
 - of prototyping, 99
- Virtualization, 113
- Voluntary National Reviews, 43
- “Wicked problems”, 143–144
- Workshops, 65–66
- “Yellow vest” movement, 198–199
- Youth Foundation of Bangladesh (YFB), 30–31