References

Abbott, P. (1991). Story-telling and political theory. *Soundings*, *74*(3/4), 369–397.

Allen, A. (2016). *The end of progress: Decolonizing the normative foundations of critical theory*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Arendt, H. (2005). Truth and politics. In J. Medina & D. Wood (Eds.), *Truth. Engagement across philosophical traditions* (pp. 295–314). Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell.

Armingeon, K., & Gutmann, K. (2014). Democracy in crisis? The declining cupport for national democracy in European countries, 2007–2011. *European Journal of Political Research*, *53*(3), 423–442.

Arzheimer, K. (2013). *Politikverdrossenheit: Bedeutung, Verwendung und empirische Relevanz eines politikwissenschaftlichen Begriffs*. Wiesbaden: Springer-Verlag.

Austin, J. L. (1975). *How to do things with words* (Vol. 88). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bächtiger, A., & Parkinson, J. (2019). *Mapping and measuring deliberation: Towards a new deliberative quality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bagnoli, C. (2020). Constructivism in metaethics. In Zalta, E. N. (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy* (Summer 2020 Ed.). Stanford, CA: Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. Retrieved from https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2020/entries/constructivism-metaethics/

Barry, B. (1973). John Rawls and the priority of liberty. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *2*, 274–290.

Barry, B. (1989). *Theories of justice*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Bauman, Z. (1991). *Modernity and ambivalence*. Cambridge: Polity.

Baynes, K. (2010). Deliberative democracy and public reason. *Veritas*, *55*(1), 135–163.

Beitz, C. R. (1989). *Political equality*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Bellamy, R. (2018). Majority rule, compromise and the democratic legitimacy of referendums. *Swiss Political Science Review*, *24*(3), 312–319.

Benhabib, S. (1989). Critical theory and postmodernism: On the interplay of ethics, aesthetics, and utopia in critical theory. *Cardozo L. Review*, *11*, 1435.

Benhabib, S. (1994). Deliberative rationality and models of democratic legitimacy. *Constellations*, *1*(1), 26–52.

Benhabib, S. (1996). Toward a deliberative model of democratic legitimacy. In S. Benhabib (Ed.), *Democracy and difference: Contesting the boundaries of the political* (pp. 67–94). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Besch, T. M. (2013). On political legitimacy, reasonableness, and perfectionism. *Public Reason*, *5*(1), 58–74.

Blaug, R. (1999). *Democracy, real and ideal: Discourse ethics and radical politics*. Albany, NY: Suny Press.

Blaug, R. (2002). Engineering democracy. *Political Studies*, *50*(1), 102–116.

Blühdorn, I. (2020). The dialectic of democracy: Modernization, emancipation and the great regression. *Democratization*, *27*(3), 389–407.

Brennan, J. (2017). *Against democracy: New preface*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Bridle, J. (2018). *New dark age: Technology and the end of the future*. London: Verso.

Bürgergutachten. (2019). *Citizens' report: Recommendations from the German citizen assembly on the future of democracy in Sept. 2019*. Retrieved from https://www.mehr-demokratie.de/fileadmin/pdf/Buergerrat/2019-11-07_Bu__rgergutachten_Web.pdf

Buchanan, A. (2002). Political legitimacy and democracy. *Ethics*, *112*(4), 689–719.

Bussu, S., & Bua, A. (2020). Between governance-driven democratisation and democracy-driven governance: Explaining changes in participatory governance in the case of Barcelona. *European Journal of Political Research*. doi:10.1111/1475–6765.12421

Buyx, A., Del Savio, L., Prainsack, B., & Völzke, H. (2017). Every participant is a PI. Citizen science and participatory governance in population studies. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *46*(2), 377–384.

Caldwell, P. C. (1997). *Popular sovereignty and the crisis of German constitutional law: The theory & practice of Weimar constitutionalism*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Caluwaerts, D., & Reuchamps, M. (2015). Strengthening democracy through bottom-up deliberation: An assessment of the internal legitimacy of the G1000 project. *Acta Politica*, *50*(2), 151–170.

Carrier, M., & Roggenhofer, J. (2007). *Wandel oder Niedergang. Die Rolle der Intellektuellen in der Wissensgesellschaft*. Bielefeld: Transcript Verlag.

Celikates, R. (2015). Democratizing civil disobedience. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, *42*(10), 982–994.

Ceva, E. (2012). Beyond legitimacy. Can proceduralism say anything relevant about justice? *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, *15*(2), 183–200.

Chambers, S. (2006). The politics of equality: Rawls on the barricades. *Perspectives on Politics*, *4*, 81–89.

Chambers, S. (2012). Deliberation and mass democracy. In J.Mansbridge & J.Parkinson (Eds.), *Deliberative systems: Deliberative democracy at the large scale* (pp. 52–71). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Chambers, S. (2019). Democracy and constitutional reform: Deliberative versus populist constitutionalism. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, *45*(9–10), 1116–1131.

Cheneval, F., & el-Wakil, A. (2018). The institutional design of referendums: Bottom-up and binding. *Swiss Political Science Review*, *24*(3), 294–304.

Chou, M. (2013). *Theorising democide: Why and how democracies fail*. London: Palgrave.

Christiano, T. (2004). The authority of democracy. *The Journal of Political Philosophy*, *12*(3), 266–290.

Christiano, T. (2012). Rational deliberation among experts and citizens. In J.Mansbridge & J.Parkinson (Eds.), *Deliberative systems: Deliberative democracy at large scale*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Clark, B., & Gintis, H. (1978). Rawlsian justice and economic systems. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *7*, 302–325.

Clifford, S. (2012). Making disability public in deliberative democracy. *Contemporary Political Theory*, *11*, 211–228.

Cohen, J. (1986). An epistemic conception of democracy. *Ethics*, *97*(1), 26–38.

Cohen, J. (1997). Procedure and substance in deliberative democracy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 407–437). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Collings, J. (2015). *Democracy's guardians: A history of the German Federal constitutional court 1951–2001*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Condorcet, M. de (1785). *Essai sur l'application de l'analyse a la probabilité des decisions rendues a la pluralité des voix*. Paris: Retrieved from https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k417181.image

Cooke, M. (2001). Meaning and truth in Habermas's pragmatics. *European Journal of Philosophy*, *9*(1), 1–23.

Crozier, M. J., Huntington, S. P., & Watanuki, J. (1975). *The crisis of democracy. Report on the governability of democracies to the Trilateral Commission*. New York, NY: New York University Press.

Curato, N., & Niemeyer, S. (2013). Reaching out to overcome political apathy: Building participatory capacity through deliberative engagement. *Politics & Policy*, *41*(3), 355–383.

Curato, N. (2019). *Democracy in a time of misery: From spectacular tragedies to deliberative action*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dacombe, R., & Fleuß, D. (In prep.). How should we research deliberative democracy? A problem-oriented approach to bridging theory and method – and a plea for methodological pluralism.

Dahl, R. A. (1971). *Polyarchy: Participation and opposition*. New Haven, CT; London: Yale University Press.

Davies, W. (2016). *The limits of neoliberalism: Authority, sovereignty and the logic of competition*. London: SAGE Publications.

De Cleen, B. (2017). Populism, exclusion, post-truth. Some conceptual caveats. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, *6*, 1–4.

Dean, R., Rinne, J., & Geissel, B. (2019). Systematizing democratic systems approaches: Seven conceptual building blocks. *Democratic Theory*, *6*(2), 41–57.

Dean, R. J., Boswell, J., & Smith, G. (2020). Designing democratic innovations as deliberative systems: The ambitious case of NHS citizen. *Political Studies*, *68*(3), 689–709.

Dean, R. J. (2018). Counter-governance: Citizen participation beyond collaboration. *Politics and Governance*, *6*(1), 180–188.

Della Porta, D., & Felicetti, A. (2019). Innovating democracy against democratic stress in Europe: Social movements and democratic experiments. *Representation*, 1–18. doi:10.1080/00344893.2019.1624600

Della Porta, D. (2013). *Can democracy be saved? Participation, deliberation and social movements*. Milton Park: Polity.

Dewey, J. (2018 [1938]). *Logic: The theory of inquiry*. Worcestershire: Holt Press.

Dryzek, J. S., & Niemeyer, S. (2008). Discursive representation. *American Political Science Review*, 481–493.

Dryzek, J. S., Bächtiger, A., Chambers, S., Cohen, J., Druckman, J. N., Felicetti, A., … Landemore, H. (2019). The crisis of democracy and the science of deliberation. *Science*, *363*(6432), 1144–1146.

Dryzek, J. S., Nicol, D., Niemeyer, S., Pemberton, S., Curato, N., Bächtiger, A., … Burgio, G. (2020). Global citizen deliberation on genome editing. *Science*, *369*(6510), 1435–1437.

Dryzek, J. S. (1987). Discursive designs: Critical theory and political institutions. *American Journal of Political Science*, *31*(3), 656–679.

Dryzek, J. S. (1990). *Discursive democracy: Politics, policy, and political science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (1996). The informal logic of institutional design. In R. E. Goodin (Ed.), *The theory of institutional design* (pp. 103–125). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (2002). *Deliberative democracy and beyond: Liberals, critics, contestations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (2010). *Foundations and Frontiers of deliberative governance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dworkin, G. (1988). Paternalism. Some second thoughts. In *The theory and practice of autonomy* (pp. 121–129). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dworkin, R. (1999). *Freedom's law: The moral reading of the American constitution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Easton, D. (1957). An approach to the analysis of political systems. *World Politics: A Quarterly Journal of International Relations*, *9*(3), 383–400.

Elstub, S., & Escobar, O. (2019). Defining and typologising democratic innovations. In S. Elstub & O. Escobar (Eds.), *Handbook of democratic innovation and governance* (pp. 11–31). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

el-Wakil, A. (2017). The deliberative potential of facultative referendums: Procedure and substance in direct democracy. *Democratic Theory*, *4*(1), 59–78.

Ercan, S. A., & Gagnon, J. P. (2014). The crisis of democracy: Which crisis? Which democracy? *Democratic Theory*, *1*(2), 1–10.

Estlund, D., & Landemore, H. (2018). The epistemic value of democratic deliberation. In A. Bächtiger (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of deliberative democracy* (pp. 113–131). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Estlund, D. M. (2008). *Democratic authority: A philosophical framework*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Fach, W. (1974). Diskurs und Herrschaft – Überlegungen zu Habermas' Legitimationslogik/ Discourse and Domination – Reflections on the Logic of Legitimization in the Work of Habermas. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, *3*, 221–228.

Farkas, J., & Schou, J. (2019). *Post-truth, fake news and democracy: Mapping the politics of falsehood*. New York and London: Routledge.

Farrell, D., O'Malley, E., & Suiter, J. (2011). The 2011 We the citizens pilot citizens. Assembly. In G. M. Carney & C. Harris (Eds.), *Citizens' voices: Experiments in democratic renewal and reform*. Galway. Retrieved from http://www.newdemocracy.com.au/docs/researchpapers/Citizens%20Voices%20Experiments%20in%20Democratic%20Renewal%20and%20Reform.pdf#page=19

Farrelly, C. (1999). Does rawls support the procedural republic? A critical response to Sandel's Democracy's discontent. *Politics*, *19*(1), 29–35.

Finlayson, J. G. (2019). *The Habermas-Rawls debate*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Fleuß, D. (2017). *Prozeduren, Rechte, Demokratie. Das legitimatorische Potential von Verfahren für politische Systeme*. PhD Dissertation, Heidelberg. Retrieved from http://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/volltextserver/23203/

Fleuß, D. (2019a). Zur Balance von Transparenz und Verborgenheit: das komplementäre Verhältnis von öffentlicher und nicht-öffentlicher Kommunikation in Jürgen Habermas' Demokratietheorie. In J. Knobloch (Ed.), *Staat und Geheimnis – Der Kampf um die (Un)Sichtbarkeit der Macht* (pp. 115–140). Baden-Baden: Nomos.

Fleuß, D. (2019b). Radikale Volkssouveränität und Rechtsmethodik: Ingeborg Maus 'Kritik des Verhältnisses von Demokratie und Justiz. *Ethik und Gesellschaft* 1/2019. doi:10.18156/eug-1-2019-rez-7

Fleuß, D., & Helbig, K. (2020). Measuring nation states' deliberativeness: Systematic challenges, methodological pitfalls, and strategies for upscaling the measurement of deliberation. *Political Studies*. doi:10.1177/0032321719890817

Fleuß, D., & Schaal, G. S. (2019). What are we doing when we are doing democratic theory? *In Democratic Theory*, *6*(2), 12–26.

Fleuß, D., Helbig, K., & Schaal, G. S. (2018). Four parameters for measuring democratic deliberation: Theoretical and methodological challenges and how to respond. *Politics and Governance*, *6*(1), 11–21.

Fleuß, D., Schaal, G. S., & Helbig, K. (2019). Empirische Messung digitalisierter Demokratien: Erkenntnistheoretische Herausforderungen und eine wissenschaftstheoretische Antwort. *Politische Vierteljahresschrift*, *60*(3), 461–486.

Fleuß, D. (2020). Warum Politische Theorie nicht praxisfern sein muss. In *Politikum: Was leistet die Politikwissenschaft für die Gesellschaft?* (Sonderheft 2020), 10–16.

Foa, R. S., & Mounk, Y. (2017). The signs of deconsolidation. *Journal of Democracy*, *28*(1), 5–15.

Foa, R. S., & Mounk, Y. (2019). Democratic deconsolidation in developed democracies, 1995-2018, 1995–2018, Harvard center for European studies open forum. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.

Forst, R. (2010). The justification of human rights and the basic right to justification: A reflexive approach. *Ethics*, *120*(4), 711–740.

Forst, R. (2011). *The right to justification: Elements of a constructivist theory of justice*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Forst, R. (2014). *Justification and critique: Towards a critical theory of politics*. Cambridge: Polity.

Fournier, P., Van der Kolk, H., Carty, R. K., Blais, A., & Rose, J. (2011). *When citizens decide: Lessons from citizen assemblies on electoral reform*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Fraser, N., & Honneth, A. (2003). *Redistribution or recognition?: A political-philosophical exchange*. London and New York: Verso.

Fried, B. H. (2020). Rawls, risk, and the maximin principle. *Facing up to scarcity: The logic and limits of nonconsequentialist thought* (pp. 149–154). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Galston, W. A. (2010). Realism in political theory. *European Journal of Political Theory*, *9*(4), 385–411.

Gaus, D. (2013). Rational reconstruction as a method of political theory between social critique and empirical political science. *Constellations*, *20*(4), 553–570.

Geißel, B., Dean, R., Jung, S., & Wipfle, B. (2019). Abschlussbericht der wissenschaftlichen Evaluation. [Scientific report Bürgerrat Demokratie], by Research Unit Democratic Innovations, Goethe University Frankfurt (Main). Retrieved from https://www.buergerrat.de/fileadmin/downloads/evaluationsbericht.pdf

Gerstenberg, O. (2019). Radical democracy and the rule of law: Reflections on J. Habermas' legal philosophy. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, *17*(4), 1054–1058.

Gesang, B. (2010). Are moral philosophers moral experts? *Bioethics*, *24*(4), 153–159.

Geuss, R. (1981). *The idea of a critical theory: Habermas and the Frankfurt School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Giroux, H. A. (2018). What is the role of higher education in the age of fake news?. In M. A. Peters, S. Rider, M. Hyvönen, & T. Besley (Eds.), *Post-truth, fake news. Viral modernity and higher education* (pp. 197–215). Singapore: Springer.

Glaser, K. (2013). *Über legitime Herrschaft: Grundlagen der Legitimitätstheorie*. Wiesbaden: Springer.

Gledhill, J. (2011). Procedure in substance and substance in procedure: Reframing the Rawls–Habermas debate. In J. G. Finlayson & F. Freyenhagen (Eds.), *Habermas and Rawls: Disputing the political*. Routledge studies in contemporary philosophy (Vol. 23, pp. 181–199). London: Routledge.

Gledhill, J. (2017). The ideal and reality of epistemic proceduralism. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, *20*(4), 486–507.

Gledhill, J. (2020). Rawls's post-Kantian constructivism. In J. Gledhill & S. Stein (Eds.), *Hegel and contemporary practical philosophy: Beyond Kantian constructivism* (pp. 128–152). London: Routledge.

Göhler, G. (1996). Institutions in political theory. In D. Rometsch & W. Wessels (Eds.), *The European Union and member states: Towards institutional fusion?* (pp. 1–19). Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Goodin, R. E. (2008). *Innovating democracy: Democratic theory and practice after the deliberative turn*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gould, C. (1996). Diversity and democracy: Representing differences. In S. Benhabib (Ed.), *Democracy and difference: Contesting the boundaries of the political* (pp. 171–186). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Greve, J. (2009). *Jürgen Habermas*. Wiesbaden: UTB.

Grofman, B., & Feld, S. L. (1988). Rousseau's general will: A condorcetian perspective. *American Political Science Review*, *82*(2), 567–576.

Habermas, J. (1975). *Legitimation crisis* (Vol. 519). Boston, MA: Beacon Press.

Habermas, J. (1984). *The theory of communicative action: Volume 1: Reason and the rationalization of society*. Cambridge: Polity. [= TCA 1].

Habermas, J. (1990). *Moral consciousness and communicative action*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. [= MCCA].

Habermas, J. (1991). *The structural transformation of the public sphere: An inquiry into a category of bourgeois society*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Habermas, J. (1992). The unity of reason in the diversity of its voices. *Postmetaphysical thinking. Philosophical essays*. Cambridge: Polity.

Habermas, J. (1993). *Justification and application: Remarks on discourse ethics*. Cambridge: Polity. [= JA].

Habermas, J. (1995a). Reconciliation through the public use of reason: remarks on John Rawls's political liberalism. *The Journal of Philosophy*, *92*(3), 109–131.

Habermas, J. (1995b). On the internal relation between the rule of law and democracy. *European Journal of Philosophy*, *3*(1), 12–20.

Habermas, J. (1996). *Between fact and norms. Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy*. Oxford; Cambridge, MA: Polity Press. [=BFN].

Habermas, J. (2001). Constitutional democracy: A paradoxical union of contradictory principles? *Political Theory*, *29*(6), 766–781.

Habermas, J. (2004). Religious tolerance: The pacemaker for cultural rights. *Philosophy*, *79*(307), 5–18.

Habermas, J. (2008). Ein avantgardistischer Spürsinn für Relevanzen. Die Rolle des Intellektuellen und die Sache Europas. *Ach, Europa: Kleine politische Schriften XI. Frankfurt am main* (pp. 77–87). Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp Verlag.

Habermas, J. (2019 I/II). *Auch eine Geschichte der Philosophie: Vol. I: Die okzidentale Konstellation von Glauben und Wissen; Vol. II: Vernünftige Freiheit. Spuren des Diskurses über Glauben und Wissen*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

Hammond, M. (2018). Deliberative democracy as a critical theory. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, *22*(7), 787–808.

Hampe, M. (2007). Propheten, Richter, Ärzte, Narren: Eine Typologie von Philosophen und Intellektuellen. In M. Carrier & J. Roggenhofer (Eds.), *Wandel oder Niedergang? Die Rolle der Intellektuellen in der Wissensgesellschaft* (pp. 33–54). Bielefeld: Transcript.

Harcourt, B. E. (2020). *Critique and Praxis*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Harsanyi, J. C. (1980). Can the maximin principle serve as a basis for morality? A critique of John Rawls's theory. *Essays on ethics, social behavior, and scientific explanation*. Theory and decision library (an international series in the philosophy and methodology of the social and behavioral sciences (Vol. 12, pp. 37–63). Cambridge: Springer.

Hart, H. L. A. (1973). Rawls on liberty and its priority. *University of Chicago Law Review*, *40*(3), 534–555.

Haus, M. (2018). Equality beyond liberal egalitarianism: Walzer's contribution to the theory of Justice. In M.Knoll, S. Snyder, & N. Şimsek (Eds.), *New perspectives on distributive justice: Deep disagreements, pluralism, and the problem of consensus* (pp. 71–89). Berlin: De Gruyter.

Hedrick, T. (2010). Coping with constitutional indeterminacy: John Rawls and Jürgen Habermas. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, *36*(2), 183–208.

Hendricks, V. F. & Vestergaard, M. (2017). Verlorene Wirklichkeit? An der Schwelle zur postfaktischen Demokratie. *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte* 13/2017, 4–10.

Hendricks, V. F., & Vestergaard, M. (2019). *Reality lost: Markets of attention, misinformation and manipulation*. Cham: Springer Nature.

Hendriks, C. M., Duus, S., & Ercan, S. A. (2016). Performing politics on social media: The dramaturgy of an environmental controversy on Facebook. *Environmental Politics*, *25*(6), 1102–1125.

Hendriks, C. M., Ercan, S. A., & Boswell, J. (2020). *Mending democracy: Democratic repair in disconnected times*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hendriks, C. M. (2007). Praxis stories: Experiencing interpretive policy research. *Critical Policy Analysis*, *1*(3), 278–300.

Hershovitz, S. (2003). Legitimacy, democracy, and Razian authority. *Legal Theory*, *9*, 201–220.

Herzog, L., & Zacka, B. (2019). Fieldwork in political theory: Five arguments for an ethnographic sensibility. *British Journal of Political Science*, *49*(2), 763–784.

Hibbing, J. R., & Theiss-Morse, E. (2002). *Stealth democracy: Americans' beliefs about how government should work*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Höffe, O. (2013). Introduction. In Höffe (Ed.), *John Rawls: A theory of justice* (pp. 1–20). Leiden; Boston, MA: Brill.

Hofmann, H. (1980). Legalität, Legitimität. In J. Ritter & K. Gründer (Eds.), *Historisches Wörterbuch der Philosophie* (Vol. 5, pp. 161–166). Basel; Stuttgart: Schwabe Verlag.

Honig, B. (2001). Dead rights, live futures: A reply to Habermas's "constitutional democracy". *Political Theory*, *29*(6), 792–805.

Honig, B. (2007). Between decision and deliberation: Political paradox in democratic theory. *American Political Science Review*, *101*(1), 1–17.

Honneth, A. (1996). *The struggle for recognition: The moral grammar of social conflicts.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Honneth, A. (2014). *Freedom's Right* (translated by Ganahl, J.). New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Horkheimer, M. (1972). Traditional and critical theory. *Critical theory: Selected essays* (pp. 188–243). New York, NY: Continuum Pub. Corp.

Hornblower, S., & Spawforth, A. (Eds.). (1996). *The Oxford classical dictionary* (3rd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press. [= OED 1996].

Huntington, S. P. (1981). *American politics: The promise of disharmony*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Iser, M., & Strecker, D. (2010). *Jürgen Habermas zur Einführung*. Hamburg: Junius.

Jennstål, J., & Niemeyer, S. J. (2017). Creating deliberative conditions: The role of activating a deliberative state. Working Paper Series, Centre for Deliberative Democracy and Global Governance. Institute for Governance and Policy Analysis, University of Canberra.

Jennstål, J. (2018). Deliberative participation and personality: The effect of traits, situations, and motivation. *European Political Science Review*, *10*(3), 417–440.

Jones, G. (2020). *10 percent less democracy. Why should you trust Elites a little more and the Masses a little less*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Jubb, R. (2017). Realism. In A. Blau (Ed.), *Methods in analytical political theory* (pp. 112–130). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kant, I. ([1785] 1993). *Groundwork of the metaphysic of morals* (translated Ellington, J. W.) (3rd ed.). Cambridge, MA: Hackett.

Kant, I. ([1795] 1983). *Perpetual peace and other essays* (translated by Humphrey, T.). Cambridge, MA: Hackett Publishing.

Kant, I. ([1797] 1996). *The metaphysics of morals* (translated by Gregor, M.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Karpowitz, C. F., & Mendelberg, T. (2011). An experimental approach to citizen deliberation. In J. N. Druckman, D. P. Green, J. H. Kuklinski, & A. Lupia (Eds.), *Cambridge handbook of experimental political science* (pp. 258–272). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kelsen, H. (1949). The natural-law doctrine before the tribunal of science. The *Western Political Quarterly*, *2*(4), 481–513.

Kersting, W. (1994). *Die politische Philosophie des Gesellschaftsvertrags*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

Kersting, W. (2001). *John Rawls zur Einführung*. Hamburg: Junius.

Keyes, R. (2004). *The post-truth era: Dishonesty and deception in contemporary life*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press.

Kim, T. (2004). Gerechtigkeit und Verfassung: Eine Rawlssche Deutung der Bundesverfassungsgerichtlichen Formel ‚eine am Gerechtigkeitsgedanken orientierte Betrachtungsweise'. Nomos.

Klein, N. (2017). *No is not enough: Defeating the new shock politics*. London: Penguin.

Korsgaard, C. M. (2003). Realism and constructivism in twentieth-century moral philosophy. *Journal of Philosophical Research*, *28*(Supplement), 99–122.

Laden, A. S. (2014). Constructivism as rhetoric. In J. Mandle & D. Reidy (Eds.), *A companion to Rawls* (pp. 59–72). Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell.

Lafont, C. (2003). Procedural justice? Implications of the Rawls-Habermas debate for discourse ethics. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, *29*(2), 163–181.

Lafont, C. (2020). *Democracy without shortcuts: A participatory conception of deliberative democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Landemore, H. (2015). Inclusive constitution-making: The Icelandic experiment. *The Journal of Political Philosophy*, *23*(2), 166–191.

Landemore, H. (2017a). Beyond the fact of disagreement? The epistemic turn in deliberative democracy. *Social Epistemology*, *31*(3), 277–295.

Landemore, H. (2017b). *Democratic reason: Politics, collective intelligence, and the rule of the many*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Landemore, H. (2017c). Deliberative democracy as open, not (just) representative democracy. *Daedalus*, *146*(3), 51–63.

Landemore, H. (2020). *Open democracy: Reinventing popular rule for the twenty-first century*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Landwehr, C. (2014). Facilitating deliberation: The role of impartial intermediaries in deliberative mini-publics. In M. Setälä (Ed.), *Deliberative mini-publics: Involving citizens in the democratic process* (pp. 77–92). Colchester: ECPR Press.

Larmore, C. (1999). The moral basis of political liberalism. *The Journal of Philosophy*, *96*(12), 599–625.

Laslett, P. (1956). Introduction. In P. Laslett (Ed.), *Philosophy, politics and society: First series*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lassman, P. (2003). Political theory as utopia. *History of the Human Sciences*, *16*(1), 49–62.

Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How democracies die*. New York, NY: Broadway Books.

Leydet, D. (1997). Habermas's decentered view of society and the problem of democratic legitimacy. *Symposium: Canadian Journal of Continental Philosophy/ Revue Canadienne de Philosophie Continentale*, *1*(1), 35–48.

List, C., & Goodin, R. E. (2001). Epistemic democracy: Generalizing the Condorcet jury theorem. *The Journal of Political Philosophy*, *9*(3), 277–306.

Longino, H. (2002). *The fate of knowledge*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Lowndes, V., & Paxton, M. (2018). Can agonism be institutionalised? Can institutions be agonised? Prospects for democratic design. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*, *20*(3), 693–710.

Lowndes, V., & Roberts, M. (2013). *Why institutions matter: The new institutionalism in political science*. Basingstoke: Macmillan International Higher Education.

Luhmann, N. (1995). *Social systems*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

MacLean, N. (2017). *Democracy in chains: The deep history of the radical right's stealth plan for America*. New York, NY: Penguin.

Mandle, J. (2014). The choice from the original position. In J. Mandle & D. Reidy (Eds.), *A companion to Rawls* (pp. 128–143). Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell.

Mansbridge, J., Bohman, J., Chambers, S., Christiano, T., Fung, A., Parkinson, J., . . . Warren, M. E. (Eds.). (2012). *A systemic approach to deliberative democracy. Deliberative systems: Deliberative democracy at the large scale*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

March, J. G., & Olsen, J. P. (1989). *Rediscovering institutions: The organizational basis of politics*. New York, NY: The Free Press.

Martí, J. L. (2006). The epistemic conception of deliberative democracy defended. Reasons, rightness, and equal political autonomy. In J. L. Martí & S. Besson (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy and its discontents* (pp. 27–56). New York, NY: Ashgate.

Maus, I. (1992). *Zur Aufklärung der Demokratietheorie: Rechts-und demokratietheoretische Überlegungen im Anschluß an Kant*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Maus, I. (1998). Der Urzustand. In O. Höffe (Ed.) *John Rawls: Eine Theorie der Gerechtigkeit* (Klassiker Auslegen, Bd. Vol. 15). Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 65–88.

Maus, I. (2011). *Über Volkssouveränität: Elemente einer Demokratietheorie*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

Maus, I. (2015). *Menschenrechte, Demokratie und Frieden: Perspektiven globaler Organisation*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Maus, I. (2018). *Justiz als gesellschaftliches Über-Ich. Zur Position der Rechtsprechung in der Demokratie*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

McCarthy, T. (1993). Deconstruction and reconstruction in contemporary critical theory. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, *23*(sup. 1), 247–264.

McCarthy, T. (1994). Kantian constructivism and reconstructivism: Rawls and Habermas in dialogue. *Ethics*, *105*(1), 44–63.

Mendonça, R. F., Ercan, S. A., & Asenbaum, H. (2020). More than words: A multidimensional approach to deliberative democracy. *Political Studies*. doi: 10.1177/0032321720950561

Meisenbach, R. J. (2006). Habermas's discourse ethics and principle of universalization as a moral framework for organizational communication. *Management Communication Quarterly*, *20*(1), 39–62.

Merkel, W., & Kneip, S. (Eds.). (2018). *Democracy and crisis. Challenges in turbulent times*. Cham: Springer VS.

Merkel, W. (2014). Is there a crisis of democracy? *Democratic Theory*, *1*(2), 11–25.

Mill, J. S. ([1861] 1960). *On liberty, representative government, the subjection of women; Three essays*. New York, NY: Penguin.

Moore, M. (1982). Moral reality. *Wisconsin Law Review*, *6*, 1061–1156.

Moore, A. (2017). *Critical elitism: Deliberation, democracy, and the problem of expertise*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Morrell, M. E. (2010). *Empathy and democracy: Feeling, thinking, and deliberation*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State Press.

Muradova, L. (2020). Seeing the other side? Perspective-taking and reflective political judgements in interpersonal deliberation. *Political Studies*. doi:10.1177/0032321720916605

Mutz, D. C. (2006). *Hearing the other side: Deliberative versus participatory democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nagel, T. (1989). *The view from nowhere*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Neal, P. (1987). In the shadow of the general will: Rawls, Kant and Rousseau on the problem of political right. *Review of Politics*, *49*(3), 389–409.

Nelson, W. (1980). The very idea of pure procedural justice. *Ethics*, *90*(4), 502–511.

Niemeyer, S., & Dryzek, J. S. (2007). The ends of deliberation: meta-consensus and inter-subjective rationality as ideal outcomes. *Swiss Political Science Review*, *13*(4), 497–526.

Niemeyer, S. (2011). The emancipatory effect of deliberation: Empirical lessons from mini-publics. *Politics & Society*, *39*(1), 103–140.

Niemeyer, S. (2019). Intersubjective reasoning in political deliberation. Working Paper Series, Centre for Deliberative Democracy and Global Governance. Retrieved from https://www.governanceinstitute.edu.au/magma/media/upload/ckeditor/files/Intersubjective%20Reasoning(4).pdf

Niemeyer, S., & Jennstål, J. (2018). Scaling up deliberative effects: Applying lessons of mini-publics. In A. Bächtiger, J. Dryzek, J. Mansbridge, & M. Warren (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of deliberative democracy* (pp. 329–347). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Niesen, P. (2007). Politische theorie als Demokratiewissenschaft. In H. Buchstein & G. Göhler (Eds.), *Politische Theorie und Politikwissenschaft* (pp. 126–155). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

Nonhoff, M. (2019). Jürgen Habermas. In D. Comtesse, O. Flügel-Martinsen, F. Martinsen, & M. Nonhoff (Eds.), *Radikale demokratietheorie. Ein Handbuch* (pp. 294–303). Berlin: Suhrkamp.

Norris, P., & Inglehart, R. (2019). *Cultural backlash: Trump, Brexit, and authoritarian populism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Norris, P. (1999). Introduction: The growth of critical citizens? In P. Norris (Ed.), *Critical citizens: Global support for democratic government* (pp. 1–27). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Norris, P. (2011). *Democratic deficit: Critical citizens revisited*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nussbaum, M. C. (2007). *Frontiers of justice: Disability, nationality, species membership*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

O'Neill, O. (1989). *Constructions of reason: Explorations of Kant's practical philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

O'Neill, O. (2015). *Constructing authorities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Offe, C. (1994).*Designing institutions for East European transitions*. In R. E. Goodin (Ed.), *The theory of institutional design* (pp. 199–226). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Offe, C. (2011). Crisis and Innovation of liberal democracy: Can deliberation be institutionalised? *Czech Sociological Review*, *47*(3), 447–472.

O'Neill, M., & Williamson, T. (Eds.). (2012). *Property-owning democracy: Rawls and beyond*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Palumbo, A. (2012). Epistemic turn or democratic U-turn? On the tension between philosophical reasoning and political action. In *Deliberative democracy 1. Teoria politica*, *NS Annalin (May)*, 269–291. Retrieved from http://www. academia.edu/1371787/Epistemic_Turn

Parés, M., Parés, M., Boada, J., Canal, R., Hernando, E., & Martínez, R. (2017). Challenging collaborative urban governance under austerity: How local governments and social organizations deal with housing policy in Catalonia. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, *39*(8), 1066–1084.

Parkinson, J. (2020). The Roles of referendums in deliberative systems. *Representation*, 1–16. doi:10.1080/00344893.2020.1718195

Parsons, T. (1991). *The social system*. London: Psychology Press.

Pecar, A. (2008). Herstellung und Darstellung verbindlicher Entscheidungen. Legitimation durch Verfahren in vormodernen und modernen Gesellschaften. *H-Soz- Kult. Kommunikation und Fachinformation für die Geschichtswissenschaften*. Retrieved from http://www.hsozkult.de/conferencereport/id/tagungsberichte- 2033

Pedahzur, A., & Weinberg, L. (2001). Modern European democracy and its enemies: The threat of the extreme right. *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions*, *2*(1), 52–72.

Pedersen, J. (2008). Habermas' method: Rational reconstruction. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, *38*(4), 457–485.

Peter, F. (2007a). Rawls' idea of public reason and democratic legitimacy. *Politics and Ethics Review*, *3*(1), 129–143.

Peter, F. (2007b). Democratic legitimacy and proceduralist social epistemology. *Politics, Philosophy and Economicx*, *6*(3), 329–353.

Peter, F. (2008). Pure epistemic proceduralism. *Episteme: A Journal of Social Epistemology*, *5*(1), 33–55.

Peter, F. (2009). *Democratic legitimacy*. London: Routledge.

Peter, F. (2010). Political legitimacy. In E. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy*. Stanford. Retrieved from http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/legitimacy/

Peter, F. (2019). Political legitimacy under epistemic constraints: Why public reasons matter. In M. Schwartzberg (Ed.), *Political legitimacy* (pp. 147–173). Baden-Baden: Nomos.

Pettit, P. (2019). The control theory of legitimacy. In W. Sadurski, M. Sevel, & K. Walton (Eds.), *Legitimacy: The state and beyond* (pp. 7–31). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Phillips, A. (1994). Dealing with difference: A politics of ideas or a politics of presence? *Constellations*, *1*(1), 88–91.

Polletta, F. (2016). Social movements in an age of participation. *Mobilization: An International Quarterly*, *21*(4), 485–497.

Popper, K. (1962). *The open society and its enemies*. London: Routledge and Kegan.

Price, R. (1960). Holistic and piecemeal social engineering. *Political Science*, *12*(2), 151–157.

Rasmussen, D. B. (1992). Political legitimacy and discourse ethics. *International Philosophical Quarterly*, *32*(1), 17–34.

Rawls, J. ([1995] 2005). Political liberalism: Reply to Habermas. *Political liberalism* (pp. 372–434). New York, NY: Columbia University Press. [=RH].

Rawls, J. (1980). Kantian constructivism in moral theory. *The Journal of Philosophy*, *77*(9), 515–572. [=KC].

Rawls, J. (1997). The idea of public reason revisited. *University of Chicago Law Review*, *64*(3), 765–807. [= IPR].

Rawls, J. (1999). *A Theory of Justice, revised edition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. [=ToJ].

Rawls, J. (2001). *Justice as fairness: A restatement*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. [=JaF].

Rawls, J. (2005). *Political liberalism*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press. [= PL].

Raz, J. (1986). *The morality of freedom*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Raz, J. (1990). Facing diversity: The case for epistemic abstinence. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *19*(1), 3–46.

Raz, J. (1994). *Ethics in the public domain: Essays in the morality of law and politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Raz, J. (2006). The problem of authority: Revisiting the service conception. *Minnesota Law Review*, *90*, 1003–1044.

Reidy, D. A. (2007). Reciprocity and reasonable disagreement: From liberal to democratic legitimacy. *Philosophical Studies*, *132*(2), 243–291.

Rhodes, R. A. W., Binder, S. A., & Rockman, B. A. (2006). Preface. *The Oxford handbook of political institutions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ricœur, P. (2000). Is a purely procedural theory of justice possible? (translated by Pellamer, D.) *The just* (pp. 36–57). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Riley, P. (1970). A possible explanation of Rousseau's general will. *American Political Science Review*, *64*(1), 86–97.

Rorty, R. (1989). *Contingency, irony, and solidarity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rose, J. (2017). Brexit, Trump, and post-truth politics. *Public Integrity*, *19*(6), 555–558.

Rosenfeld, M. (1995). Can rights, democracy, and justice be reconciled through discourse theory? Reflections on Habermas's proceduralist paradigm of law. *Cardozo Law Review*, *17*, 791–824.

Rostboll, C. F. (2008). *Deliberative freedom: Deliberative democracy as critical theory*. New York, NY: SUNY Press.

Rostboll, C. F. (2015). The non-instrumental value of democracy: The freedom argument. *Constellations*, *22*(2), 267–278.

Rousseau, J.-J. ([1762] 2011).The social contract. In N. Capaldi & G. Lloyd (Eds.), *The two narratives of political economy* (pp. 87–49). Salem, MA: Scrivener Pub.

Rummens, S. (2006). Debate: The co-originality of private and public autonomy in deliberative democracy. *The Journal of Political Philosophy*, *14*(4), 469–481.

Ryle, G. (1945). Knowing how and knowing that: The presidential address. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian society*. Aristotelian society (Vol. 46, pp. 1–16). Oxford: Wiley.

Saffon, M. P., & Urbinati, N. (2013). Procedural democracy, the bulwark of equal liberty. *Political Theory*, *41*(3), 441–481.

Salzborn, S. (2020). *The modern state and its enemies: Democracy, nationalism and antisemitism*. London: Anthem Press.

Saward, M. (2001). Reconstructing democracy: Current thinking and new directions. *Government and Opposition*, *36*(1), 559–581.

Saward, M. (2003). Enacting democracy. *Political Studies*, *51*(1), 161–179.

Saward, M. (2017). Agency, design and 'slow democracy'. *Time & Society*, *26*(3), 362–383.

Schäuble, W. (2019). Rede des Bundestagspräsidenten Dr. Wolfgang Schäuble anlässlich der Überreichung des Bürgergutachtens durch den Bürgerrat Demokratie – transkription [transcript of the speech by the President of the Bundestag Dr. Wolfgang Schäuble on the occasion of the presentation of the citizens report by the Citizens' Council on Democracy]. Retrieved from https://www.mehr-demokratie.de/fileadmin/pdf/2019-12-11_Rede_Scha__uble_V1.pdf

Schaal, G. S., ß, D., & Dumm, S. (2017). Die Wahrheit über Postfaktizität. *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte*, 31–38. 44-45/2017.

Schaal, G. S., Dumm, S., & Fleuß, D. (2019). Die vielen Wahrheiten algorithmenbasierter Interpretation: "Die Wahrheit über Postfaktizität!" dekonstruiert. *Zeitschrift für Politik*, 29(2), 263–285.

Schliesky, U. (2004). *Souveränität und Legitimität von Herrschaftsgewalt: Die Weiterentwicklung von Begriffen der Staatslehre und des Staatsrechts im europäischen Mehrebenensystem* (Vol. 112). Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.

Schmelzle, C. (2012). Zum Begriff politischer Legitimität. In Der Aufstieg der Legitimitätspolitik. Rechtfertigung und Kritik politisch-ökonomischer Ordnungen. Leviathan. Berliner Zeitschrift Für Sozialwissenschaft. *Sonderband*, 27, 417–436.

Schmidtz, D. (2011). Nonideal theory: What it is and what it needs to be. *Ethics*, 121(4), 772–796.

Schmidtz, D. (2017). Realistic idealism. In A. Blau (Ed.), *Methods in analytical political theory* (pp. 131–152). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Schwartzberg, M. (2008). Voting the general will: Rousseau on decision rules. *Political Theory*, 36(3), 403–423.

Searle, J. R., & Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech acts: An essay in the philosophy of language* (Vol. 626). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Shklar, J. (1965). The political theory of utopia: From melancholy to nostalgia. *Dædalus*, 367–381.

Slobodian, Q. (2020). *Globalists: The end of empire and the birth of neoliberalism*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Smith, G., & Wales, C. (2000). Citizens' juries and deliberative democracy. *Political Studies*, 48(1), 51–65.

Smith, W. (2004). Democracy, deliberation and disobedience. *Res Publica*, 10(4), 353–377.

Smith, W. (2008). Civil disobedience and social power: Reflections on Habermas. *Contemporary Political Theory*, 7(1), 72–89.

Smith, G. (2009). *Democratic innovations: Designing institutions for citizen participation*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, W. (2018). Disruptive democracy: The ethics of direct action. *Raisons Politiques*, 69(1/2018), 13–27.

Smith, W. (2019). Deliberative citizenship: A critical reappraisal. *Citizenship Studies*, 23(8), 815–830.

Song, E. (2012). Rawls's liberal principle of legitimacy. *The Philosophical Forum*, 43(2), 153–173.

Speed, E., & Mannion, R. (2017). The rise of post-truth populism in pluralist liberal democracies: Challenges for health policy. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 6(5), 249–251.

Stemplowska, Z., & Swift, A. (2012). Ideal and nonideal theory. In D. Estlund (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of political philosophy* (pp. 373–389). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Stillman, P. G. (1974). The concept of legitimacy. *Polity*, 7(1), 32–56.

Suiter, J. (2016). Post-truth politics. *Political Insight*, 7(3), 25–27.

Sunstein, C. R. (2018). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Taylor, C. (1994). *Multiculturalism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Tschentscher, A. (1999). *Prozedurale Theorien der Gerechtigkeit: Rationales Entscheiden, Diskursethik und Prozedurales Recht. Studien zur Rechtsphilosophie und Rechtstheorie*. Baden-Baden: Nomos.

Urbinati, N. (2014). *Democracy disfigured*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Vincent, A. (2004). *The nature of political theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Vinx, L. (2015). Carl Schmitt and the problem of constitutional guardianship. In M. Arvidsson, L. Brännström, & P. Minkkinen (Eds.), *The contemporary relevance of carl Schmitt: Law, politics, theology* (pp. 34–49). London: Routledge.

Vogelmann, F. (2018). The problem of post-truth. Rethinking the relationship between truth and politics. *Behemoth. A Journal on Civilisation*, *11*(2), 18–37.

Waldron, J. (1999). *Law and disagreement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Waldron, J. (2017). A right-based critique of constitutional rights. *Bills of Rights*, *13*(1), 18–51.

Wall, S. (2007). Democracy and equality. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, *57*(228), 416–438.

Walzer, M. (1990). The communitarian critique of liberalism. *Political Theory*, *18*(1), 6–23.

Walzer, M. (2003). Philosophy and democracy. In T. Christiano (Ed.), *Philosophy and democracy. An anthology* (pp. 258–284). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Warren, M. E. (2009). Governance-driven democratization. *Critical Policy Studies*, *3*(1), 3–13.

Warren, M. E. (2017). A problem-based approach to democratic theory. *American Political Science Review*, *111*(1), 39–53.

Warren, M. E., & Pearse, H. (2008). *Designing deliberative democracy: The British Columbia citizens' assembly*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Watkins, E., & Fitzpatrick, W. (2002). O'Neill and Korsgaard on the construction of normativity. The *Journal of Value Inquiry*, *36*(2–3), 349–367.

We the Citizens. (2011). *Final report*. Retrieved from http://www.wethecitizens.ie/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/We-the-Citizens-2011-FINAL.pdf

Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology* (Vol. 1). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Weiss, D. D. (1973). Wollheim's paradox: Survey and solution. *Political Theory*, *1*(2), 154–170.

Weithman, P. J. (2013). *Why political liberalism? On John Rawls's political turn*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wenar, L. (2013). John Rawls. In E. Zalta (Ed.), *Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy*. Stanford, CA: Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. Retrieved from http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2013/entries/rawls/. Accessed on September 30, 15.

White, S. K., & Farr, E. R. (2012). "No-saying" in Habermas. *Political Theory*, *40*(1), 32–57.

Wiggins, A., & Crowston, K. (2011). From conservation to crowdsourcing: A typology of citizen science. 2011 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (pp. 1–10). doi:10.1109/HICSS.2011.207

Williams, M. (1996). *Unnatural doubts: Epistemological realism and the basis of scepticism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Williams, B. (2005). Realism and moralism in political theory. In G. Hawthorn (Ed.), *In the beginning was the deed: Realism and moralism in political argument*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Williams, M. (2007). Why (Wittgensteinian) contextualism is not relativism. *Episteme: A Journal of Social Epistemology*, *4*(1), 93–114.

Williamson, T. (2009). Who owns what? An egalitarian interpretation of John Rawls's idea of a property-owning democracy. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, *40*(3), 434–453.

Williamson, T., & O'Neill, M. (2009). Property-owning democracy and the demands of justice. *Living Reviews in Democracy*, *1*, 1.

Willke, R., & Fetterolf, J. (2018). Liberal democracy's crisis of confidence. *Journal of Democracy*, *29*(4), 136–150.

Willke, H. (2014). *Demokratie in Zeiten der Konfusion*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

Willke, H. (2016a). *Dezentrierte Demokratie: Prolegomena zur Revision politischer Steuerung*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

Willke, H. (2016b). Legitimization by exuberance? Output-legitimacy and systemic risk. In A. Mascareno & K. Araujo (Eds.), *Legitimization in world society* (pp. 83–98). Berlin: Ashgate.

Wingenbach, E. C. (2011). *Institutionalizing agonistic democracy: Post-foundationalism and political liberalism*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical investigations* (translated by Anscombe, G.E.M.). Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell.

Wöhst, C. (2011). Das Konzept der Neutralität im Politischen Liberalismus. (Master thesis/Magisterarbeit). Retrieved from http://epub.ub.unimuenchen.de/12200/1/MAA_Woehst.pdf

Wollheim, R. (1962). A Paradox in the theory of democracy. In P. Laslett, & W. G. Runciman (Eds.), *Philosophy, politics and society* (pp. 71–87). London: Basil Blackwell.

Yanow, D., & Schwartz-Shea, P. (2006). Introduction. *Interpretation and method: Empirical research methods and the interpretive turn*. London: Routledge.

Yanow, D. (2006). Thinking interpretively: Philosophical presuppositions and the human sciences. *Interpretation and method: Empirical research methods and the interpretive turn* (pp. 5–26). Abingdon: Routledge.

Young, I. M. (1996). Communication and the other: Beyond deliberative democracy. In S. Benhabib (Ed.), *Democracy and difference* (pp. 120–135). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Young, I. M. (2002). *Inclusion and democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Zinman, R. M., Weinberger, J., & Melzer, A. M. (Eds.). (2004). *The public intellectual: Between philosophy and politics*. Washington, DC: Rowman & Littlefield.

Zolo, D. (1992). *Democracy and complexity: A realist approach*. University Park, PA: Penn State Press.