

SUBJECT INDEX

- AAA, 55
AACSB International, 50,
77
 accreditation standards,
 91, 101
Academe, 93, 96
Academic capitalism, 11,
14, 125
Academic Capitalism, 12
Academic freedom, x
Academic journals
 document, 26–27
Academic publishing
 changing nature of,
 52–65
 commercial, 24
 negative indicators, 62
 positive indicators,
 61–62
Academic research
 production, 87
Academic Revolution, The,
2–3, 54
Academic “sell out”, 84
*Academy of Management
Journal*, 101
Accountability, 11, 14, 15,
36, 44, 69, 88
 calls for changes in,
 81–86
 external, 80
 internal, x
 quantitative-based, 17
Accreditation, 122
 agencies, 119
 review, 113
 standards, 91, 101, 107
Administrative
 decentralization, 116
Age-weighted citation rate
 (AWCR), 40–41
a index, 38
“A-list” journal, xvii
Allowing choice, 105
Altmetric for Scopus, 51
Altmetrics, xviii, 18,
50–51, 76, 106, 107
AMA, 55
American Society for Cell
 Biology
 Annual Meeting (2012),
 48, 133
AOM, 55
Application, scholarship
 of, 9
ar index, 38

- Article Influence[®] Score (AI), 36, 91
- Article-level IFs versus journal impact factors, 76
- Artificial intelligence algorithms, 84
- Association of University Presses, 56
- Attention score, 51
- Audit culture, 36, 114
- Australia
research funding, 99–100
- Australian Business Deans Council (ABDC), 56, 57, 91
- Author/article citation counts, x
- Author-level metrics (AutLM), 97
- Author processing charge (APC), 55, 61
- Author Rank, 64
- AW-index, 40–41
- Babbitt, Irving, 3
- Bad to Good: Achieving High Quality and Impact in Your Research*, 116
- Balance of teaching and research, 21
- Bases for Policy in Higher Education*, 4
- Basic research, 74
- Batista, Pablo D., 41
- Beall's List of Predatory Publishers, 56–58
- Benchmark analysis, 98–99
- Berlin Declaration on Open Access, 53
- Bethesda Statement on Open Access Publishing, 53
- Bibliometrics, x, xviii, 27, 67, 69, 79, 81, 84, 87, 88, 89, 94, 106, 111, 112, 131
- Bibliometrics and Citation Analysis*, 58
- Bibliometrics as Weapons of Mass Citation*, 78
- Big thinkers, 55
- Boyer, Earnest L., 95–96
- Brazil
university ranking system, 15
- Bridge media, xvii, 51, 118
- Britain/England, 103
Research Assessment Exercise, 69, 70
Research Excellence Framework, 69–70
research funding, 99
- Brodman, Estelle, xi
- Budapest Open Access Initiative, 53
- Business schools
faculty scholarship in teaching and learning, 50
- Cabell, David W. E., 44–46, 56, 77, 101
- Cabell's Blacklist, 46, 56, 57, 78

- Cabell's Classification
Index (CCI), 46, 77
- Cabell's Directory, 44–45
- Cabell's whitelist, 45, 46,
78
- C_a Creativity index, 38
- Campitelli, Monica G., 41
- Career preparation, 1–2
- Career trajectory, 97
- Carnegie Classification of
institutions, 108
- Carnegie Foundation for
the Advancement of
Teaching, 108
- Cattell, James McKeen, 85
- China, 103
- Chronicle of Higher
Education*, 63
- Citation analysis, xi, 15,
16, 84, 87, 95
interdisciplinary ties in,
89
timeline of, 30–36
- Citation-based journal
rankings, 129
- Citation counts, 71, 84,
89, 105, 107, 121
- Citation index, 25, 29, 30,
48–50
See also individual
indexes
- Citation metrics, xii,
43–44
- Citations per paper, 90
- Cited Half-life, 39
- Citefactor, 46
- Cit metric, 37
- Cit/N metric, 37
- Clarivate Analytics, 39
- Clinical vs. basic research,
75
- Cobey, Kelly, 86
- Coercive Citation in
Academic Publishing*,
78
- Collaboration, 111, 115,
131
- Collocation, 18, 115, 116
- Commercial academic
publishing, 24
- Commercialization, 13
- Consumatory good, 4–5
- Continuous improvement,
18, 91
- Costs
of acquiring data and
information, 46–47
of publishing, 52, 53
- Creative Commons
licensing, 62, 120
- Crisis in the University*,
126
- Critical-thinking skills, 1
- Croson, Rachel, 101–102
- Cultural diversity, 114
- Curiosity-driven research, 8
- Curry, Stephen, 79–80
- CV, 57, 78, 88
- Cybermetrics, 27
- Data collection, 104
- Dean's Council, 57
- DeepDyve, 56
- Desk-rejected, 77
- Difficulty of Acceptance
(DA), 46, 77

- Digital distribution, 24
 Digital media platforms, 56
 Digital Object Identifier (DOI), 62
 Directness, 104
Directory of Open Access Journals, 62
 Discovery, scholarship of, 9
 Disruptive technology, and research policies, 13–16
 Distributed knowledge production, 10
 Diversity, 95
- EarlyCite, 120
 Egghe, Leo, 38
 Eigenfactor[®] Score, 36, 91
 e-index, 40
 Eisenhower, Dwight (President), 83
 Elitism, 3
 Elsevier, 55, 64
 Altmetric for Scopus, 51
 Emerald Publishing, 55, 120
 EMNPC, 39
 English collegiate model, 7
 English-language bias, 114
 accommodations for, 18
 Entrepreneurial
 management culture, 13
 Europe
 university ranking system, 15
 Excellence in Research for Australia (ERA), 90
 Expert judgment, 85–86
- Facebook, 51, 71
 Faculty effectiveness, 94
 Faculty research, 1
 Faculty scholarship in teaching and learning, 50
 Faculty writing, 118
 Fake articles, 100, 121
 Fake impact factors, 46
 Fake ISSNs, 122
 Faulty citations, 72–73
 Field-normalized citation rates, 18
 Fields of study, 27
 Financial backing, 23–24
 Financial research
 incentives, for journal publication, 102
 5-Y JIF, 91
 Flexner, Abraham, 3
 Forms of scholarship
 application, 9
 discovery, 9
 integration, 9
 teaching, 9
 France, 103
 Freedom of intellectual inquiry, 81
 Funding organizations, 119
 Fuzzy cluster analysis, 113
- Gaming, xiv, 29
 Garfield, Eugene, xi, xiii, 25, 29, 47–48, 64, 73
 Generation, 6
 g-index, 38, 98
 Global environment, 19, 99

- Global Impact Factor (GIF), 46
 Global Serials Directory, 620
 “Gold standard”, 90
 Google + , 51
 Google Scholar (GS), 36, 92, 94, 96, 97, 101
 author-level metrics, 97
 citation metrics, xii, 43, 44
 inaccuracies, 72
 scholarly work’s impact on citations, 89
 Governance, 11
 Grand Valley State University Libraries, 61, 63

h(2) index, 38
 Halo effect, 87
 Harvard Press, 56
 Harzing, Anne-Wil, 40, 92, 108
 Harzing’s list-of-lists, 57
 Haughtiness, 3
 Higher Education Funding Council for England, 49, 94
 Higher education research, 7–8
 hInorm, 41
 Hiring, xviii, 96, 107
 Hirsch, J. E., 37, 38
 Hirsch Index (*h*-index), xii, xviii, 37–38, 43–44, 71, 91–92, 97, 101, 107, 114, 127
 average annual increase in, 42
 contemporary, 40
 individual, 41
 multiauthored, 41
 Humanities, citation metrics in, 43
 Humility, 94
 Hutchings, Robert Maynard, 3
 Hybrid evaluation, xix
 Hypothesis testing, 116–117

Idea of a University, 2
 IEEE, 55
 Illegitimate publishing entities, 122
 Imetrics, 27
 Immediacy Index, 39
 Impact factors (IFs), xii, 21–65, 73
 fake, 46
 statistical inaccuracy of, 78–79
 timeline of, 30–36
 See also Journal impact factors (JIFs)
 Impact measurement, 25–26
 Impact narratives, 122
Impact of Research, The, 50
 Impact statements, 122
 ImpactStory
 author-level metrics, 97
 Inaccuracies, 71–81
Inconsistency of the h-Index, The, 78

- Inderscience Publishers, 55, 117
 Indirect quality measurement, 88–123
 Informed research funding decisions and recognition, 99–107
 Informetrics, 27
 Informing Science Institute, 117
 Innovation, x
 Institute for Research and Higher Education (Norway), 73
 Institute for Scientific Information (ISI), 29, 72, 101
 Institutional policy developments, 120
 Institutional Publication Activity (IPA), 46
 Institutional rankings, 84
 Integration, scholarship of, 9
 Intellectual capital, 112
 Intellectual property, 11, 30, 133
 Intellectual quality, 6
 International Standard Name Identifier (ISNI), 99
 International Standard Serial Numbers (ISSNs), 45, 56, 58, 62, 122
 Internet, 47, 51, 53, 59, 69, 71, 119
 Internet -based research, 19
 ISO Standard (ISO 27729), 98
 Japan
 university ranking system, 15
 Jin, Bihui, 40–41
 John Hopkins, 56
 Journal acceptance rate, 76–77
 Journal Citation Reports® (JCR), xii, xv, 30, 36, 39, 81
 Journal classification lists, need for, 44
 Journal impact factors (JIFs), x–xii, xviii, 15, 17, 26, 29, 49, 64, 88, 90, 97, 118, 129, 130, 134
 distinguished from article-level IFs, 76
 limitations of, 134
 problems associated with, 74–75
 usefulness of, 86, 93–99
 See also Impact factor
 Journal-level metrics, 113
Journal of Finance, 101
Journal of Marketing Research, 101
 Journal scholarship metrics, 37–38
 Katsaros, Dimitrios, 40
 Kinouchi, Osame, 41

- Knowledge
 creation, 8
 dissemination of, 6, 129
 distribution, 67
 network, 11
 production, 9, 10
 scientific, 7
 sharing, 9, 111
 social, 7
 Knowledge-based
 economy, 6
- Language, 18, 104, 114
 Language other than
 English (LOTE), 43
 Leiden Manifesto, 103–104
 Library journal selection,
 24–25
 Linear statistical models, 117
- Machine learning, 84
Management Science, 101
 “Managerial class”, 82
 Manolopoulos, Yannis, 40
 Mantel–Haenzel quotient
 (MHq), 39
 Martinez, Alexandre S., 41
 MDNRS, 39
 Measurement, 7–13
 Measurement systems, xvii,
 16, 17, 69, 84, 98,
 113, 114, 130
Measuring Science:
 Irresistible
 Temptations, Easy
 Shortcuts, and
 Dangerous
 Consequences, 78
- Medieval universities, 7
 Megajournals, 59–60
 Meikeljohn, Alexander, 3
 Metrics, ix
 history and evolution of,
 21–65
 See also individual
 metrics
 Metrics-based research
 assessment, 103
 Metric-wise, 107
 Michigan Publishing
 (University of
 Michigan), 50–51
m index, 38
 MNPC, 39
 MNRS, 39
 Mobley, Sir Walter, 126
 Mode One, 9–10
 Mode Two, 10
m quotient, 38
 Monetary publication
 award, 101
 Multiversity, 4
- Netherlands, the, 103
 Netometrics, 27
 Newman, Cardinal, 2, 3
New Scientist, 51
 19th century German
 models, 2
 Nobel Foundation, 90
 Nobel Prize, 90
 Nock, Albert Jay, 3
 Normalized index, 79
 Normalized indicators, 39,
 40
 N_{pr}/yr metric, 37

- N*/yr metric, 37
 Number of citations per paper (NCPP), 76, 90
 Olayan School of Business, 102
 “Old boys’ network”, 87
 Online Submissions and Peer Review System (OSPEERS), 117
 Opaqueness, 105
 Open access (OA), 24, 28, 53, 55, 56, 58–60, 119–121
 Open Access Movement, 53
 Open Access Scholarly Publishers Association, 62
 Operational utility, 3, 7, 19
 Operations research (OR), 96
 ORCID (ResearcherID), 98
 Page charges, 23
 Paradigm shift, ix
 Pareto Principle, 22
 Peer review, xviii, 87, 117–118
 Peer-review system, 23, 60, 90, 118, 122
 Peer-reviewed journal, xv, 91, 102, 134
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 23
 Pinterest, 51
PLoS ONE, 60
 Policy, 1–20
 creation and implementation, using scholarly metrics for, 107–123
 development, 13
 Policymaking, xiv
 Post-Sputnik era, 5
 Practical considerations, for research measurement, 112–113
 Practicality, 6
 Predatory journals, 46, 53, 56, 58, 59, 118, 122
 Predatory publishing, 56–59, 121
 Preservation, 6
 Processing, 6
 Promotion, xviii, 107, 120
 Publication outlet quality, xi
 Publication productivity, 21–22
Publish or Perish (PoP) program, 40–42, 108
 Publons, 118
 Pure research, 50
 Qualitative evaluation strategies, 5
 Qualitative judgments, 104
 Qualitative review, 105
 Quality assurance, 10–11
 Quality of citations, 89–90

- Quality Open Access Publishers, 56
- Quantitative evaluation strategies, 30, 94, 104
- Ranking organizations, 119
- Recommendations for research assessment, 135–138
- Reference, 27
- Referencing as Persuasion*, 78
- Reflexivity, 95
- Regression analysis, 116
- Relative Citation Ratio (RCR), 39
- Reliability, xv, 28, 46, 88
- Research, 1–20
 - forms of, 7–13
 - framework, 12
- Research Assessment Exercise (RAE), 69, 70
- Research evaluation
 - impact, ix, 96, 105
 - systems, 93
 - by type of institutions, 109–110
- Research Excellence Framework (REF), 69–70, 84
- Research-for-student-skill-development, 6
- Research funding, xi, xiv, 128
 - decisions and recognition, informed, 99–107
- ResearchGate (RG), 94, 96, 101
 - author-level metrics, 97
- Research grant funding, xviii, 107
- Research policy, xviii, 7, 11, 19, 57, 68, 70, 82, 89, 94, 99, 103, 106, 116, 131
 - development, factors influencing, 110
 - disruptive technology and, 13–16
 - improvements, benefits and possibilities for, 87–88
- Research purpose, xvi, 6, 12
- Research-for-student-skill-development, 6
- Research university model, 7, 54–55
- “Responsible research and innovation” (RRI), 94
- Retraction Watch, 86
- Review process for academic journals, 117
- Review systems of journal articles, 117
- Revolutionary movement into academic professionalism, 2–3
- Reward systems, 120
- Robustness, 94
- Rousseau, Ronald, 30
- Rutgers, 56
- Sage, 55, 58
- San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA), 16, 48–49, 133–138

- Scholarly metrics, xi,
xvii–xix, 21–65, 84,
94–97, 106, 107, 108
awareness of, 44
growth of, 46–52
in policy creation and
implementation, using,
107–123
selection and usage of, 111
subsequently formulated,
80
See also individual
metrics
- Scholarly Metrics: Under
the Microscope: From
Citation Analysis to
Academic Auditing*,
47, 81, 84–85
- Scholarly regulation, 22
- Scholarship, x, 17–18
forms of, 9
measurement, origin of,
23–29
measurement, timeline of,
29–46
quality of, 92
- Scholarship Reconsidered*,
95–96
- Science blogs, 51
- Science Citation Index®
(SCI), xi, xii, 25, 29,
30
- Science* magazine, 25
- Scientific American*, 51
- Scientific knowledge, 7
- Scientific research, 115
- Scientist Impact Factor
(SIF), 39
- Scientometrics, 27, 90
- SciFinder, inaccuracies, 72
- SCImago Journal Rank,
xii, 91, 101
- Scopus, 36, 58, 92
inaccuracies, 72
- Second World War, 21
- Sedona, 118
- Seglen, Peter, 73–76
- Self-citation, 36
- Self-referential citation, 80
- Service activities, 1
- Sher, Irving H., 29
- Shippensburg State
University, 101
- Sidiropoulos, Antonis, 40
- Significant sameness
model, 117
- Similar scholarly groups,
24
- Simplicity, 104
- SNIP, 91
- Social knowledge, 7
- Social media metrics, 76
- Social Science Research
Network (SSRN),
63–64
- Social Sciences, citation
metrics in, 43
- Social Sciences Citation
Index® (SSCI), xii, 30,
58, 102
- Soft discipline scholars,
115
- Solbridge International
School of Business
(South Korea), 57–58,
102

- Spamming, 82
 Springer, 55, 58
 Stakeholders, x, xvii, 15,
 17, 50, 52, 61, 69, 70,
 94, 105, 110, 119,
 128, 131
 Statistical analysis of
 research, x, 85
 Status quo, 14
 Structural equation
 modeling, 116
 Symmetric tests, 116
- Taylor & Francis, 55
 Teaching
 scholarship of, 9
 loads allocation, xviii,
 96
 Technological
 development, 1
 Tenure, xviii, 96, 107, 120
 Thomson ISI, 30
 Thomson Reuters, xi,
 39, 46, 93, 112,
 134
 citation indexes, 29–30
 3 ... 2 ... 1 ... *Impact*
 [Factor]: *Target*
 [Academic Career]
 Destroyed!": *Just*
 Another Statistical
 Casualty, 78
 Timeline of Bibliometrics,
 30–36
 Time-period calculation,
 81
Times Higher Education,
 123
- Total citation tabulation,
 80
 Traditional research,
 9–10
 Transdisciplinary skill sets,
 10
 Transparency, 95, 104
 Trinity University, 91
 Twitter, 40, 51, 71
 Twitter Percentile (TP), 40
- Ulrichs Web, 62
 Understandability, 104
 UNESCO, 6, 11
 United States
 Congress, 100
 research funding, 100
 Universal Impact Factor
 (UIF), 46
 University of Texas, at
 Arlington, 101
 University ranking systems,
 15
- Validation of inputs, 105
 Validity, xv, xviii, 15, 28,
 77, 78, 83, 85, 88, 91,
 94, 96, 107, 120
 Visibility of scholarly
 research, 94, 130, 131
- “We are Columbia
 University”, 83
 Web of Science (WOS), 22,
 36, 92, 101, 102
 citation metrics, 43
 inaccuracies, 72
 Webometrics, 27

- Why the Medieval Idea of
a Community-oriented
University is Still
Modern*, 129
- Wilson, Tom, 81
- Work–family synergy, 116
- Work–life balance, 116
- Zhang, Chun-Ting, 40
- Z-score, 101