Index

Academic achievement, 22
Academic and social deficiencies, 3–4
Academic honesty, 207
Academic STEM practitioners, 28
Acculturation, 44
ACL. *See* active-collaborative learning (ACL)
Active-collaborative learning (ACL), 213
Active-learning computational activities, 239
Active-learning Day, 39
Activity structure, 191
Administrative policy, 175
African American communities, 23
African American telecommunication, 103–104
Afrodescendiente, 41
Agent-based models, 40
Aggressions, 34
Algorithm-generated multimedia with cultural context, 252
American Chemical Society, 38
American educational systems, 16
American Society for Microbiology, 38
Android phones, 192
Animations, 64–65
Annotation, 142–143
Annotators, 142
Anti-religious sentiments, 55
Apostolic communities, 55
Aptitude, 191–192
Architecture, 251
Arduino micro controllers, 78–79
Artificial Intelligence (AI), 78
Arts and humanities, 2
ASCII beep character, 217
Asian American and Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institution (AANAPISI), 74
Association of American Colleges and Universities (AAC&U), 2, 229
  TIDES Institutes, 106
  TIDES program, 219
Association of Technology and Social Sciences, 148
Attitudes, 191–192
Authenticity, 89–90

Balanced teaching pedagogy, 24
Batch-processing capabilities, 144
Beat Creator, 222
Big Data, 230–231, 239
Binary number system and computational methods, 104
Biology–CS learning community, 194
BitBucket, 253
BitPim, 104–105
Bivariate correlations, 264
Black Lives Matter, 116
Black, mixed-race spectrum, 41
Black Puerto Ricans, 34
Blended teaching and learning approach, 119–120

Blues, 220
Borough, 74
Breakpoint, 121
'Bro-gramming', 60
Bystander effect, 100

Calculus, 251
California State University, Northridge (CSUN), 218
  COMP, 110, 218–219
  culturally responsive content development, 220–223
  gender gap, 224
  minority-serving institution (MSI) status, 218
  peer mentors, 225
  underrepresented minority women campus, 218
  YouSpeak, 224–225
Campus-based professional development, 67
Campus-based project, 262
Campus initiative goals and boundaries, 27
Campus leadership, 137
Campus-project-level data, 262
Campus-wide teaching symposium, 213
Caring, CRT, 162–163
Catholic cultural expectation, 55–56
C. elegans, quantitative analysis, 143–145
CGP. *See* Cybernetic Girls Can Be Pinky (CGP)
Challenging introductory courses, 103
Challenging us to change
  changes in students' computer attitudes, 197
  CS results across institutions, 195–196
  learning community background, 188–189
  partner institutions, 193
  process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL), 189–190
  TIDES community, 187
  Westminster, 190–192
  Westminster College, 187–188
  Westminster results, 194–195
CHEM, 111, 192, 194
Chemistry–CS learning community, 192
CienciaPR, 41
Class enrollment, 107
Classification accuracy, 144–145
Class-restricted pathways, 17
Classroom
  discussions, 139
  facilitation, 190–191
  management, 252
  management software, 205–206
  pedagogy, 64
Classroom-based research experience (CRE), 138–139
Closing the Achievement Gap Task Force (CAGTF), 155
CMPT, 140, 192
CMSC, 203, 163
Codecademy, 179
Coding, 78
  experiences, 25
Collaborative learning into classroom, 61
Collectivism, 24
Color-blind racial attitudes, 42
Colorism, 41
Combined online and classroom teaching, 119
Commitment, 74–75
Common Academic Program, 54

Common Academic Program (CAP), 58–60
Communalism, 25
Community, 59
  service learning, 223
Community co-learning, 9
"Components of Academic Mindset" model, 156
COMP110 TIDES@CSUN course, 225
Computation, 239
Computational art history module implementation, 140–142
Computational Data Science (CDS), 230
  certificate program, 233–234
  tools, 229, 237, 240
Computational notebook, 120
Computational sciences (CS), 36–37
Computational Studies of Speech Production and Perception, 80
Computational thinking, 239
Computer analysis module, 139
Computer and mathematical sciences engagement
  faculty development, 253
  graphing techniques, 254
  hands-on, music-related classroom activities in CS1, 253–254
  implementation, 252–253
  institutional context, 250
  introduction and background, 250–252
  reflections, 249–250
  robotics, 254–256
Computer Attitude Questionnaire, 195–196
Computer for Technical Majors, 251
Computer literacy, 239
  course, 41
Computer Organization, 251
Computers and the Human Mind, 80
Computer science
  baccalaureates, 3
  enrollment, 58
  faculty, 139
Computer Science Department, 88
Computer Science Freshmen (CASF), 195–196
Computer Science I (CS I) course, 202
Computer Science on Florham Campus, 108
Computer Sciences certificate program, 244
Computers role in science and medicine, 192
Computing, 78
Conceptualization, 26
Contemporary US educational strategies, 15–18
Content delivery, 69
Content development, culturally responsive, 220–223
Context information, 121
Controlling registration, 80–81
"Cookbook" experiments, 137
Co-principal investigators, 175
Core curriculum, 137
CPS, 150, 65–66
CPS, 151, 66
C4Q (Coalition for Queens), 87
Craps, 220
CRE. *See* classroom-based research experience (CRE)
"Creative Media Applications", 64
Critical consciousness, 22

Critical evaluation of our times, 59
Critical intelligence, 159
Critically conscious learners, 22–23
Critical thinking, 36
CRT. *See* culturally responsive teaching (CRT)
CS35, 179
CS4ALL, 87
CSCI, 100, 89–90
  grade distributions for, 84
CSCI 1205, 103, 105, 107
CSCI 2215, 103–104, 107
CS0 courses, 196
CS education, 91
CS for Insight (CS35), 179
CS-interdisciplinary psychology module, 142
CS POGIL activities, 191
CS results across institutions, 195–196
Cultural change, 70
  in classroom, 62
Cultural competence, 66, 165, 230
Cultural congruity, 44
Cultural constructs, 44
Cultural diversity, 221, 250
Cultural hegemony, 17
"Cultural iceberg" model, 156
Culturally competent learners, 22–23
Culturally congruent approach, 25
Culturally responsive approach, 147
Culturally responsive computational science
  art history, quantitative analysis, 139–142
  biology and computer science module, 143–145
  evaluation method, 145–146
  evaluation results, 146
  implementation, 138–139
  participants, 145
  psychology and computer science module, 142–143
Culturally responsive pedagogy (CRP), 4, 18–20, 263
  centers, 20
  characteristics, 20–21
  diverse learners, 22
  faculty members view, 23
  faculty role, 21–22
  power of, 21
Culturally responsive strategies, addressing recruitment, 115–117
  background, 119–120
  computation, 118
  computational modules, 120–123, 126
  faculty workshops, 123–127
  participant feedback, 128
  TIDES activities, participation in, 118
Culturally responsive teaching (CRT), 66, 68–69, 230, 250
  accessible, 158–159
  application, 159–160
  background and significance, 155–156
  concept of culture, 156
  conceptualization, 154
  cultural factors, 154
  cultural sensitivity and responsiveness, 154
  impact on faculty, 161–162
  impact on students, 162–164
  implementation deltas, 160–161
  implementation/methodology, 156–157
  implementation pluses, 160
  operational definition, 155–156

relevant, 157
results, 161
scholarly influence, 156
TIDES journey, 165–166
Culturally responsive teaching principles (CRTP), 237, 244
Culturally sensitive content, 147
Culturally sensitive pedagogies, 77
Cultural makeup, 158
Cultural responsiveness, 7, 11, 157
Cultural symbols, 33–34
Cultural variation, 69
Culture, 25
and musical traditions, 221
CUNY, 76, 87
CUNY-Cornell Tech-Verizon initiative, 87
Curriculum
community property, 66–67
redesign, 205–206
Cyberlink Brain Body Interface, 104
Cybernetic Girls Can Be Pinky (CGP)
activities, 37
curriculum revamping, 40–41
faculty development activities, 41–42
gender inequities, 38
implementation/methodology, 37–39
programming workshops in different languages, 40
quantitative analysis and critical thinking, 39
science interests and perceptions survey, 39–40
student empowering program outcomes, 42–44
Women in Science undergraduate course, 40
Cybernetic Girls Program (CGP), 36

Data collection instruments, 262
Deficit model, 61
Deflection, 42
Design-Make-Play, 89
Developmental evaluation, 261
Digital divide in society, 192
Disaggregating data power, 90
Discover–Adjust–Assess process, 155, 158–161
Discussion leaders, 125
Diversity, 59
in computer science, 136
and inclusion, 55
"Dysfunctional illusions of rigor", 124

EarSketch for Promoting Learning in SCISEL Courses, 252–253
EarSketch Python library, 253–254
Ecology, 40
Ecology Society of America, 38
Educational pursuits, 55
Education curriculum, 75–76
Effective responses, 191
Electronic Portfolio (E-portfolio), 252
ELITE, 160
Employed culturally responsive pedagogies, 16–17
E-portfolio, 122
Equity through access to computer science learning
background and significance, 177–178
ethnic and racial backgrounds, 176
faculty believe, 176
implementation/methodology, 178–179

MECHANISM program, 182–183
results, 179
Ethnic and racial groups, 75
Ethnic identity, 44
Ethnicity, 76
Ethnographer, 159
Eurocentric cultural mores and thoughts, 16
Eurocentric epistemology, 18
Evidence-based professional development, 259
Evidence-based rational approach, 91
Evidence-based strategy, 61
Exercises, 121–122
Experienced faculty, 125
Experienced teacher-scholars, 60
Experience, social-professional cohesion, 66
Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), 230–231, 235, 237

Facebook status updates, 142
Face-to-face classroom instruction, 119
Facilitators, 125
Faculty, 60
development, 41–42, 252–253
focus groups, 67
indifference, 4
Faculty culture, changing
background and significance, 58–62
implementation and methodology, 62–67
institutional challenges and responses, 56–58
mission and catholic identity, 54–56
results, 67–68
Fairleigh Dickinson University (FDU), 97
Faith traditions, 59
Fayetteville State University (FSU), 249–250, 252
Feedback
from students, 67
on workshop, 127
Feelings of isolation, 2
Festival of Computer Science, 220, 225–226
"Fishbowl" exercise, 116
Fixed mind-set, 124
Fixing the student, 61
Fostering an environment for all students to succeed
background and significance, 102–103
class enrollment, 107
CS concepts and real-world systems, 111
female and minority students percentage, 108
grades, 110–111
graduation rates, 109–110
inherent learning difficulties, 112
TIDES course enrollment, 108–109
underrepresented minority (URM) students, 111
women and minority students, 111
Frequent lowstakes assessments, 112
Freshman Year Initiative (FYI) program, 79–80
FSU. *See* Fayetteville State University (FSU)
Full-time faculty member, 189

GDrive, 253
Geek culture, 136
Gender, 76
  gap and music, 223–224
  imbalance, 60
  inequities, 40
General Chemistry/Computer Science learning community, 189
Generation computing, 219
Geographical information systems (GIS), 40
Girls Who Code and Black Girls Code, 87
GitHub, 253
Global knowledge economy, 16
Grades, 110–111
Graduation data assess, 62
Graduation rates, 109–110, 135
Graphics-based lab projects, 66
Graphing techniques, 254
GraphPad QuickCalcs, 143
Graphs *vs.* recordings, 255
Greco-Roman philosophy, 17
Grit and self-efficacy, 102
Growth mind-set, 124
Guess the Genre, 222
Guess the Note, 222
Guided inquiry materials, 189–190

Hands-on, music-related classroom activities in CS1, 253–254
Harvey Mudd College, 179
Hidden but accessible truths
  accessible truths, 89–90
  background and significance, 76–79
  course recruitment, pedagogy, and outcomes, 79–82
  ethnicity estimates for populations, 75
  evangelizing, 86–88
  group work, 83
  implementation, 79
  learning focus, 82
  projects, 83–84
  student feedback, 82–83
  TIDES community of practice, 88–89
  TIDES continue to Ebb and flow, 84–86
High-frequency low-impact testing, 207–208
Hip-hop, 220
Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI), 35, 74, 218
Historically Black College and University (HBCU), 229, 233
Historically Black Colleges and Universities — undergraduate program (HBCU-UP), 249
Homogeneousness, 34
Horizontal aggressions, 34
"The Hub", 160–161
Human–computer interaction, 102, 104
Human Genetics/Computer Science learning community, 188
Humanities, 148
  courses, 137–139
  faculty, 139
Huynh, Virginia, 223
Hybrid learning, 119

Implicit bias, 34, 174
Impostor Syndrome, 34–35, 124, 204
Improving facilitation, 191

In-class activities, 206
In-classroom/active learning approaches, 201–202
In-class social cohesion, 64
Inclusive teaching, 118
Indio, 41
Individual introspection, 9
Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) systems, 122–123
Information and intelligence students, 83
Information manipulation, 78
Information Science and Systems (INSS), 241
Information theory, 78
Inherent learning difficulties, 112
Inquiry-based pedagogy, 61
Inquiry learning, 112
Institutional readiness, 6–7
Instructional congruency, 24
Instruction modules, culturally responsive, 223
Instructor as facilitator, 189
Integrated STEM Academic Success (ISAS) program, 249
Integrated *vs.* individualized cultural framework, 24
Intellectual capabilities, 20
Intellectual property messages, 207
Interests, 191–192
"Investigative computing" skills, 179
Invisibility, 2
IrfanView, 144

Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, 236
"JupyterDayPhilly: Transformative Teaching with the Jupyter Notebook", 120
JythonMusic Environment, 254

K-12, 17, 87
  school settings, 41
Kate Winter Evaluation (KWE), 259
KEEN network, 60
Khan Academy, 251

Lack of belongingness, 2
Latino stuff, 33–34
Lawrence Technological University, 136–137
Leadership
  capabilities, 40
  support, 68
Learning, 174
  communities, 191
  community background, 188–189
  community pairings, 188
  cycle approach, 189
  environments, 62
  objectives, 191
  teams, 189–190
Learning Teaching Center (LTC), 68
Liberal education, 2–3
Liberty indoctrination, 16
Life flexibility, 36–37
Linear algebra, 256
Low-stakes assessments, 105
Lyrics Processing, 222

Machine learning system, 144–145
"Machista", 44
Macro-aggressions, 34

Male-oriented stereotypes, 78
Male registrants, 80
Marginalization, 2
Marianist, 54–55
  education, 59
Matched-comparison *t*-tests, 264
Math, 251
Measurement and assessment, 259–260
  analysis, 264
  evaluation framework and structure, 261–262
  evaluation limitations, 269–271
  methods and data, 262
  outputs, outcomes, and evaluative findings, 265–269
  project fidelity, 260–261
  TIDES-level metrics collected directly, 262–263
  TIDES-level metrics collected indirectly, 264
Mediators, 21–22
Mentors Enable, Connect, Help, Advocate, Nurture, Intervene, Sustain, and Motivate (MECHANISM), 182–183
Me Too movements, 116
Mexican music, 220
Microaggressions, 34, 118, 174
Middle-class cultural values, 19
Minimization, 42
Minority and non-minority students, 56
Minority-serving institution (MSI), 218
Mission and catholic identity, faculty culture, 54–56
Mission-level commitments, 3
Mixed-race spectrum, 41
Model minority, 174
Montgomery College (MC), 154–155
Morgan faculty, 229
Morgan State University (MSU), 229, 233–235
Morgan TIDES Program (MTIDES), 229–231, 233
  activities, 242
  data collection methods, 236–237
  faculty, 245
  workshop, 242
Mulato, 41
Multiple programming languages, 207
Multi-racial students, 179
Multi-year retention, 62
Music in programming
  content development, culturally responsive, 220–223
  Festival of Computer Science, 220, 225–226
  gender gap, 223–224
  implementation/methodology, 219–220
  institutional context, 218–219
  instruction modules, culturally responsive, 223
  introduction/background and significance, 219
  peer mentors, 225
  reflection, 217–218
  YouSpeak classroom interaction system, 218, 220, 224–225

Nanotechnology and its applications, 104
NASA Swarmathon competition, 255–256

National Academies Summer Institute for Scientific Teaching (NASIST), 232
National Council for Women & Information Technology (NCWIT), 113
  Pacesetter School, 198
National Science Foundation (NSF), 68
  Scholarships, 174
National Society of Black Engineers (NSBE), 220
National Treasure by the National Trust for Historic Preservation, 233
Near-peer mentor program, 220
NodeXL, 241
Non-STEM faculty, 236
Non-White individuals, 42
North Carolina State University (NCSU), 202, 205
Number crunching, 78

Office of Enrollment Management, 57
Office of Institutional Research and Effectiveness (OIRE), 163
One-on-one research, 98
Online statistical calculators, 143
Open-source platform, 120
Open-source programs, 140
Open-source technology, 120
Orchestrators, 22
Organizational context, 2–3
Organizers, 21
Orientation sessions, 80
OscIn and OscOut objects, 254
Out-of-class lectures, 209
Out-of-class outings, 80
Out-of-class time, 204–205

Parallelism, 255
Parallel R, 230
Participant feedback, 128
Partner institutions, 193
Pattern recognition algorithms, 140
Peer mentors, 225
Peer mentorship, 227
Peer-to-peer interactions, 239
Personal and cultural strengths, 20
PHYLIP, 144
Physical computing and personified feedback, 252
Pitzer College
  administrators, 178–179
  CS courses, 178
  faculty thought, 176
  individual perspective and approach, 175–176
  intercultural understanding, 176
  lower division computer science courses, 181
  multi-racial students, 179
  students ethnic and racial backgrounds, 176
  theory of social justice, 176–177
  upper division computer science courses, 181
Pivotal Moments, 182
POGIL. *See* process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL)
5-point Likert scale, 145
Polling, 191
Power and privilege, 7
Practical wisdom, 59
Pre-college education, 17–18
Predator/prey relationships, 43
Pre/post qualitative attitude surveys, 210

President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST), 234
Primarily white institution (PWI), 33
Prior accomplishments, 20
Problem-based learning, 103, 112, 137
Problem-solving skills, 65, 261
Process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL), 189–191, 198
Process skills development, 189
Pro-equity, 43–44
Pro-female, 43
Program Design and Implementation, 251
Program Evaluation Standards, 236
Programming, 78
  assignments, 66
  language, 77
  modules with programming concepts, 222
Programming Methodology, 251
Project-based learning, 64, 66, 88
Project-based teaching, 21
Project goals, 265–266
Project Kaleidoscope (PKAL), 3, 38
Project Kaleidoscope Regional Network meetings, 36
Project-oriented environment, 137
Project-related activities, 213
Pro-women, 43–44
Prussian system in America, 15–16
Pseudonyms, 42
Puerto Rican ethnicity, 34
Python programming language, 120–121

QC Office of General Counsel, 80
Quarter-based curriculum, 201
Queens College (QC), 74

R, 230
Racial and ethnic groups, cultural heritages of, 20
Racial and structural inequities, 4
Racist practices, 42
Random Music, 222
Random number generation, 220
Rationalization, 42
Recruitment and retention of underrepresented minority groups
  implementation/methodology, 236–238
  institutional context, 233–234
  introduction/background and significance, 234–235
  reflection, 229–232
  results, 238–244
Redesign using games programming, 252
Reflection, 12
  prompts, 122
Religious identity, 55
Research assistantship, 137–138
Retention rates, 102
Reverse discrimination, 42
Rhythm, 220
Rhythmic-movement expressiveness, 25
Robotics, 254–256
  with EZ-robots, 104
Robotics Clubs, 38, 42
Robustness, 255

Salsa, 220
Sankey diagram, 85–86
Scalability, 255
Scale of Words, 222

Scales, 220
SCALE-UP/Active-Learning teaching, 213
SCALE-UP approach, 202–205
Scholarship, 59
Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) program, 174
  classrooms, 1–2
  education initiatives, 89
  employment, 234
  faculty, 259
  fields, 219–220, 250
  and non-STEM majors, 244
Scientific skepticism, 8
Scientist profile, 121
Second-language program, 155
Secure copy (SCP), open-source tools, 140
Secure shell (SSH), open-source tools, 140
Seeds for Success Workshops, 42
Self-affirmation, 99
  theory, 204
Self-assessment and reflection, 214
Self-efficacy, 7, 99, 164, 267
Self-managed learning teams, 189
Self-paced learning, 119
Self-reflection, 7, 69
Semester-based academic calendars, 201
Sensor network optimization, 136
Similarity matrix, 145
Sinclair Community College, 57
"Sink-or-swim" mentality, 199
Skin-color hierarchy, 41–42
Social media, studying patterns in, 142–143
Social-professional cohesion, 66
Social responsibility for individual privileges, 25
Societal baggage, 77
Society for Toxicology, 38
Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers (SHPE), 220
Society of Women Engineering (SWE), 220
Sociology/Computer Science learning community, 189
Sociology–CS learning community, 192, 194
Sophomore-level labs, 126
Sound Recorder, 222
Specialized recruitment, 80
"Spinoff" professional development events, 161
SSPT, 60–61
S-STEM initiative grant, 182
Staff facilitators, 125
Statistical computing, 238
STEM-literate citizenry, 16
STEM-oriented mindset, 148
STEM-related skills, 76
Stereotype threat, 118
Strengthening Computer and Information Sciences Engagement and Learning (SCISEL), 251
Strings To Beats, 222
Student-Centered Active Learning Environment, 202
Student-centered learning approach, 189
Student-centered teaching, 267
Student–faculty relationships, 22
Student learning
  outcomes, 59
  and retention, 68
Students'
  computer attitudes, 197
  confidentiality, 42
  cultural factors, 158
  engagement, 57, 61

mastery, 69
underperformance, 19–20
Student Success and Persistence Team (SSPT), 56
Student–teacher relationships, 23
Student teaching assistants, 56
Survey of Music Technology, 253
Systemic marginalization, 3–4
Systems thinking, 184
and project conceptualization, 26–27
SYSTEMS VIEW of Program Evaluation, 236

Tablets, 192
Teacher–classroom interactions, 244
Teacher–student relationship, 156
Teaching introductory programming courses, 101
Teaching scientific knowledge and skills, 36
"Teaching to Diversity in CS" workshop, 193
Teaching to Increase Diversity and Equity in STEM (TIDES), 4, 15, 229, 256–257, 262–263, 271
campus-based projects in, 18
community of practice, 28
contemporary US educational strategies, 15–18
faculty teams, 25
institutions, 5
journey into the deep, 9–10
journey into the unknown, 7–9
journey into the world, 10–11
professional development program, 6–7
program-level objectives, 6
race and gender of students impacted by, 267
re-conceptualization, 4
STEM faculty professional development domain, 4
"Teaching Toolkit", 160–161
Teamwork skills, 139
Tech Talent Pipeline (TTP), 87–88
Term project, 122
Text to Melody, 222
Theorized learning, 20
"Think-pair-share" approach, 83
Thought-provoking sessions, 175
TIDES
community, 187
Community of Practice, 88–89
conference, 100
learning communities, 187, 194
TIDES courses
enrollment, 108–109
interest in STEM, 146
thematic focuses, 145
TIDES@CSUN, 217, 220
modules, 222
TIDES Tribunal, 29
Tiny Earth initiative, 40
Tones, 220
"Toolkit" of teaching ideas, 160
Traditional educational tribalism, 18
Traditional interpretation of students, 157
Tribunal model, 29
Tri-college community, 119
Tri-College workshop, 126–127
Trigueño, 41
T-test score, 143, 264

UD. *See* University of Dayton (UD)
Undergraduate research, 137
Undergraduate STEM programs, 36

Underperformance, 19–20
Underrepresented groups, 204
Underrepresented minority groups, recruitment and retention of
  implementation/methodology, 236–238
  institutional context, 233–234
  introduction/background and significance, 234–235
  reflection, 229–232
  results, 238–244
Underrepresented minority (URM) students, 111
Underserved minority (URM), 218
Universal Design for Learning (UDL), 121
University of Dayton (UD), 63
  base funding for targeted programs, 55
  curriculum changes at, 59
  faculty development, 66
  initiative, 60
  mission and catholic identity, 54–56
  problems at, 56
  religious identity, 55
  in STEM fields, 57–58
  teaching and learning importance, 54
University of North Carolina (UNC) system, 250
University of Puerto Rico in Humacao (UPRH), 33
University of Puerto Rico (UPR) system, 35
Unofficial CRT principles, 157
Unstructured Data Analysis Tool (UDAT) text classifier, 142–143
Upper-level physics courses, 126
Upside-down pedagogies, 202
Utilization-focused evaluation, 261

Version 3.5c of PHYLIP, 140
Vibrant technology community, 75–76
Victimization, 42
Virtual reality and mental health, 104
Visual art, automatic analysis, 140
Vocation, 59

Wearable electronics, 83–84
Westminster College, 188
  learning community background, 188–189
  partner institutions, 193
  POGIL activities, 190
  results, 194–195
  TIDES project, 188, 190–192
White fragility, 34
White privilege, 34
WiTNY, 87
WNDCHRM, 140, 144
'Women and Science', course, 39
Women in Technology and Entrepreneurship in NY, 76
Women in Technology New York (WiTNY), 87
Workforce development, 87
Workload
  among students, 64
  policies, 59
Workshop to Increase Diversity and Equity, 129
World Music Drum Machine, 222
Wright State Computer Science and Engineering Department (WSU-CSE), 202

Wright State University
  active learning classrooms, 212
  active learning engagement, 211
  "computer scientist" identity, 212
  control group, 211
  experimental group, 211
  implementation/methodology, 204–208
  in-classroom activities, 211
  methods and motivation, 203–204
  non-URM students, 212
  progression rates, 211
  results, 208–210

XSEDE. *See* Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE)

Yellow Ribbon Program, 104
YouSpeak classroom interaction system, 218, 220, 224–225
YouTube, 221, 251