

Subject Index

Note: Page numbers followed by “n” with numbers indicate footnotes.

- Administrative agency, process at, 48–49
- Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), 48, 125, 142, 158
- Affirmative defense, 146
- Agriculture Department, 34
- Alternative hypothesis, 131, 163
- Amaranth*, 124, 125
 - battle of economists, 129
 - case (2007), 119, 125–127
 - Commission Decision, 134–135
 - hedge fund, 124
 - important of economists, 129–130
 - lies, damn lies, and econometrics, 130–132
 - Quinn and Fischel for defense, 132–134
 - scienter*, 128–129
 - searching for manipulation, 137–138
 - settlements and jurisdiction, 135–137
 - side excursion on put options, 127–128
 - staff’s case and Hunter’s rebuttals, 125
 - theories of manipulation, 123
 - trading, 134
- American Electric Power Service Corporation*, 89n4
- Amicus curae*, 37
- Ancillary market strategy, 91–93
- Ancillary markets, 78–79
- Anti-Manipulation Rule, 170
- Appeals Court, 32, 34, 37, 38, 40, 49
- Arbitrage, 13–15, 93, 145–146
 - strategy, 110, 117
- Arbitrageurs, 8
- Artificial price, 10, 44, 54, 57–58, 105, 110–113
- Avista, 48, 57, 65
 - trades on underlying during market close, 55
 - trading strategy, 56–57
- Balanced schedules, 94, 100
- Balancing authority, 69
- Bankruptcy, 5
- Barclays*, 158, 212
 - Dean Hubbard’s statement, 171–174
 - defense, 165–167
 - district court’s decision on discovery, 174–176
 - exchange between barclays and staff, 165–169
 - FERC’s penalty order, 169–171
 - process, 158–159
 - show cause order, 159–165
- Baseline consumption, 188
- “Bid–ask spread”, 9
- “Black box” approach, 150, 166
- Black Oak* decision, 198
- BP America*, 141
 - arbitrage and early trading, 145–146
 - “framing the open” theory, 143–145
 - manipulation and theory of firm, 150–152
 - other issues, 153
 - parties and proceedings, 141–143
 - scienter*, 147–150
 - trading strategies, 146–147
- Bre-X Minerals Limited Canadian company, 10
- Bre-X stock rose, 10
- British Columbia Hydro, 89
- “Bury the corpse” effect, 19, 35, 107

- California energy crisis, 67, 68
- California Independent System Operator (CAISO), 69, 81
- computing system, 108
 - day-ahead ancillary market, 92
 - market, 110
 - system, 83, 85
- California's electricity markets
(*see also* Electricity markets), 81
- ancillary market strategy, 91–93
 - congestion-related strategies, 89–91
 - electricity restructuring, 81–87
 - energy procurement in day-ahead markets, 95
 - ENRON, 87–89
 - gaming, 100–101
 - price arbitrage strategies, 97–100
 - real-time prices, 93–97
- California–Oregon border (COB), 48
- Call options, 51, 52
- Capacity markets, 98*n*12
- Cargill v. Harden* (1971), 38–40
- Cascade effect, 26, 27, 53, 59, 60, 127, 147
- Cash settled option contracts, 51
- Cash-settled index, 7, 23
- Cheap talk, 47, 64
- Chicago Mercantile Exchange, 2
- Chicago rye markets, 32, 33
- Chicago wheat market, 24
- Commodity Exchange Authority (CEA), 31
- Commodity exchanges, 22, 42, 59, 69
- Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), 11, 31, 42, 44, 47, 134, 137
- artificial price, 57–58
 - misconstruing *Cargill*, 63–64
 - misconstruing *Henner*, 61–63
 - path to manipulation finding, 57–64
- Commodity market, 1
- actions as farmer, 4
 - buying from exchange, 5–6
 - challenges for commodity trader, 7–9
 - challenges for exchange, 6–7
 - hypothetical trading book
 - for corn, 9
 - selling to, 1–4
 - trading, 211
- Commodity trader, challenges for, 7–9
- Competitive Energy Solutions (CES), 182
- Congestion Revenue Rights (CRRs), 106
- Congestion-related strategies, 89
- Death Star and Cut Schedules, 90–91
 - load shifting, 89–90
- Congressional staffers, 50
- Constrained equilibrium, 75
- Convertible bonds, 124
- Corn contracts, 12
- “Corners”, 11
- Crop insurance, 2*n*1
- Cut Schedules, 90–91
- “Data snooping”, 132
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 153
- Day-Ahead Load Response Program (DALRP), 179–180, 185–187
- rules, 187–189
- Day-ahead markets, 75–77
- DC Energy v. H. Q. Energy Services*, 114
- De novo* review, 197–198
- De novo* trial, 158, 175
- Death Star, 90–91
- Deception, 100
- Degenerate pricing, 110–113
- Delivery/settlement period, 27–28
- Demand, 72–75
- reduction, 181
- Demand response, 186
- policy ISO-NE policy, 179–181
 - “Dependent” variable, 130

- Deutsche Bank*, 105, 118, 206
 arguments, 107–108
 “degenerate pricing” and
 “artificial” prices, 110–113
 legal standards, 113
 motivation, 108–109
 original intent rule, 116–117
 other issues, 113
per se illegality, 115–116
 proceedings and FERC Staff’s
 arguments, 106–107
 prosecution’s case, 105
 reason for lose money, 109–110
 settlement with FERC, 120
 stand-alone profitability, 113–114
 subsequent FERC cases, 117–118
 US enforcement agencies,
 118–119
- DiPlacido*, 47, 166, 212
 CFTC’s path to manipulation
 finding, 57–64
 contending theories, 50–57
 parties and charges, 48
 process at administrative agency,
 48–49
 weaknesses of procedure, 49–50
- Dreyfuss Show Cause Order and
 Consent*, 117
- “Dummy” variables, 130n12
- Early trading, 145–146
 ECONLIT search engine, 144
 Econometrics, 130–132
 Economic theories of
 manipulation, 17
 early works, 17–19
 Pirrong creating field, 19–25
 works by Ledgerwood and
 coauthors, 25–28
- Efficient Markets Hypothesis,
 28, 161
- eggs, 34
- Electricity
 demand, 68–69
 price, 185
- Electricity markets, 67, 211
 ancillary markets, 78–79
 day-ahead and real-time markets,
 75–77
 FTRs, 77–78
 required price for offering into
 reserve market, 79
 restructured, 68–70
 three-node model, 70–75
- Electricity Reliability Council of
 Texas (ERCOT), 68
- Electricity restructuring in California,
 68, 81
 monthly wholesale electricity prices
 during crisis, 84
 price caps and finger pointing,
 83–87
 supply curves, 86
- “End game” of manipulation, 21
- Energy Information
 Administration, 186
- Energy Policy Act (2005), 134
- ENRON, 81, 87, 195
 in California Crisis, 88–89
 strategy, 99
- ENRON Energy Services (EES), 99
- Etracom*, 118
- Ex ante* profitability, 113–114, 117
- Ex post* enforcement, 203
- Ex post* profitability, 113–114, 117
- Exchange(s), 23
 buying from, 5–6
 commodity, 22, 42, 59, 69
 fungible, 3
 rules, 62
- Exxon stock, 19
- Farming, 1–2
- “Fat Boy” strategy, 99–100
- Federal court system, 213
- Federal Energy Regulatory
 Commission (FERC),
 49, 68, 81, 96, 105, 123,
 125, 137, 141, 144, 151,
 157, 179,

- FERC v. Electric Power Supply Association*, 181
- FERC v. Silkman*, 182, 191
- penalty order, 169–171
- staff, 105, 159
- Federal Power Act (FPA), 170, 183, 202
- Federal Reserve Board, 42
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 49
- Financial economics, 28
- Financial index market, 159
- Financial market manipulation, 15
- Financial risk, 106
- Financial Transmission Rights (FTRs), 77–78, 89, 105
- Finger pointing, 83–87
- Firm compliance, 152
- Firms, 110
- First law of nature, 33
- Forney Perpetual Loop, 90
- “Framing the open” theory, 143–145
- Fraud, 116
- Freeport-McMoran Corporation, 10
- Gaming, 89, 91, 100–101
- rule, 212
- General Foods v. Brannan* (1948), 32–34
- “Get Shorty” ENRON, 91–93
- Grain Futures Act (1922), 31
- Great Western v. Brannan* (1953), 34–36
- H. W. Miller and Company v. Benson* (1958), 36
- “Harming well-functioning market”, 107
- Hedge fund, 124
- Hedgers, 8, 17, 18
- Hedging, 51–53
- financial risk method, 105
- theory, 56
- Home-run strategy, 206
- Houston Ship Channel (HSC), 142
- Hunt Brothers’ silver market episode, 32, 40–45
- Hunter v. FERC*, 136, 711 *F. 3rd* 155
- Hurricane Katrina, 124
- Hydroelectric facilities, 67
- Independent System Operators (ISOs), 69
- Independent variables, 130
- Index manipulation, 158
- Index period, 158
- Indicator variable, 145
- Individual trader, incentive of, 151–152
- Indonesian government, 10
- Informed party, 17, 18
- Instant message (IM), 126
- Intermediate Microeconomics, 150
- “Invisible hand” (Smith), 68
- ISO-New England (ISO-NE), 179
- policy, 179–181
- Jurisdiction, 135–137
- Kaminski’s analysis, 131–132
- Kirchhoff’s law, 67, 72
- Kyle model, 17
- Laundering, 93
- “Law and economics” paradigm, 35n3
- LEXIS search terms, 59
- “Light” index (*see* NP off-peak)
- Limit orders, 26
- Liquidity, 8
- Load serving entities (LSEs), 76
- Load shifting, 89–90, 105
- London Metal Exchange (LME), 23
- Long manipulations, 22, 24
- Loopholes, 201–203, 207
- Manipulation, 1, 9, 39, 47, 105, 150–152
- analysis, 199–200

- CFTC, 11
- commodity market, 1–9
- Ledgerwood and Kleit
 - definitions, 12
- losing money, 10
- market, 12
- speculation, arbitrage, and market
 - power, 13–15
- strategy, 23
- theory, 53–54
- wash trading, 12, 13
- Manipulator, 11, 21, 24
- Marginal costs (MCs), 72
- Marginal Loss Surplus Allocation (MLSA), 197
- Market
 - bid, 9
 - design function, 100
 - impact, 14n10
 - maker, 8
 - manipulation, 12
 - monitors, 70
 - participants, 14, 23
 - power, 9, 13–15
 - power manipulations, 15
 - wholesale price, 179
- Market monitoring and information
 - protocols (MMIP), 96
- Merit order, 77
- Midwest Independent System Operator (MISO), 69
- Minorco v. Hunt*, 14
- Misconstruing *Cargill*, 63–64
- Misconstruing *Henner*, 61–63
- MLSA revenues, 198–199
- “Money for nothing” scheme, 91
- Monopsony behavior, 94
- Motives for violating bidders,
 - 59–60
- My Cousin Vinny* (movie), 153n14
- Natural gas, 145
- “Neo-classical” theory of the
 - firm, 150
- New Orleans contracts, 36
- New York Cotton Exchange, 37
- New York Mercantile Exchange
 - contracts (NYMEX contracts), 48, 57
- Nodes, 71
- “Nontransitory” market power, 15
- Null hypothesis, 131
- Ohms, 71
- Options, 51–53
- Original intent rule, 116–117
- “Out of merit” (OOM), 85
- Overgeneration, 99–100
- Palo Verde (PV), 48, 55, 65, 91, 158
 - electricity, 11
- Payment system, 196
- Per se* illegality, 115–116
- Per se* rule, 61
- Peruvian government, 43
- Phantom congestion, 108
- PJM, 195, 201–203, 205
- Plaintiff, 135
- “Polar Vortex”, 204
- Post hoc* rationalization, 206
- Power flow, 73
- Power supply, 68
- Powhatan*, 195
 - participants and proceedings,
 - 197–198
 - Powhatan’s response, 200–206
 - show cause order, 198–200
 - staff’s rebuttal and commission’s
 - initial decision, 206–208
 - transmission losses, 195–197
- Powhatan’s trades, 203–204
- Preliminary econometric model, 166
- Price arbitrage strategies, 97
 - Overgeneration and “Fat Boy”
 - strategy, 99–100
 - “Ricochet”, 97–99
- Price caps, 83–87
- Price effect manipulation, 43
- Price impact, 14n10
- “Price Rebound” theory, 132
- Price slippage, 14n10
- Protective call, 51

- Protective put, 53
 Punishment and theory of firm,
 150–151
 Put option, 52
- Quantity risk, 2*n*1
- Rate of return regulation, 68
 Real-time markets, 75–77
 Real-time prices, 93–97
 “Real-time” markets, 76
 Regional transmission operator
 (RTO), 67–70, 106, 181, 195
 “Regulation” markets, 79
 Regulatory capture, 42
 Reserve markets, 78, 91
 Reserve payments, 78
 Resistance, 70–72
 Restructured electricity markets, 68
 rate of return regulation, 68
 restructured states, 69
 RTOs, 68–70
 RTOs in North America, 70
 Revenue equation, 20
 “Ricochet”, 97
 economic analysis, 98
 strategy, 97
 “Risk neutral”, 21
 Rumford and Silkman defense, 185
 DALRP, 185–187
 rules of DALRP, 187–189
 scienter, 189–190
 Rumford Paper, 182
 Rumford Show Cause Order, 184
- Scienter*, 35, 116, 128–129, 135,
 147–150, 161–164,
 189–190, 200
- Secretary of Agriculture, 32
 Self-preservation, 33
 Self-regulating organization, 205
 Settlements, 135–137
 Short manipulation, 24
 Show cause order, 117, 144*n*7, 157,
 159, 182–185, 198
 damages amount, 164–165
 manipulation analysis, 199–200
 scienter, 161–164, 200
 underlying case, 159–161
 UTC trading driven by MLSA
 revenues, 198–199
- Solar power, 76
 Southwest Power Pool
 (SPP), 69
 Speculation, 13–15
 Speculators, 8, 14, 17
 Squeezes, 11
 Standard deviation, 172
 State Department of Water and
 Resources, 83
 State-mandated price, 82
 Statistical inference, 130–131
 Statistical significance, 131
 Stepwise regression, 132
Sullivan & Long v. Scattered, 108
 Supply, 72–75
 curves in California, 86, 87
 power, 68
 Supplying reserve power, 78
 Surrebuttal analysis, 133
- “*t*-statistic”, 131
 Target, 26
 Theory of the firm, 150
 firm compliance, 152
 incentive of individual trader,
 151–152
 punishment and, 150–151
 Three-node model, 67, 70, 71
 constrained equilibrium, 75
 flow across three transmission
 lines, 74
 power flow, 73
 resistance, 70–72
 supply and demand, 72–75
- Town eggs, 34
 Traders, 151
 Trading, 22
 book, 8
 strategies, 146–147
 Trading Places (Classic 1983 movie),
 6*n*5

- Transmission
 - lines, 71
 - losses, 195–197
- Treasury bonds, 19
- Trigger, 25–26

- U.S. v. Addyston Pipe*, 116
- Underscheduling Load, 96
- Uneconomic trading, 10, 26, 27, 48
- “Unintentional” squeeze, 35
- University of Utah, 58
- “Up-To-Congestion” contracts
 - (UTC), 198
 - trading driven by MLSA revenues,
198–199

- US enforcement agencies, 118–119

- Violating bids, 57, 58
 - CFTC, 61
 - DiPlacido’s defense, 60
 - motives for violating bidders, 59–60
- Violating offers, 58, 59
- Volkart Brothers* (1962), 36

- Wash trading, 12, 13
- Well-functioning market,
 - 195, 205–206
- Western State Power Pool (WSPP), 92
- Widget, 150
- Wind power, 76