

Index

- Acceleration
 - during life of project, 5
 - plan, 45, 46, 56, 117, 119
- Activation
 - floor availability chart for
 - activation commencement, 32
 - requirements WBS, 87–88
 - schedule, 60
- Authorities Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) Risk Evaluation, 72, 79, 85
- Avoidable delays, 128
- Baseline schedule, achieving
 - mutual agreement with, 93–95
- Bid/pricing requirements WBS, 80–81
- Budget control
 - practices, 39
 - risk mitigation, 38
- Building
 - configuration, 74
 - crown, 38
- Building Information Modeling (BIM), 81, 82
- Change, 3–5
 - effectiveness in form of
 - schedule mitigation, 5
 - project risk forms, 5–8
- Close-out executive reporting, 107–110
- Closeout requirements WBS, 88–89
- Collaboration, 20–21
- Commissioning requirements
 - WBS, 83–85
- Communication, 59, 91
 - achieving mutual agreement
 - with baseline schedule, 93–95
 - actual performance *vs.* straight line progress graph, 105
 - baseline acceptance letter, 94
 - cash flow variance graph, 106
 - close-out executive reporting, 107–110
 - construction activation
 - executive summary report, 108
 - delay claim detail report, 101
 - internal reporting of schedule performance, 101–107
 - manpower—actual *vs.* projected graph, 107
 - perfecting contractual time
 - extension requests, 99–101
 - over performing project graph, 104
 - periodic, 1–2
 - periodic schedule reporting, 95–98
 - project zone map and
 - construction flow, 92
 - through shared language, 91–93
 - underperforming project graph, 103
 - user services activation
 - executive summary, 109
- Concurrent delays, 127

- Construction
 - activities requirements WBS, 82
 - management, 80
 - procurement strategy, 78
 - projects, 21
 - scope, 13
 - scope re-design during, 23–42
- Contingency planning, 37, 42, 111
 - detailed contingency plan, 118
 - difference between contingency and mitigation, 111–115
 - emergency action contingency plan, 116
 - executive summary contingency plan, 117
 - reporting, 115–119
 - schedule delay planning matrix, 118
- Contractor, 55
 - procurement requirements, 81–82
 - risk in general contractor, 10
 - risk elements from perspective of, 133–135
- Contractual requirements WBS, 77–78
- Crew sizing, 112
- Critical path, 14, 20, 28, 35, 36–37, 45, 49, 55, 93, 95, 99–100, 115, 121, 124
- “Dangling” activities, 122
- Decision-making, 38, 48, 58
 - risk mitigation, 49–52
- Delay
 - avoidable, 128
 - claim detail report, 101
 - concurrent, 127
 - excusable, 128
- Design requirements WBS, 78
- Designers, risk in, 10
- Detailed contingency plan, 118
- Emergency action contingency plan, 116
- Environmental impacts, 4, 48
- Environmental risk
 - mitigation, 55–58
 - practices, 39
- Excusable delays, 128
- Executive summary
 - contingency plan, 117
 - schedule variance, 54
- Float, 7, 114, 119
 - creation, 132
- Force Majeure*, 6
- General Conditions document, 93
- High risk project aspect
 - identification, 75–76
- Information management and reporting, 58–59
- Inspection requirements WBS, 85–87
- Intangibles, 5–6, 40–41, 61–62
- Integrated systems testing, 84
- Integration mitigation, team risk with, 47–48
- Internal reporting of schedule performance, 101–107
- Internet-based software, 16–17
- “Just in time” delivery method, 28
- Leadership
 - consistency, 23–42
 - consistency practices, 38–39
 - stability, 38
- Learning culture, 132
- Lessons learned assessments, 16, 19, 20
- Life safety inspections, 86

- Local regulation requirements, 4
- Logic between activities, 14
- Long-term planning, 114
- Mechanical, electrical, plumbing, fire sprinkler systems (MEPF systems), 19, 69, 82
- Medical Center project in San Antonio, Texas
 - activation schedule, 60
 - executive summary schedule variance, 54
 - final outcome and benefits, 59–62
 - information management and reporting, 58–59
 - key project milestone dates, 43
 - mitigation efforts, 47–58
 - practitioner team members, 44–45
 - project “after” photo, 44
 - project “before” photo, 43
 - project design plan changes, 46
 - project floor plan, 52
 - project scope, 43
 - project status report, 45
 - schedule report forecasting, 54
 - schedule report mitigation proposal, 55
 - shoring methodology, 57
 - situation and risks, 45–47
 - summation, 62
 - team integration and decision-making, 58
 - team structure, 49
 - third-party productivity analysis, 53
- See also* Texas Medical Center project
- Micro reporting, 107
- Microdelays, 21
- Mind map, 16–17
- Mitigation, 8, 21, 22, 111–115
 - schedule, 32, 34, 36–37
 - of time, 1
 - work flow mitigation method with dissimilar crews, 113
- Mitigation efforts, 30–31, 42, 47
 - budget control risk mitigation, 38
 - decision-making risk mitigation, 49–52
 - environmental risk mitigation, 55–58
 - leadership consistency, 31–32
 - risk mitigation through schedule reporting, 53–55
 - scope changes and schedule mitigation, 32–37
 - team risk with integration mitigation, 47–48
- Mitigation measure
 - implementation
 - mitigating errors during update process, 126–129
 - mitigating mistakes during schedule input process, 122–126
 - owner WBS schedule section, 125
 - project activity update sequence, 126
 - schedule stacking effect, 126
 - technical parameters of activities which reduce risk, 121–122
 - time delay WBS section in master project schedule, 127
- Near-term planning, 114
- Neighborhood associations, risk in, 11
- “No Damage for Delay”, 128

- Nonexcusable delay. *See*
 - Avoidable delays
- Notice to proceed (NTP), 80
- Owner
 - inspections, 85–86
 - owner-driven changes, 3–4
 - procurement requirements
 - WBS, 79–80
 - risk elements from perspective of, 135–136
 - risk in, 10
 - shut downs/tie-ins, 86
- Perfecting contractual time
 - extension requests, 99–101
- Periodic communication, 1–2
- Periodic schedule reporting, 95–98
- Permitting requirements WBS, 79
- Phasing, 91, 93
- Planning, 9–14
 - benefits, 19–22
 - progression comparison from
 - planning to scheduling, 15
- Pre-procurement planning, 38, 39
- Precast erection operations, 36
- Prefabrication of ductwork and piping assemblies, 37
- Presentation, 54
- Priority operational areas, 51
- Proactive planning, 21
- Production efficiency, 36
- Productivity, 74
- Project cleanliness, 21
- Project Language Map, 91, 93
- Project risk forms, 5–8
- Project stress, 20, 21
- Projected Substantial Completion, 124
- Projects Ultimate Goal, 27
- Pull planning sessions, 16, 17
- Real construction mitigation case studies, 23
 - leadership consistency, scope re-design, 23–42
 - team integration, decision-making, and schedule reporting, 43–63
- Regulating authorities, risk in, 11
- Responsiveness
 - to changing conditions, 7
 - to scope change, 39, 114
- Risk, 8, 53
 - identification, 16–19
 - inclusion, 15
 - planning, 8
 - process, 13
 - risk-focused approach, 7
 - stakeholders potential, 10–11
- Risk elements
 - from perspective of contractor, 133–135
 - from perspective of owner, 135–136
- Risk identification
 - design and construction perspective, 71–76
 - owner/end user perspective, 67–71
 - schedule elements, 76–89
- Risk Management Matrix, 65–66
- Risk mitigation
 - budget control, 38
 - decision-making, 49–52
 - environmental, 55–58
 - through schedule reporting, 53–55
- Safety, 21, 113
- Schedule contingency, 121
- Schedule input process, mitigating mistakes during, 122–126
- Schedule mitigation, 32–37

- Schedule report(ing)
 - at executive level, 48
 - forecasting, 54
 - mitigation proposal, 55
 - risk mitigation through, 53–55
- Schedule risk management, 8, 101
- Scheduling, 14–16
 - progression comparison from planning to scheduling, 15
- Scope changes, 32–37, 39
 - responsiveness to, 114
 - systems, 28
- Scope re-design, 23–42
- Shared language, communicating through, 91–93
- Shoring methodology, 57
- Staff turnover, 3–4
- Stakeholders' potential risk, 10–11
- Sticky note sessions. *See* Pull planning
- Subcontractor, risk in, 10
- Substantial completion, 86
- Tangibles, 40, 59–61
- Team composition, 74–75
- Team integration, 48, 58
- Team risk with integration mitigation, 47–48
- Temporary dry-in, 56
- Texas Medical Center, 4
- Texas Medical Center project
 - budget control practices, 39
 - contingency and mitigation efforts, 42
 - environmental risk practices, 39
 - final outcome and benefits, 40–41
 - floor availability chart for activation commencement, 32
 - floor by department, 33
 - key project milestone dates, 23–24
 - leadership consistency practices, 38–39
 - mitigation efforts, 30–38
 - MRI Lift to 14th Floor, 29
 - permit flow map by floor for project completion, 34
 - practitioner team members, 25
 - project “after” photo, 25
 - project “before” photo, 24
 - project damage from Hurricane Ike, 30
 - project risk and mitigation methods, 31
 - project scope, 23
 - restacking scope diagram, 27
 - scope change and time management practices, 39
 - situation and risks, 25–30
 - summation, 41–42
 - unforeseen foundation beneath future building, 35
- Third-party productivity analysis, 53
- Tiara. *See* Building crown
- Time, 1, 4
 - contingency, 119
 - impacts, 1
 - management practices, 39
 - trust, 2
- Timing of execution. *See* Phasing
- Trend analysis, 36
- Trust, 98
- Uncontrollable risks, 6
- Utility provider, risk in, 10
- Value engineering (VE), 80
- White papers, 16, 18–19
- Work breakdown structure (WBS), 7–8, 14, 66, 121
 - activation requirements, 87–88

bid/pricing requirements,
80–81
closeout requirements, 88–89
commissioning requirements,
83–85
construction activities
requirements, 82
contractual requirements,
77–78
design requirements, 78

inspection requirements,
85–87
owner procurement
requirements, 79–80
permitting requirements, 79
Worker
access planning, 113
accessibility, 74
fatigue, 112
Working double shifts, 112